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#### Abstract

This study was conducted to provide a robust evaluation of the developmental toxicity potential of an inhaled vapor condensate of gasoline/methyl tertiary butyl ether (G/MTBE) in mice. It was conducted in accordance with the U.S. EPA Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) standards for the 211(b) program (40 CFR 79; U.S. EPA, 1994). In the mouse study at ExxonMobil Biomedical Sciences, Inc. (EMBSI, 2009b), several uncommon ventral closure defects (malformations) were observed in a nonexposure responsive incidence. The study reported herein was conducted to confirm and/or extend the findings observed in that earlier mouse study.

Twenty-three plug-positive female CD-1 mice each were distributed on gestational day (gd) 0 into the $0,2000,10,000$, and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ target concentration groups, and an additional 38 plug-positive CD-1 mice were distributed on gd 0 into the $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ target concentration group. Exposures were for 6 hours/day on gd 5 through 16 for the $0-20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ groups and for 6 hours/day on gd 5 through 10 for the $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ group. The females were weighed on gd 0 and daily on gd 5 through 17; feed consumption and clinical observations were also recorded daily. Clinical observations were recorded individually before and after each exposure period and recorded at least once, using general categories (e.g., few, some, most, all, etc.) during each exposure period. At scheduled necropsy on gd 17, all dams were euthanized, with body weight, gravid uterine weight, liver weight, paired adrenal gland weights, and paired kidney weights recorded. Ovarian corpora lutea were counted and uterine total implantations, resorptions, late fetal deaths, and live fetuses recorded for each pregnant dam. Each live fetus was euthanized by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital, sexed, and examined externally for gross malformations and variations (including examination for cleft palate). Each fetus was then dissected by a ventral longitudinal cut; the thoracic and abdominal viscera were removed and retained in buffered neutral $10 \%$ formalin for possible subsequent visceral examination. The carcasses were skinned after blanching and retained in 70\% ethanol for possible subsequent staining and skeletal examination.

Mean analytical exposure concentrations were 0 , 2074, $9925,20,342$ and $29,250 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. No females died or were sacrificed moribund; 1 female was removed due to a pre-existing condition. There were no differences across groups in maternal body weights or weight changes before, during, or after the exposure period, except for significant decreases in body weight


change from gd 12 to 13 at 2000 and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. These findings on gd 12-13 were considered incidental and unrelated to treatment since they were observed on only 1 day and not in a dose-response pattern. Clinical observations that appeared treatment related included labored breathing in 1 female each at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and lacrimation in 1 female at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and in 3 females at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Absolute maternal feed consumption (g/day) was uniformly decreased at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and increased at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (and at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd 13-14) in the exposure period, with sporadic increases and decreases in the postexposure period in all exposed groups. Relative maternal feed consumption (g/kg body weight/day) was reduced at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ during the exposure period (with significant increases during exposure at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ [gd 5-6 and 6-7] and at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ [gd 13-14]), variable during the postexposure period, and unaffected across all groups for the gestational period: gd 0-17. These decreased feed consumption effects at 20,000 and 30,000 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ were considered related to exposure to the test material at these exposure concentrations, exacerbating effects from the procedures for inhalation exposures, per se (e.g., moving animals, removal of feed during exposures).

There were no differences in maternal gravid uterine weight or in absolute or relative paired adrenal gland weights across groups. Absolute maternal liver weight was significantly increased at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and relative maternal liver weight was significantly increased at 2000, 10,000, and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, likely due to induction of metabolizing enzymes during gd 516 exposures (Conney, 1967). There were no differences across groups for the number of ovarian corpora lutea, uterine implantation sites, resorptions, late fetal deaths or live fetuses per litter, or percent preimplantation loss. There were also no statistically significant differences in the number (or \%) of nonlive (resorptions plus late fetal deaths) or adversely affected (nonlive plus malformed) implantations/litter, although there were 3 (of 36) females with fully resorbed litters at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (with $0,1,0$, and 2 fully resorbed litters at $0,2000,10,000$, and 20,000 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, respectively).

For live litters, there were no differences across groups on the number of live fetuses/litter, \% male fetuses/litter, number of male and female fetuses/litter, or on average fetal body weight per litter for all fetuses or by sexes separately. There were no statistically significant differences across groups for incidences of external malformation or variations by fetuses or by litter. External fetal malformations included encephalocoele in 1 fetus (in 1 litter)
at $2000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and cleft palate in 2 fetuses (in 2 litters) at $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, in 1 fetus (in 1 litter) each at 2000, 10,000, and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and in 7 fetuses (in 4 litters) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The increased incidence of cleft palate at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ was not statistically significant; it is likely due to increased maternal stress and other toxicity at this exposure concentration during the 6-day exposure period, during the time of initial palatal formation (gd 5-10). Gastroschisis was also observed in 1 female fetus (in 1 litter) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (this female also had cleft palate). Fetal external variations included abnormal rugae in the palatal midline in 1 fetus (in 1 litter) each at 10,000 and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and hematomas of the face, head, neck, and shoulder at $0-20,000$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (but not at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ). The external variations are considered incidental and unrelated to treatment.

In conclusion, the current study did not confirm the presence of ectopia cordis observed in a previous EMBSI (2009b) study in any fetus in any litter of any group, and therefore this fetal finding is considered unlikely to be related to maternal exposure to the test material. In addition, the study did not confirm the presence of gastroschisis in fetuses at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (observed in the EMBSI study) or at 2000 or $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (not observed in the EMBSI study or in the present study). Gastroschisis was observed in 1 female fetus in 1 litter at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$; she also exhibited severely reduced body weight and cleft palate and was part of a litter with 2 other fetuses with cleft palate. In total, gastroschisis was observed in 1 fetus (out of 407 fetuses; $0.24 \%$ ) in 1 litter (out of 33 litters; $3.03 \%$ ) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and there was an increased incidence (not statistically significant) of cleft palate (7 fetuses in 4 litters), likely from maternal stress also at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Cleft palate is the most common external malformation observed in mouse fetuses. Maternal treatment-related clinical signs of distress, consisting of labored breathing observed at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, likely produced an increased incidence of cleft palate at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. This increase in cleft palate is interpreted as likely to be secondary to maternal stress (during the gd 5-10 exposure period), which would likely result in increased corticosteroid synthesis (and cleft palate, as noted in the published literature; e.g., Carmichael et al., 2007; Senda et al., 2005; Pradat et al., 2003; Hemm et al., 1977). The increased corticosteroid levels likely would have resolved in this group by scheduled necropsy on gd 17. The results of this study indicate that the effects on fetuses at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ were most likely due to or exacerbated by maternal toxicity. The absence of gastroschisis in any of the 3,641 control CD-1 mouse fetuses, in 288 control litters, in RTI's historical control database (Table 9) lends
support to the conclusion that gastroschisis may have been treatment related, occurring in only 1 compromised fetus at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, with concomitant other fetotoxicity and some indication of maternal toxicity. Indication of slight fetotoxicity (gastroschisis in 1 compromised fetus) only at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and of maternal toxicity (labored breathing) at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in this study results in the following determinations: the maternal toxicity No Observable Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) was $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and the developmental toxicity NOAEL was 20,000 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

## INTRODUCTION

A very early inhalation toxicity study of MTBE for 6 hours/day on gd 6-15 in SpragueDawley rats and CD-1 mice showed no maternal or embryofetal effects at any exposure concentration in rats (Conaway et al., 1985). In mice, there were increases in fetal resorptions at the low ( 250 ppm ) and high ( 2500 ppm ) concentrations, attributed to 2 females in each group with a high number of resorptions. There were no treatment-related fetal external, visceral, or skeletal malformations or variations. Slightly increased sternebral fusions in the high concentration group were "attributed to fetotoxicity".

A previous developmental toxicity study (EMBSI, 2009b) of G/MTBE vapor condensate by inhalation in mice was one of a series of tests required in accordance with the Alternative Tier 2 provisions of fuels and fuels additives health effects testing regulations (U.S. EPA, 1994, 40 C.F.R. § 79; Oge 1998). That study provided suggestive evidence of an increase (not statistically significant or exposure related) in midline defects among the offspring of dams exposed to G/MTBE by inhalation at the low and mid (but not high) vapor concentrations, reporting gastroschisis and ectopia cordis (2 very rare external malformations) in offspring at these exposure concentrations in the absence of dose-response patterns. That study involved wholebody inhalation exposure of timed-pregnant CD-1 mice for at least 6 hours/day, on gd 5 through 17, to baseline gasoline vapor condensate with $25.5 \%$ MTBE at target concentrations of 0,2000 , 10,000 , and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (the last is $50 \%$ of the lower explosive limit).

The purpose of the present study was to provide maternal and developmental toxicity data relative to a 6- or 12-day exposure regimen of inhaled G/MTBE during the period of early or major organogenesis in gravid mice in order to confirm and extend the findings observed in the EMBSI mouse study (2009b). The present study was conducted with the same exposure
concentrations used in the EMBSI study (2009b) for 6 hours/day on gd 5 through 16 (23 females/group) and an additional group of 38 timed-mated mice exposed to $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for 6 hours/day on gd 5 through 10. Fetal malformations of specific interest in this study (ventral wall closure defects) are formed early in the embryonic period of gestation; gd 7 through 9 in the mouse (e.g., Rugh, 1968), hence the shortened exposure period for this group. A range-finding study was previously conducted to evaluate the top exposure level and exposure duration to be used in this study (RTI, 2009).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Designation of Responsibilities

RTI International was responsible for study design, protocol generation, designed the procedures and trained the Huntingdon Life Sciences (HLS) staff for pairing and detection of successful mating, assignment of plug-positive study females to groups, necropsy of the maternal and fetal animals on gd 17, generation of summary and individual data tables, and draft and final reports (with RTI QA oversight). RTI's Quality Assurance Unit performed a prestudy on-site inspection, reviewed the protocol and any amendments, and monitored all phases of the study in which RTI personnel participated. HLS was responsible for receipt of the test material, prestudy and study generation and analyses of the test vapors, receipt, quarantine and housing of the test females and breeder males, determining the successful mating and assignment of the study females, in-life observations, loading and unloading study females into and out of chambers, and submission of interim and final inhalation reports. The Quality Assurance Unit of HLS reviewed the protocol and monitored the facilities, equipment, personnel, methods, practices, records, raw data, draft and final inhalation reports, and controls used in this study to assure that they were in conformance with company standard operating procedures and the referenced GLP regulations.

## Test Material

The test material, G/MTBE (MRD-00-713; "API 211BG with MTBE Vapor Condensate"), was a colorless liquid and identified by the supplier (Chevron Global Technology Services Company (CRTC; Richmond, CA) as Lot/Batch Number API 00-02. Methods of synthesis, fabrication, or derivation were documented by the Sponsor and located at API. Information on identity, strength, purity, and composition of G/MTBE was provided by the

Sponsor and documented in the raw data and in this final report (Appendix III, protocol attachment).

Two separate types of chemical analysis were performed on the test material. CRTC conducted proprietary characterization of all 120 components. EMBSI conducted a GLP-compliant characterization of 18 representative hydrocarbons and MTBE. In the CRTC method, the weight percent of each of the components was measured, whereas in the EMBSI method, the relative amount of each of the representative components was measured on area-percent basis.

EMBSI developed their method for API to share with CRTC and the laboratories performing toxicological studies on 211(b) testing program test substances. The objective of the method was to monitor and document the chemical and compositional stability of G/MTBE from manufacture through transportation, storage, and animal exposure using a standard method that all users could perform.

The test material was stable and stored under ambient conditions in an outside solvent shed except when in use in the inhalation laboratory. The test substance was handled as a flammable liquid. Detailed information on chemical handling is provided in the MSDS attached to the protocol (Appendix III).

## Animals and Husbandry

The test animals were Caesarean-originated Virus Antibody Free (VAF) Crl:CD-1® (ICR) BR outbred albino mice supplied by Charles River Laboratories, Inc., Raleigh, NC. The use of live animals was requested by the Sponsor and required by U.S. EPA OPPTS Testing Guidelines (U.S. EPA, 1998). Alternative test systems are not available for the assessment of chemical effects on prenatal mammalian development. The Charles River CD-1® mouse has been the mouse strain of choice on developmental toxicology contracts at RTI since 1976. Large historical databases for reproductive performance and prevalence of spontaneous malformations in control mice are available from studies conducted at RTI (currently based on 288 control litters and over 3600 fetuses from 16 studies).

One hundred seventy (170) nulliparous female mice were ordered for this study and arrived at HLS on December 23, 2004. One hundred (100) male mice, 9-11 weeks old upon arrival at HLS (on August 31, 2004), of the same strain and from the same supplier, were received for the previous range-finding study, and the remaining 99 males were used as a male breeding colony for this study. The exact number of females ordered was received, so there were
no replacements available. However, there were no animals with clinical signs, injury, and/or reduced feed consumption during quarantine. The 99 males were used to generate timed-mated animals for this definitive developmental toxicity study, which required the mating of 170 female mice ( $1: 1$, with the subsequent addition of naïve females to males who inseminated their original females) to generate 130 plug-positive females. Females were 7-9 weeks old at arrival and 9-11 weeks of age and $\sim 20-35 \mathrm{~g}$ in weight on gd 0 . One hundred seventy (170) females were required to generate 130 plug-positive females in 11 consecutive days (the protocol indicated that we expected 130 plug-positive females in 4-5 days, but it took longer; Amendment 2); 130 plugpositive females (23/group for 4 groups and 38/group for the fifth group) were required to supply the optimal number (based on EPA's guidance; e.g., OPPTS 870.3600; U.S. EPA, 1996; for inhalation developmental toxicity studies) of pregnant animals and litters to assess any maternal and/or embryo/fetal toxicity to the test substance and to confirm and extend the fetal findings from the previous EMBSI study (2009b).

During an approximately 14-day quarantine/acclimation period at the HLS testing facility, animals were checked for viability twice daily. Prior to study assignment, all animals were examined to ascertain suitability for study. The HLS veterinarian formally released these animals for use by signature and date. Males and females were individually housed in stainless steel suspended cages with wire mesh floors and fronts, except for the mating period when 1 male and 1 female were housed together. During cohabitation, male and female mice were housed in polycarbonate "shoebox" cages with stainless steel lids and Alpha-Dri® bedding (Shepherd Specialty Papers, Watertown, TN). Each cage was fitted to secure a glass feeder jar with a stainless steel lid. Clean feed jars and fresh feed were provided at least weekly. After the gd 14 exposure (for Groups 1-4) or on the afternoon of gd 14 (Group 5), a stainless steel, perforated insert was placed on the wire-mesh floor of the stainless steel suspended cage of each female and 1 Nestlet ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Ancare, Bellmore, NY) added to each cage until scheduled sacrifice on gd 17. Females not undergoing daily exposures after gd 10 (Group 5) were removed from their home cage and placed in another suspended cage without feed to match as closely as possible the conditions of Group 1-4 females for the 6-hour exposure period. They were then returned to their home cage at the same time as the exposed females for feed measurement overnight. Feed (PMI 5002 Certified Meal) was available ad libitum, except during the daily 6-hour inhalation periods. Analytical certifications of batches of feed provided by the manufacturer are maintained
on file at the HLS testing facility, and there were no known contaminants found in the feed. Facility water (supplied by Elizabethtown Water Company, Westfield, NJ) was available ad libitum via the automatic watering system or water bottles (during mating), except during the daily 6-hour inhalation periods. Water analyses were conducted by Elizabethtown Water Company to assure that water met standards specified under the EPA Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Regulations (40 CFR Part 141). Water analyses provided by the supplier are maintained on file at the HLS testing facility. There were no known contaminants that interfered with the objectives of this study. At all times, animals were housed, handled, and used according to the National Research Council Guide (NRC, 1996).

A 12-hour light/dark cycle was provided via automatic timer. Temperature and relative humidity were monitored in accordance with Testing Facility SOPs to ensure that the desired range of 18 to $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for temperature and 30 to $70 \%$ relative humidity was maintained to the maximum extent possible (NRC, 1996).

Each animal was assigned a temporary identification number (designated on each cage) upon receipt. During the second week of the quarantine/acclimation period, the 170 females received were tail tattooed with consecutive numbers 1 through 170. The 99 remaining males had been tail tattooed during the range-finding study with consecutive numbers 1 through 100 (except for No. 87). After selection for use on the study, mating, indication of copulation, and assignment to 1 of the five groups, each female was ear tagged with a number assigned by the HLS testing facility. This number, plus the study number, comprised the unique animal number for each animal. Each cage was provided with a cage card that was color coded for exposure level identification and contained the study and animal numbers.

It was anticipated that the concentrations employed would not result in irritation or corrosion to the respiratory tract of the test animals (based on previous studies with the test material; e.g., Conaway et al., 1985; Bevan et al., 1997a; EMBSI, 2008, 2009a,b). Animals were not subjected to undue pain or distress. All procedures used in this study were designed to avoid discomfort, distress, and pain to the animals. The HLS IACUC (Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee) Protocol Review Subcommittee and the RTI IACUC reviewed the protocol and found it to be in compliance with appropriate animal welfare regulations.

Immediately prior to pairing, each female was weighed and subjected to a clinical examination. For breeding, 1 male with 1 female pairing was employed since other pairing
patterns (e.g., 1 male with 2 females) may have resulted in an unacceptable number of plugpositive, nonpregnant females and/or sire effects. Individual females were placed in polycarbonate "shoebox" cages with stainless steel lids with singly-housed males. On the following morning and each morning thereafter, the females were examined for the presence of a vaginal copulation plug (Hafez, 1970). The day on which copulation plugs were found was designated as gd 0 . Plug-positive females (dams) were individually housed until scheduled sacrifice on gd 17. Plug-negative females were retained in the same male's cage and checked for plugs on successive mornings until insemination occurred or the treatment groups were filled, whichever came first. HLS staff evaluated females for vaginal copulation plugs until all groups were filled and then completed the exposure schedule. When all treatment groups were filled, the remaining presumed plug-negative females were sacrificed by asphyxiation with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and examined for pregnancy status; many of the females were in fact pregnant (see Protocol Deviation No. 7). The males were also euthanized by HLS staff after the breeding period was completed. The fate of all animals is fully documented in the study records.

## Study Schedule and Design

The actual dates of all major phases of the study are presented in Table A.

Table A. Study Schedule

| Event | Dates |
| :--- | :--- |
| Females arrived at HLS: | December 23, 2004 |
| Quarantine (14 days): | December 23, 2004 - January 5, 2005 |
| Animals paired: | January 6-11, 2005 |
| Dates of gd 0: | January 7-17, 2005 |
| TSCA experimental start date: | January 12, 2005 |
| Exposure dates: gd 5 through 10 | January 12 - January 22, 2005 |
|  | January 12 - February 2, 2005 |
| Terminal necropsy (gd 17) | January 24 - February 3, 2005 |
| TSCA experimental termination date: | February 3, 2005 |
| Submission of draft data on test <br> atmospheres to Sponsor: | February 9, 2005 (within 1 week after the last |
|  | exposure date, February 2, 2005) |

This study was conducted with 4 treatment groups and 1 vehicle control group. Groups 1-4 were each comprised of 23 plug-positive female mice, and Group 5 was comprised of 38 plug-positive female mice (Table B).

Table B. Number of Animals Assigned to Study Groups

| Group No. | No. Animals <br> Exposed | No. Days <br> Exposed | Exposure Period <br> $(\mathrm{gd})$ | Target Exposure <br> Concentration $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23 | 12 | 5 through 16 | 0 |
| 2 | 23 | 12 | 5 through 16 | 2000 |
| 3 | 23 | 12 | 5 through 16 | 10,000 |
| 4 | 23 | 12 | 5 through 16 | 20,000 |
| 5 | 38 | 6 | 5 through 10 | 30,000 |

The exposure period for Group 5, at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{G} /$ MTBE on gd 5 through 10, was selected to reduce the number of days of generation of test atmosphere at a concentration that was $75 \%$ of the lower explosive limit. In addition, the fetal malformations of interest are formed early in the embryonic period of gestation; gd 7-9 in the mouse (e.g., Rugh, 1968), so extending the exposure period to gd 16 was considered unnecessary.

The test substance was administered as a vapor in the breathing air of the animals. The test atmosphere was generated by an appropriate procedure determined during prestudy trials. The prestudy trials were performed (at least two 6-hour periods) to evaluate the optimal set of conditions and equipment to generate a stable atmosphere at the target exposure levels and maintain uniform conditions throughout the exposure chambers. The whole-body exposure chambers each had a volume of approximately 1000 liters. The chambers were operated at a minimum flow rate of 200 liters per minute. The final airflow was set to provide at least 1 air change in 5 minutes ( 12 air changes/hour) and a $\mathrm{T}_{99}$ equilibrium time of at most 23 minutes. This chamber size and airflow rate was considered adequate to maintain the oxygen level at least 19\% and the animal loading factor below 5\%. At the end of each daily 6-hour exposure, all animals remained in the chamber for a minimum of the $\mathrm{T}_{99}$ equilibrium time. During this time, the chamber was operated at approximately the same flow rate using clean air only.

A nominal exposure concentration of G/MTBE was calculated. The flow of air through the chamber was monitored using appropriate calibrated equipment. The test substance consumed
during the exposure was divided by the total volume of air passing through the chamber (volumetric flow rate times total exposure time) to give the nominal concentration.

During each 6-hour exposure, measurements of airborne concentrations were performed in the animals' breathing zone at least 4 times using an appropriate sampling procedure and IR analytical procedure. Specified airborne test material concentrations were within $+/-10 \%$ of the target concentrations. One sample per chamber during the trials period and the treatment period was analyzed by gas chromatography to characterize at least 10 major components (comprising at least $80 \%$ by weight of the test substance) to show test substance stability and comparison between the neat liquid test substance and the vaporized test atmospheres. During the treatment period, particle size determinations were performed once per chamber using a TSI Aerodynamic Particle Sizer to confirm the absence of particulate test substance condensate in the exposure atmosphere.

Chamber temperature, humidity, airflow rate, and static pressure were monitored continuously and recorded every 30 minutes during exposure. Chamber temperature and relative humidity were maintained, to the maximum extent possible, between 20 to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 40 to $60 \%$, respectively. Chamber oxygen levels (maintained at least 19\%) were measured pretest and at the beginning, middle, and end of the exposure period for the study. Air samples were taken in the vapor generation area pretest and at the beginning, middle, and end of the exposure period for the study. Light (maintained approximately 30 foot-candles at 1.0 meter above the floor) and noise levels (maintained below 85 decibels) in the exposure room were measured pretest and at the beginning, middle, and end of the exposure period for the study. The minimum frequency of chamber activity during the treatment period is summarized below:

| Activity | Frequency/Chamber |
| :---: | :---: |
| Measured test substance concentration | 4X/day |
| Measured test substance characterization | 1X |
| Particle size | 1X |
| Temperature | 13X/day |
| Relative humidity | 13X/day |
| Airflow rate | 13X/day |
| Static pressure | 13X/day |
| Nominal test substance concentration (excluding the air control chamber) | 1X/day |
| Rotation pattern of exposure cages | 1X/day |
| Loading/unloading verification | 1X/day |

Plug-positive female mice (dams) were assigned to treatment groups by a stratified randomization method designed to provide uniform mean body weights and equal distribution of females mated to the same male among dose groups using data from gd 0 . A total of 23 plugpositive dams were assigned on gd 0 by stratified randomization (by body weight) to each of 4 groups ( 0 [Group 1], 2000 [Group 2], 10,000 [Group 3], and 20,000 [Group 4] mg/m ${ }^{3}$ ), and 38 plug-positive dams were similarly assigned to Group $5\left(30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$. Because of the unexpectedly prolonged mating period, the decision was made at HLS (see Protocol Deviation No. 2) to use 130 plug-positive females rather than wait for 140 plug-positive females, and use 23/group (versus 25/group) for Group 4 and 38/group (versus 40/group) for Group 5. Plugpositive females were exposed to G/MTBE or air 6 hours per day from gd 5 through 16 for Groups 1-4 and for gd 5 through 10 for Group $5,30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, since the malformations of interest (gastroschesis and ectopia cordis) result from ventral midline closure defects early in the embryologic period, and the shorter exposure period could possibly reduce the risk of prenatal deaths, which could limit the ability of the study to detect these early malformations. For each daily exposure, females were transferred to inhalation cages, and the cages were moved into the appropriate chambers for exposure. Following each daily exposure, females were transferred back to home caging for feed consumption measurements overnight.

Clinical observations of all animals were made once daily on gd 0 through 4 (prior to the start of the exposure period), twice daily (prior to and immediately after each daily exposure) throughout the exposure period (gd 5 through 10 or gd 5 through 16), and once daily on gd 11 through 17 or on gd 17 (after exposure period ended). In addition, during each daily exposure period, animals were observed at least once during each exposure. This was routinely performed near the middle of each exposure.

Dams were weighed in the mornings (prior to exposures for those days that exposures occurred) on gd 0 and 5-17. Maternal weight gains were calculated for gd 0-5 (pre-exposure period), gd 5-6, 6-7, 7-8, 8-9, 9-10, 10-11, 11-12, 12-13, 13-14, 14-15,15-16, gd 5-10 or 5-16 (exposure period), gd 10-17 or 16-17 (postexposure period), and gd 0-17 (gestational period).

Maternal feed consumption was evaluated in the mornings from gd 0-5 (pre-exposure period), gd 5-6, 6-7, 7-8, 8-9, 9-10, 10-11, 11-12, 12-13, 13-14, 14-15, 15-16, gd 5-10 or 5-16 (exposure period), 10-17 or 16-17 (postexposure period), and gd 0-17 (gestation period).

On gd 17, approximately 1 to $11 / 2$ days before expected parturition, all surviving maternal animals from all groups were killed by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ asphyxiation at HLS by RTI staff. The thoracic and abdominal cavities and organs were examined, and pregnancy status was confirmed by uterine examination. Uteri that presented no visible implantation sites were stained with ammonium sulfide (10\%) in order to visualize any implantation sites that may have undergone very early resorption (Salewski, 1964). At sacrifice, the body, liver, uterus, paired adrenal glands, and paired kidneys of each plug-positive female were weighed. Ovarian corpora lutea were counted and uterine contents (i.e., number of implantation sites, early and late resorptions, dead fetuses, live fetuses) recorded.

Live and dead fetuses were removed from the uterus, counted, weighed, sexed externally, and examined externally for gross malformations (including cleft palate) and variations by RTI staff. Each fetus was killed by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital. Live and dead fetuses were dissected longitudinally, and the thoracic and abdominal viscera removed intact and retained individually in labeled scintillation vials in buffered neutral 10\% formalin for possible subsequent visceral examination. The fetal carcasses were blanched, skinned, and retained in individually labeled scintillation vials in 70\% ethanol for possible subsequent double staining (alizarin Red S and alcian blue) and skeletal evaluation. All maternal organs and carcasses were destroyed by incineration.

## Statistics

The unit of comparison was the pregnant female or litter. Quantitative continuous data (e.g., maternal body weights, feed consumption, fetal body weights, etc.) were compared among the 4 treatment groups and 1 vehicle control group using either parametric ANOVA under the standard assumptions or robust regression methods (Zeger and Liang, 1986; Royall, 1986; Huber, 1967), which do not assume homogeneity of variance or normality. If the ANOVA test was statistically significant (i.e., if one or more of the pairwise comparisons, not necessarily to the vehicle control group, were statistically significant), then statistical pairwise comparisons were made (see below). The homogeneity of variance assumption was examined via Levene's Test (Levene, 1960), which is more robust to the underlying distribution of the data than the traditional Bartlett’s Test. If Levene’s Test indicated lack of homogeneity of variance ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ), robust regression methods were used to test all treatment effects. The heterogeneous variance
models (also known as robust regression methods) use variance estimators that make no assumptions regarding homogeneity of variance or normality of the data. They were used to test for overall treatment group differences (via Wald Chi-Square Tests), followed by individual $t$ tests for exposed vs. control group comparisons when the overall treatment effect was significant. At the time these methods were implemented in this study, the software did not have p-value adjustments for multiple pairwise comparisons. This problem was recognized, and to protect against spurious findings, the significance of individual pairwise comparisons to controls (repeated t-tests) were not reported for a given parameter unless the overall treatment effect was statistically significant at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ (Wald chi-square Test). The presence of linear trends was analyzed by robust regression methods for nonhomogenous data. Robust regression methods are available in the REGRESS procedure of SUDAAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ Release 8. (RTI, 2001).

If Levene's Test did not reject the hypothesis of homogeneous variances, standard ANOVA techniques were applied for comparing the treatment groups. The GLM procedure in SAS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Release 8 was used to evaluate the overall effect of treatment and, when a significant treatment effect was present, to compare each exposed group to control via Dunnett’s Test (Dunnett, 1955, 1964). Prior to GLM analysis, an arcsine-square root transformation was performed on all litter-derived percentage data (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967) to allow use of parametric methods. For the litter-derived percentage data, the ANOVA was weighted according to litter size. The presence of linear trends was analyzed by GLM procedures for homogenous data (SAS Institute Inc., 1999a, b, c, d, e; 2000; 2001). A one-tailed test (i.e., Dunnett’s Test) was used for all pairwise comparisons to the vehicle control group, except that a two-tailed test was used for maternal body and organ weight parameters, maternal feed consumption, fetal body weight, and percent males per litter. Standard ANOVA methods, as well as Levene's Test, are available in the GLM procedure of SAS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Release 8 (SAS Institute Inc., 1999a, b, c, d, e; 2000; 2001).

Nominal scale measures were analyzed by Chi-Square Test for Independence for differences among treatment groups (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967) and by the CochranArmitage Test for Linear Trend on Proportions (Cochran, 1954; Armitage, 1955; Agresti, 1990). When Chi-Square revealed significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) differences among groups, then a Fisher's Exact Probability Test, with appropriate adjustments for multiple comparisons, was used for pairwise comparisons between each treatment group and the control group.

A test for statistical outliers (SAS Institute, Inc., 1999b) was performed on female body weights, feed consumption (in g/day), and selected organ weights. Per RTI's SOPs, if examination of pertinent study data did not provide a plausible, biologically sound reason for inclusion of the data flagged as "outlier," then the data were excluded from summarization and analysis and designated as outliers. If there was a plausible, biologically sound reason to retain the flagged data, the data were included in the summarization and analysis. Unless otherwise specified, the level of significance used for the various tests was $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## Storage of Records

All data documenting experimental details and study procedures and observations were recorded and maintained as raw data. At the completion of the study, all reports, raw data, preserved specimens, and retained samples will be maintained in RTI's secure archives for a period of 1 year after submission of the signed final report. The Sponsor will be contacted in order to determine the final disposition of these materials.

## Personnel

This study was conducted by RTI under contract to the API (Mr. T.M. Gray, Sponsor’s Representative) at HLS (Mr. G.M. Hoffman, Principal Investigator; Animal Research Facility Veterinarian, Dr. Teresa S. Kusznir; Animal Research Facility Director, Mr. I. Vanterpool; Necropsy Laboratory Supervisor, Ms. G.E. Baxter; Inhalation Laboratory Supervisor, Mr. S. Cracknell; Formulation Chemistry Services, Ms. K. Saladdin; Reproductive Consultant, Mr. K.P. Hazelden; and Quality Assurance, Ms. N.S. Iacono). Dr. R.W. Tyl of RTI served as Study Director. RTI Reproductive and Developmental Toxicology personnel included Ms. M.C. Marr (Laboratory Supervisor), Ms. C.B. Myers (Reproductive Toxicity Study Supervisor and Data Analyst), Mr. W.P. Ross, Mr. C.G. Leach, Ms. L.L. Macdonald, Ms. N.M. Kuney, and Ms. A.J. Parham. RTI Quality Assurance personnel were Ms. D.A. Drissel (Manager), Ms. C.A. Ingalls, Ms. M.M. Oh, and Ms. S.C. Wade. Mr. W.P. Ross, Ms. N.M. Kuney, Mr. C.G. Leach, Ms. L.L. Macdonald, and Ms. M.C. Marr were present at HLS to perform the necropsy and external fetal evaluations. Ms. S.C. Wade was also present to observe the procedures and evaluations.

The final report was prepared by Dr. R.W. Tyl and Ms. M.C. Marr, with assistance from Ms. C.B. Myers for statistical analyses and generation of tables, and by Mr. T.W. Wiley for data
entry. Ms. M.C. Marr was responsible for all transfer of custody procedures for transfer of records and tissues from HLS to RTI, and for archiving the study records at RTI.

## RESULTS

## Test Chamber Analyses

## Table 1, Appendix I

Prestudy chamber distribution analyses showed that the test substance was evenly distributed within the chamber. Prestudy and in-study chamber monitoring showed that the chamber oxygen levels were $20 \%$.

The analytically measured exposure levels of the airborne test substance were reasonably close to the targeted exposure levels. The measured and nominal concentrations varied somewhat (less than 10\%), but reasonably, from the expected 1:1 ratio for this type of vapor exposure. Chamber environmental conditions averaged $20.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature and $29.6 \%$ (based on RTI calculations; 29.2\% from HLS calculations) relative humidity. Mean particle size distribution measurements for the exposures indicated that the atmospheres were essentially vapor only, as expected, since there was no substantial difference between the test substance chamber and the air control chamber for particle size distribution.

Analysis of the major components in the neat test substance and the test atmospheres showed a reasonably close concordance between the neat test substance and the vaporized test substance. These data demonstrated that the test animals were exposed, as expected, to all of the major components of the test substance in their proper proportions. The data were consistent between the prestudy and in-study analyses, indicating stability of the test substance and the atmosphere generation techniques.

The test atmospheres were generated to within 97.5 to $103.7 \%$ of the target (grand mean of daily means/chamber). There was no test material detected in the control chamber, with an estimated limit of quantification (LOQ) of $433 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (see HLS Study File Note in Appendix I; last page). The relative content of MTBE was $21.3 \%$, as provided by the supplier (see Appendix III, attachments to protocol). The analytical profile of G/MTBE at HLS indicated 26-27\% MTBE, confounded by coelution with 2,3-dimethylbutane, which could not be separated using gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector and a previously used Supelco Petrocol ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
column for the range-finding study (range-finding final study report, Appendix I, HLS report), and $\sim 23-25 \%$ MTBE (confounded by coelution with 3-methylpentane) using a new column for this endpoint-specific developmental toxicity study (see Appendix I, HLS report). Net MTBE concentrations were 21.66-22.72\% (Appendix I, Table I).

## Maternal Findings

## Tables 2-5, Appendix II

One female (No. 3814) at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ was removed from study due to a pre-existing condition (right side undescended testis, seminal vesicle and prostate, left side ovary, oviduct, uterus, cervix and vagina). No females died or were euthanized moribund. The numbers of confirmed nonpregnant females (at scheduled sacrifice) were $0,1,3,1$, and 2 and fully resorbed litters were $0,1,0,2$, and 3 at $0,2000,10,000,20,000$, and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, respectively. The number (and \%) pregnant were 23 (100.0), 22 (95.7), 19 (86.4), 22 (95.7), and 36 (94.7) at 0 , 2000, 10,000, 20,000, and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, respectively (Table 2). There were no statistically or biologically significant differences between groups for \% pregnant.

There were no effects of exposure across all groups on maternal body weights for gd 0,5 , $6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17$ (in-life), and gd 17 (at sacrifice). Maternal body weight changes were also unaffected across all groups for the following intervals: gd 0-5 (pre-exposure period for all groups), gd 5-10 (exposure period for Group 5), gd 5-16 (exposure period for Groups 1-4), gd 10-17 (postexposure period for Group 5), gd 16-17 (postexposure period for Groups 1-4), and gd 0-17 (gestation period), except for decreased maternal body weight changes for gd 12-13 at $2000(\mathrm{p}<0.01)$ and 20,000 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (with exposures on gd 5-16). These findings were only for 1 day at the lowest and next to the highest exposure concentrations (during the exposure period for Groups 1-4) and are considered incidental since they only occurred once and did not display a dose-response pattern. Maternal gestational weight change (gestational body weight gain minus gravid uterine weight) was unaffected across all groups (Table 2).

Maternal clinical observations for gd 0-4 (pre-exposure period), prior to and after each daily exposure period (gd 5-16 for Groups 1-4 or gd 5-10 for Group 5) and postexposure (gd 17 for Groups 1-4 or gd 10-17 for Group 5), are presented in Table 3. There were no clinical observations of interest recorded for any dam in any group during the daily exposures. Moderate
alopecia on extremities/snout was observed starting on gd 5 in 1 female (No. 4807) at 20,000 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Enophthalmos (eyeball sunk into orbital cavity), left, was observed in 1 female (No. 5829 ) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Labored breathing was observed on gd 9 postexposure for 1 female (No. 4823) at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and on gd 10 postexposure for 1 female (No. 5838) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Lacrimation, either unilateral or bilateral, was observed for a total of 1 female (No. 4803) at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and 3 females (Nos. 5802, 5805, and 5824) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Unilateral moderate lacrimation was observed in 1 female (No. 5824) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ postexposure on gd 5 , and 2 females (1 each at 20,000 [No. 4803] and at 30,000 [No. 5802] $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) postexposure on gd 6 , possibly treatment and dose related. Bilateral moderate lacrimation was observed in 1 female (No. 5805) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ postexposure on gd 6. Lacrimation and labored breathing, observed in more than one female at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, appeared to likely be treatment related. Also, red exudates were observed from the anogenital area of 2 females with resorptions: 1 female each at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (No. 3808 with 2 mid resorptions) on gd 12 pre-exposure and at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (No. 4814 with 1 mid resorption) on gd 11 postexposure (Table 3).

Maternal feed consumption (in g/day) was significantly reduced at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd 0-5 (pre-exposure period), significantly increased at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd $5-6$, significantly increased at 2000 and $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd 6-7, significantly reduced at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd $7-8$, and significantly reduced at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd $8-9$. Feed consumption (in $\mathrm{g} /$ day) was also significantly reduced at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd $10-11$, significantly increased at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd 12-13, and significantly increased at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd $13-14$. Feed consumption in g/day was equivalent across all groups for gd 9-10, 11-12, 14-15, 15-16, 16-17 (postexposure period, Groups 1-4), gd 5-10 (exposure period only for Group $5,30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ), gd 5-16 (exposure period for Groups 1-4), gd 10-17 (postexposure period for Group 5), and gd 0-17 (gestational period) (Table 4). None of these feed consumption changes are considered adverse or treatment related; all are likely incidental.

Maternal feed consumption (in g/kg body weight/day) was significantly reduced at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd $0-5$ (pre-exposure period), significantly increased at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd 5-6, significantly increased at 2000 and $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd 6-7, significantly reduced at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd $7-8,8-9,9-10$, and $11-12$, significantly reduced at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd $8-9$, $10-11$, and 5-10 (exposure period for Group 5), and significantly increased at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for gd 13-14. There were no differences across groups for feed consumption (in g/kg/day) for gd

12-13, 14-15, 15-16, 16-17 (postexposure period for Groups 1-4), gd 5-16 (exposure period, Groups 1-4), gd 10-17 (postexposure period for Group 5), and gd 0-17 (gestation period) (Table 4). Changes in relative feed consumption at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ during the exposure period were likely due to the processes for inhalation exposure; after the exposure period, there were essentially no effects on feed consumption.

At scheduled necropsy on gd 17, maternal absolute gravid uterine weight, paired adrenal gland weight, and paired kidney weight were unaffected across all groups. Maternal absolute liver weight was equivalent across $0,2000,20,000$, and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and was significantly increased ( $11 \%$; $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Maternal paired adrenal gland and paired kidney weights (relative to terminal body weights) were equivalent across all groups. Relative (to terminal body weight) maternal liver weight was significantly increased in a concentrationrelated manner at $2000(6 \% ; \mathrm{p}<0.01), 10,000(11 \% ; \mathrm{p}<0.001)$, and $20,000(11 \% ; \mathrm{p}<0.001)$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, likely due to induction of metabolizing enzymes detected in dams exposed through gd 16 (observed in Groups 1-4, with exposures ending on gd 16); relative liver weight was unaffected at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, with exposures ending on gd 10 , most likely due to down regulation of metabolizing enzymes postexposure (Conney, 1967) (Table 5).

## Uterine and Embryofetal Findings

## Tables 6-8, Appendix II

For all pregnant females, there were no effects across groups for any reproductive parameter, including no statistically significant effects on the number of ovarian corpora lutea/dam, number of uterine implantation sites/litter, percent preimplantation loss/litter, number (and \%) of resorptions/litter, number (and \%) of litters with resorptions, number (and \%) of late fetal deaths/litter, number (and \%) of litters with late fetal deaths, number (and \%) of nonlive (late fetal deaths plus resorptions) implants/litter, number (and \%) of litters with nonlive implants, number (and \%) of litters with 100\% nonlive implants (fully resorbed), number (or \%) of adversely affected (nonlive plus malformed) implants/litter, and number (and \%) of litters with adversely affected implants. There were $0,1,0,2$, and 3 fully resorbed litters ( $100 \%$ resorptions) at $0,2000,10,000,20,000$, and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, respectively. These findings did not differ statistically across groups but might indicate maternal stress at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$,
with slightly increased numbers of fully resorbed litters (full litter losses are almost always ascribed to effects on the maternal animal) (Table 6).

For live litters, there were no effects across groups for the number of live fetuses/litter, percent male fetuses/litter, number of male or female fetuses/litter, or for average fetal body weight/litter for all fetuses or separately by sex (Table 6).

Summary and statistical analysis of fetal external malformations and variations are presented in Table 7. Presentation of fetal external malformations and variations by defect type is in Table 8. The number of fetuses (litters) examined were 276 (23), 236 (21), 225 (19), 252 (20), and 407 (33) at $0,2000,10,000,20,000$, and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences across groups for any of the parameters evaluated. These parameters included the number and percentage of fetuses with external malformations per litter (total and separately by sex), the number and percentage of fetuses (pooled by group) with external malformations, and of litters with at least 1 fetus with external malformations. Also, there were no differences across groups for the same parameters as above for fetal external variations. There were fetal external malformations and variations observed at low incidence in all 5 groups (Table 7).

The fetal external malformations included encephalocele in 1 fetus in 1 litter (Dam No. 2819, Implant No. 5) at $2000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, cleft palate in 2 fetuses (2 litters), 1(1), 1(1), 1(1), and 7(4) at $0,2000,10,000,20,000$, and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ respectively, and gastroschisis in 1 fetus in 1 litter (Dam No. 5810, Implant No. 7) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. This apparent (nonstatistically significant) increase in the fetal (and litter) incidence of cleft palate at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ may be indirect evidence of maternal stress during exposures at this high concentration (see Discussion below).

The fetal external variations included abnormal rugae in the midline of the palate in 1 fetus in 1 litter each at 10,000 (Dam No. 3822, Implant No. 15) and 20,000 mg/m ${ }^{3}$ (Dam No. 4822, Implant No. 3), not cleft palate, and hematomas at various locations (face, head, neck, and shoulder) in 4 fetuses (in 4 litters) at 0 and $2000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, in 1 fetus (in 1 litter) at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, in 2 fetuses (in 2 litters) at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and in no fetuses at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (Table 8). None of these external variations are considered related to treatment or exposure concentrations.

The historical control data for 288 litters of CD-1 mice at RTI International for governmental clients from 1997-2002 are presented in Table 9.

## DISCUSSION

This study was designed and performed:

1. To confirm or refute the fetal malformation finding of ectopia cordis observed in 1 fetus at $2000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and in 2 fetuses (in the same litter) at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in the previous developmental toxicity study on this test material in CD-1® mice (EMBSI, 2009b);
2. To confirm or refute the fetal malformation finding of gastroschisis observed in 1 fetus at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (but not at 2000 or $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) in the previous developmental toxicity study at EMBSI (2009b) on this test material in CD-1® mice;
3. To extend the test atmospheric concentration range from $0,2000,10,000$, and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ on gd 5 through 16 employed previously (EMBSI, 2009b), to 0 , $2000,10,000,20,000$, and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (the last concentration at $75 \%$ of the lower explosive limit), with daily exposures on gd 5 through 16 for the 0-20,000 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ groups and on gd 5 through 10 for the $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ group. This last gestation interval was included to encompass the time of embryonic ventral wall closure, the failure of which is likely responsible for both ectopia cordis and gastroschisis. There were 23 plug-positive females/group at $0-20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and 38 plug-positive females at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ to improve the possibility of detection of these rare fetal malformations.

There were no apparent treatment-related effects on maternal body weights or weight gains and no consistent treatment- or concentration-related effects on maternal feed consumption. The treatment-related increases in absolute (at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) and relative (at 2000, 10,000, and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) maternal liver weights are most likely due to the induction of hepatic metabolizing enzymes, with the concomitant increase in liver weight (Conney, 1967). This is not considered maternal toxicity, per se, but an adaptive metabolic response to exposure to a xenobiotic. The absence of an increased liver weight at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ might reflect the 7 day interval between the end of treatment and necropsy of animals in this group. It seems likely, given the increases seen in the other test-substance-treated groups, that liver weights were initially increased in all treatment groups.

Terminal maternal adrenal gland weights were not changed across groups, although the current thinking is that there may be increased maternal production of corticosterone (shown to cause fetal cleft palate; Hemm et al., 1977; Pradat et al., 2003; Senda et al., 2005; Carmichael et al., 2007) in response to the stress of moving the animals in and out of chambers in all groups and in the high "dose" group also from the stress of the narcotic/lethargic effect of MTBE at this concentration (see Bevan et al., 1997a,b). It is possible (if not probable) that the adrenal glands of the dams exposed to $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ on gd 5-10 were producing increased corticosterone (with temporary glandular enlargement) during the exposure period, with resolution of increased hormone output and glandular changes by scheduled termination on gd 17. Interestingly, lethargy was observed in the females at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in the range-finding study but was not documented in this study during the daily exposures; it is likely the admittedly subjective effect was present in this study since it was present in the range-finding study at the same exposure concentration and duration. Clinical observations of the dams did indicate treatment-related findings, i.e., labored breathing only at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ postexposure on gd 9 and 10 , respectively, in 1 female in each group, and lacrimation in 1 female on gd 6 at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and in 3 females on gd 5 and 6 at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, early in the exposure period.

The CD-1® (Swiss) mice used in the previous study (EMBSI, 2009b) were from the Charles River, Portage, MI, facility; the CD-1® (Swiss) mice used in the current study were from the Charles River, Raleigh, NC, facility, because RTI International has a historical control database for developmental toxicity studies on this mouse strain from this source, and to preclude the possibility that the fetal findings from the EMBSI study were due to a different spontaneous rate of these two fetal malformations in the Portage colony (due to founder effects, genetic drift, etc.). Females like the pseudohermaphroditic adult female at $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (removed from study) have been observed at very low incidence in other studies with this mouse strain at RTI International from the Charles River, Raleigh, NC, facility.

1. This study did not confirm the presence of ectopia cordis in any mouse fetus at any exposure concentration out of a total 122 litters and 1396 fetuses. In the absence of a clear dose-response pattern to this finding in the EMBSI (2009b) study and the total absence of this finding in the present study, it is the Study Director's opinion that it is appropriate (and prudent) to conclude that this fetal finding is likely not related to maternal exposure to the test material.
2. This study did not confirm the presence of gastroschisis in fetuses at 2000, 10,000 , or $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$; it was also not found at 2000 or $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at EMBSI (2009b). One fetus (out of 407) did exhibit gastroschisis at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in the present study. This fetus (No. 6 female) was from Female No. 5810; her litter included 15 implants and 14 live fetuses. In her litter, fetus No. 5 female and fetus No. 12 male exhibited cleft palates, and fetus No. 6 female had cleft palate as well as gastroschisis. In this group at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, there were 7 fetuses in 4 litters with cleft palate (greater incidence relative to other 4 groups, but not statistically significantly different), with 3 of them in this index litter. The body weight of the single female fetus with gastroschisis and cleft palate was much lower $(0.6057 \mathrm{~g})$ than the body weights of the remaining fetuses in the litter: females 0.8034-0.9768 g; males 0.8406-0.8893 g (Table A-4 in Appendix II). Her body weight was also much lower than the mean female fetal body weight/litter for this group ( $1.0141 \pm 0.0239$ [S.E.M.] g); i.e., she was classified as a "runt," with a body weight below 3 standard deviations (and 3 standard errors) from the litter mean by sex in this group (Table 6). Although there is a known relationship between fetal body weight and cleft palate (i.e., malformed fetuses tend to be lighter at term than normal fetuses; Ryan et al., 1991), there are not yet any data on the biological relationship between reduced fetal body weight and other malformations (i.e., is it cause and/or effect?). This $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ group also contained 3 fully resorbed litters (out of 36 pregnant). At $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, 2$ litters were fully resorbed (out of 22 pregnant), and 1 fetus in 1 litter exhibited cleft palate (and no incidence of gastroschisis). There were no fully resorbed litters at 0 or $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and only 1 fully resorbed litter at $2000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (Table 2). Cleft palate was present in 2 fetuses in 2 litters at $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and in 1 fetus in 1 litter each at $2000,10,000$, and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (Table 8 ). The increase in fetal and litter incidence of cleft palate and the increase in fully resorbed litters at 30,000 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ provide circumstantial evidence for maternal toxicity (i.e., stress from the exposure procedures, per se, and from a very high level of G/MTBE, resulting in additional stress and excessive corticosteroid production known to cause cleft palate; Hemm et al., 1977; Pradat et al., 2003; Senda et al., 2005; Carmichael et
al., 2007). Maternal toxicity (stress) is also known to cause full litter resorptions; it is ascribed to the dam, not the conceptuses, and exposure on gd 5-10 corresponded to periods of early implantation and palatal sensitivities. The presence of gastroschisis in 1 fetus at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ may also indicate a possible association with maternal stress and G/MTBE only at this very high atmospheric concentration.
In the present study, gastroschisis was observed in only 1 fetus, only at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and only in the presence of profound developmental toxicity for that fetus (very low body weight and cleft palate). There was also general evidence of toxicity in this group (e.g., lethargy observed in dams in the range-finding study at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) and lower individual maternal body weight gain ( 1.9 g) for Dam No. 5810 with the fetus with gastroschisis, versus the $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ mean $(3.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and the vehicle control group mean ( 3.3 g ) for weight gain during gd 5-10, the exposure period. Historical control data from governmental studies with the Charles River CD-1® (Swiss) mouse at RTI (Table 9), with 288 litters and 3641 fetuses, indicates no fetuses with gastroschisis or ectopia cordis. There were 18 fetuses in 11 control litters (in 6 studies) with cleft palate (1 to 4 litters affected/affected study) and 2 fetuses in 2 control litters (1/litter) with exencephaly in 2 studies, 1 litter affected/affected study. The absence of gastroschisis in any of the 3,641 control CD-1 mouse fetuses in 288 control litters (Table 9) lends support to the conclusion that gastroschisis in this study may be treatment related, occurring in a compromised fetus at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ with likely concomitant maternal toxicity (see above and conclusion No. 4 below). No other historical control data on maternal and fetal findings in the Charles River $C D-1 ®$ mouse could be found in the open literature.

Neither gastroschisis nor ectopia cordis was observed in CD-1® mouse fetuses from mothers exposed to $0,1000,4000$, or 8000 ppm MTBE by whole-body inhalation (in the presence of maternal and embryofetal toxicity at 4000 and 8000 ppm MTBE; Bevan et al., 1997a), nor was either of these malformations observed in mice exposed to a vapor condensate of gasoline as part of this testing program (EMBSI, 2009a). It does not appear that exposure to either MTBE or gasoline vapor, at atmospheric concentrations $\leq 8000 \mathrm{ppm}$, causes ectopia cordis or gastroschisis in mice. Maternal ataxia, hypoactivity, prostration, labored breathing, and lacrimation were observed at 4000 and 8000 ppm MTBE, and the resultant stress was considered most likely responsible for (or at least exacerbated) the increased incidence of fetal cleft palate at

8000 ppm. Reduced fetal body weights and concomitant reduced fetal skeletal ossification were also observed at 4000 and 8000 ppm MTBE vapor, with 4 fully resorbed litters at 8000 ppm MTBE (Bevan et al., 1997a). Neither gastroschisis nor ectopia cordis was observed in $\mathrm{CD}{ }^{\circledR}$ rat offspring in a 2-generation study of inhaled MTBE at 400, 3000, or 8000 ppm (Bevan et al., 1997b) or in rabbit fetuses from does exposed to 1000, 4000, or 8000 ppm MTBE in a developmental toxicity study (Bevan et al., 1997a). Ventral closure defects were also not observed in rat reproductive and developmental toxicity studies conducted with gasoline vapor condensate or G/MTBE (EMBSI, 2009a,b; Huntingdon Life Sciences, Inc., 2009a,b), nor with light ends of gasoline (Roberts et. al., 2001), nor in the mouse developmental toxicity study conducted with gasoline vapor condensate (EMBSI, 2009a).

The incidence of fetal cleft palate in the EMBSI study was only 1 fetus (in 1 litter), and only at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (that study's highest concentration). In the present study, cleft palate was observed in all 5 groups, including the air control group (2 fetuses in 2 litters), at 2000$20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ( 1 fetus in 1 litter in each group) and at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ( 7 fetuses in 4 litters). The increased incidence of cleft palate observed at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, although not statistically significantly different from the control value, was considered to be biologically relevant. Cleft palate in fetal mice is inducible by increased corticosterone levels in the dam (and presumably transported to the fetuses; Carmichael et al., 2007; Senda et al., 2005; Pradat et al., 2003; Hemm et al., 1997). Maternal increased corticosterone levels may be attributed to increased maternal stress from inhalation exposures, per se (moving dams into and out of chambers, exposure to dynamic air flows, no feed or water during exposure periods, no solid flooring in exposure cages, etc.), and from test materials at anesthetic concentrations. In fact, maternal inhalation of MTBE has been shown to produce cleft palates in fetuses from CD-1 mouse dams which exhibited lethargy and apparent unconsciousness (Bevan et al., 1997a). Maternal lethargy during exposures was also observed by HLS staff during the daily exposure periods of G/MTBE at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in the range-finding study at HLS (it was not noted by HLS staff during the daily exposure periods at any concentration in this definitive study). Therefore, the presence of fetal cleft palate in all groups (including the control group) was not unexpected, and the increased incidence at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (from both inhalation procedures, per se, and the anesthetic qualities of the MTBE in the G/MTBE at this atmospheric concentration) was also anticipated. The increased cleft palate incidence at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ is interpreted as most likely secondary to
maternal stress effects. Maternal stress may have also played a role in the single case of gastroschisis in a vulnerable, compromised fetus developing in a dam exposed to anesthetic levels of MTBE. Since the maternal exposures to $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ were from gd $5-10$, it is highly likely that any indications of maternal stress or other toxicity (e.g., increased adrenal weights) would have resolved during the postexposure period (gd 10-17) and were therefore not present at scheduled necropsy on gd 17.

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated the following:

1. No confirmation of fetal ectopia cordis at any test atmospheric concentration employed;
2. No confirmation of fetal gastroschisis at $0-20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
3. One fetus (out of 407 fetuses, $0.24 \%$ ) in one litter (out of 33 litters with live fetuses, $3.03 \%$ ) exhibited gastroschisis at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$; this female fetus had very low body weight (designated a "runt"), also exhibited cleft palate, and was clearly compromised. The single incidence of gastroschisis and the increased incidence of cleft palate in this group may both be related to fetal toxicity, secondary to maternal stress, only at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.
4. Fetal cleft palate was present at a low incidence (1-2 fetuses/group) at 0-20,000 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, with increased incidences ( 7 fetuses in 4 litters) at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, likely due to greater maternal stress from the anesthetic qualities of the test atmosphere at this concentration. See above for discussion on maternal stress causing elevated corticosteroids, which in turn cause offspring cleft palate. Since the dams at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ were exposed only on gd $5-10$, the offspring palates would have been affected (Hemm et al., 1977; Pradat et al., 2003; Senda et al., 2005; Carmichael et al., 2007), but any elevated corticosteroid levels (and any effects on adrenal gland weights) would likely have resolved by gd 17 at the time of necropsy. Relatively minor maternal treatment-related clinical signs of distress were observed at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in this study, with greater maternal clinical signs observed at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in the range-finding study. The increased incidence of fetal cleft palate and the presence of gastroschisis in 1 fetus in this
group, in this study, may indicate effects on compromised fetuses in the presence of maternal stress (toxicity). The presence of gastroschisis at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and its absence from all available CD-1 historical control databases lends some support to the possible conclusion that the presence of 1 fetus with gastroschisis may have been treatment related, secondary to maternal stress.
5. Therefore, in the Study Director's opinion, maternal exposure to the test chemical at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, an extremely high atmospheric concentration, in the presence of fetal and demonstrable maternal toxicity during the embryonic period of ventral body wall closure, may have resulted in a very low incidence of gastroschisis (1 out of 407 fetuses, $0.24 \%$; 1 out of 33 litters with live fetuses, $3.03 \%$ ) in vulnerable mouse fetuses in the highest concentration group. The one affected fetus also exhibited reduced body weight and cleft palate. With lower fetal and maternal toxicity at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and below, there was no incidence of gastroschisis. The absence of any mouse fetus with gastroschisis in the performing laboratory's historical control database lends some support to the possible conclusion that the single case of gastroschisis at a very high atmosphere of G/MTBE ( $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) in this study may have been treatment related. Since the one affected female fetus at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ was also very small (a "runt") and also exhibited cleft palate, her malformation findings may have been caused by (or exacerbated by) maternal exposure to G/MTBE. Alternatively, the fetal effects may have been caused by (or exacerbated by) maternal toxicity (stress) or may have been a spontaneous fetal malformation.

Under the conditions of this study, the NOAELs for maternal and developmental toxicity, based on the maternal effects observed at 20,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and the developmental effects observed at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, were determined by the Study Director to be $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for maternal toxicity and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for developmental toxicity.
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## LIST OF PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

Study: Mi04-HLS2 Master Protocol No.: RTI-909 HLS Study Code: 04-4263

## HLS

1. Due to technician error, food left weights were recorded for the Exposure Day 12-13 interval, but food fed weights for the Exposure Day 13-14 interval were not entered into the computer system for Animal Nos. 1805, 1806, 1807, 1808, 1809, 1810, 1811, 1812, 1814, 1815, 1816, 1818, 1819, 1820, 1823, 2804, 2806, 2808, 2814, 2815, 2817, 2818, 2819, 2821, 2822, and 2823. Since the feeders for these animals were returned to the cages after obtaining the food left weights and did not need to be changed, a series of edits was performed which provided a food fed weight equivalent to the food left weight.
2. Due to the unexpectedly extended mating period, only 130 mice were placed on test rather than 140. This resulted in the following group sizes: Group 1-4 had 23 mice and Group 5 had 38 mice.
3. The group means for MIRAN sampling were outside of the stated protocol range of $\pm 10 \%$ on Exposure Days 6, 9, 16 (Group 2), Exposure Days 16 and 19 (Group 3), and Exposure Day 2 and 16 (Group 4).
4. Individual MIRAN samples were outside the protocol specified range of $\pm 10 \%$ for the following Sample Nos.: 2001, 2002, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2032, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2044, 2052, 2063, 2064, 3061, 3062, 3063, 3064, 3065, 3066, 3077, 3078, 4001, 4004, 4005, 4406, 4016, 4024, 4063, 4064, 4070, 5001, 5005 and 5025. Chamber concentration values were confirmed as needed in accordance with Testing Facility SOP.
5. Due to technician oversight, a Nestlet ${ }^{\circledR}$ was given to Animal No. 5838 during the morning of Exposure Day 16 (removed after a total of 37 minutes) and then again in the afternoon. The protocol specifications were for afternoon only.
6. Due to technician oversight, animals in Groups 1-4 chambers and Group 5 chamber were not rotated on Exposure Days 11 and 13, respectively.
7. At RTI's request, with the Sponsor's approval, nonpregnant females were sacrificed and examined macroscopically in order to determine their actual state of pregnancy, although not required by protocol.
8. Due to a communication error with the Sponsor's Representative, Group 5 dams were removed from their cages with Nestlets ${ }^{\circledR}$ and inserts only from GD 14-16 and placed into cages without Nestlets® and inserts to simulate the Groups 1-4 exposure regimen. Per intent of Sponsor, the Group 5 dams should have been removed from their cages with Nestlets ${ }^{\circledR}$ and inserts from GD 10-16 and placed into cages without Nestlets ${ }^{\circledR}$ and inserts
to simulate the cage environment of the females in Groups 1-4 during the remaining exposure on gd 16.
9. Due to the HLS Principal Investigator’s oversight, Protocol Amendment No. 2 was signed by one IACUC member instead of two, as designated by the protocol.

In the Study Director's professional opinion, these protocol deviations did not affect the study design, performance, or interpretation and are presented for completeness.

Table 1. Analysis of Test Atmospheres (page 1 of 1)

|  | Target Concentrations ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| Mean analytical concentration $\pm$ SD (\% of target) ${ }^{a}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \pm 0^{b} \\ (\mathrm{NA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2074 \pm 248 \\ (103.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9925 \pm 688 \\ (99.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,342 \pm 1815 \\ (101.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29,250 \pm 1480 \\ (97.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Particle Size Determination: ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMAD ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 2.179 | 5.699 | 9.319 | 3.845 | 1.144 |
| GSD | 1.676 | 2.117 | 2.071 | 1.955 | 2.910 |
| TMC ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | $2.56 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.05 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.41 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.47 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.54 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| Mean temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ ) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $20.3 \pm 0.9$ | $20.8 \pm 1.2$ | $21.5 \pm 0.9$ | $20.7 \pm 0.9$ | $20.7 \pm 0.8$ |
| Mean relative humidity $(\% \pm S D)^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $31.2 \pm 4.9$ | $32.0 \pm 7.1$ | $28.6 \pm 4.2$ | $28.4 \pm 4.1$ | $27.6 \pm 4.7$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mean of 4 assays/chamber/day (20 days for Group 1, 18 days for Group 2, 22 days for Group 3, 19 days for Group 4, and 12 days for Group 5) measured by infrared spectroscopy |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimated limit of quantification (LOQ) $=433 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (Appendix I) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Measured 1 time/chamber |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Measured 13 times/chamber/day |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD = Standard deviation |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMAD = mass median aerodynamic diameter |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSD = geometric standard deviation |  |  |  |  |  |
| TMC = total mass concentration (measure of aerosol concentration) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Body Weights and Body Weight Changes (page 1 of 6 )


Table 2. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Body Weights and Body Weight Changes (page 2 of 6 )

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 9) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29.7 | 30.6 | 30.3 | 30.6 | 30.4 |
|  | $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 0.4$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 10) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.9 | 31.8 | 31.6 | 31.5 | 31.3 |
|  | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.6$ | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 0.4$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 11) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32.8 | 33.9 | 33.6 | 33.2 | 33.0 |
|  | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.6$ | $\pm 0.6$ | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.5$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $N=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 12) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34.9 | 35.8 | 35.5 | 35.1 | 35.3 |
|  | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.8$ | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.6$ | $\pm 0.5$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $N=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $N=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 13) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }} 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36.9 | 37.2 | 37.3 | 36.7 | 37.4 |
|  | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.9$ | $\pm 0.6$ | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 0.6$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $N=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 14) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.4 | 38.7 | 39.7 |
|  | $\pm 0.6$ | $\pm 1.0$ | $\pm 0.6$ | $\pm 0.9$ | $\pm 0.7$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |

Table 2. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Body Weights and Body Weight Changes (page 3 of 6 )

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 15) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.2 | 41.2 | 42.4 |
|  | $\pm 0.6$ | $\pm 1.1$ | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 1.0$ | $\pm 0.9$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 16) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45.2 | 45.1 | 45.3 | 44.0 | 45.2 |
|  | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 1.4$ | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 1.2$ | $\pm 1.1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $N=22$ | $N=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 17) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 48.4 | 48.0 | 48.3 | 46.7 | 48.3 |
|  | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 1.5$ | $\pm 0.8$ | $\pm 1.4$ | $\pm 1.2$ |
|  |  | $N=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $N=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight (gd 17 at sacrifice) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 47.14 | 46.71 | 47.52 | 45.91 | 47.44 |
|  | $\pm 0.73$ | $\pm 1.50$ | $\pm 0.79$ | $\pm 1.43$ | $\pm 1.18$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ |  |  |
| Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 0$\text { to } 5)(\mathrm{g})^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
|  | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $N=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $N=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 5$\text { to } 6)(\mathrm{g})^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |

Table 2. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Body Weights and Body Weight Changes (page 4 of 6 )

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| Maternal Body Weight Change$(\mathrm{gd} 6 \text { to } 7)(\mathrm{g})^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | $N=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $N=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $N=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 7 to 8) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
|  | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $N=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $N=36$ |

Maternal Body Weight Change
(gd 8 to 9) (g) ${ }^{b}$

| 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=36$ |

Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 9 to 10) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.1 \S$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=36$ |

Maternal Body Weight Change
(gd 10 to 11) (g) ${ }^{b}$

| 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=36$ |

Maternal Body Weight Change
(gd 11 to 12) (g) ${ }^{b}$

| 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.1 \S$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=36$ |

Table 2. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Body Weights and Body Weight Changes (page 5 of 6 )

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 12 to 13) (g) ${ }^{b}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2.1 \ddagger \ddagger$ | 1.4 ** | 1.9 | 1.5 * | 2.0 |
|  | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $N=36$ |
| Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 13 to 14) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
|  | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |

Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 14 to 15) (g) ${ }^{b}$

| 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |

Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 15 to 16) (g) ${ }^{b}$

| 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=36$ |

Maternal Body Weight Change
(gd 16 to 17) (g) ${ }^{b}$

| 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm \mathbf{0} .2$ | $\pm$ | $\pm .2$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~m}=3.1$ |

Maternal Body Weight Change
(gd 5 to 10) (g) ${ }^{\text {b,c }}$


Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 5 to 16) (g) ${ }^{\text {b,d }}$

| 17.6 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 15.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 1.2$ | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 1.2$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ |

Table 2. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Body Weights and Body Weight Changes (page 6 of 6 )

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| Maternal Body Weight Change (gd 10 to 17) $(g)^{b, c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \\ & \pm 0.4 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & \pm 0.9 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{aligned}$ |
| Maternal Body Weight Change (gestation) (g) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 20.4 \\ & \pm 0.6 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.6 \\ & \pm 1.3 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.5 \\ & \pm 0.7 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \\ \pm 1.5 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Maternal Body Weight Change (corrected) (g) ${ }^{\text {b,e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.14 \\ & \pm \quad 0.25 \\ & \hline N=23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.98 \\ \pm \quad 0.44 \\ \hline \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.95 \\ +\quad 0.51 \\ \hline \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.88 \\ +\quad 0.38 \\ \hline \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.20 \\ \pm \quad 0.32 \\ \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{array}$ |

aFemale 3814 was removed from study due to a pre-existing condition. At necropsy she was found to have an undescended testis on the right and seminal vesicles and prostate to the right of the vagina and cervix.
bIncludes all pregnant dams until terminal sacrifice on gestational day 17. Reported as the mean $\pm$ S.E.M.; gd=gestational day.
${ }^{\text {C }}$ This endpoint was only calculated for the 0 and $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ dose groups.

eWeight change during gestation (gestational day 17 sacrifice weight minus gestational day 0 weight) minus gravid uterine weight.
\#Levene's Test for homogeneity of variances was significant ( $p<0.05$ ); therefore, robust regression methods were used to test all treatment effects.
$\ddagger \ddagger_{p<0.01 ; ~ A N O V A ~ T e s t . ~}^{\text {. }}$
$\varsigma_{p<0.05 ; ~ T e s t ~ f o r ~ L i n e a r ~ T r e n d . ~}^{\text {p }}$
*p<0.05; Dunnett's Test.
** $\mathrm{p}<0.01$; Dunnett's Test.

Table 3. Summary of the Maternal Clinical Observations (page 1 of 2)

## A. Clinical Observations Summarized by Group

| Observation | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Eye: enophthalmos ${ }^{\text {a }}$, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Labored breathing |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Lacrimation, bilateral, moderate |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Lacrimation, unilateral, moderate |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Red exudates from anogenital area |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |

## B. Clinical Observations Summarized by Group, Day, and Time

| Day ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Time ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | Observation | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Dosed gd } \\ 5-10 \end{array} \\ \hline 30,000 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 |  |
| 2 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 3 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 4 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 5 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | Lacrimation, unilateral, moderate |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 6 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | Lacrimation, bilateral, moderate |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | Lacrimation, unilateral, moderate |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Table 3. Summary of the Maternal Clinical Observations (page 2 of 2)

## B. Clinical Observations Summarized by Group, Day, and Time

| Dayb | Time ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | Observation | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Dosed gd } \\ 5-10 \end{array} \\ \hline 30,000 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 |  |
| 8 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 9 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | Labored breathing |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 10 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | Labored breathing |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 11 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Red exudates from anogenital area |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 12 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | Red exudates from anogenital area |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 13 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 14 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 16 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 17 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |  |  |  |  | 1 |

$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{A}}$ sinking of the eyeball into the orbital cavity.
$b_{G e s t a t i o n a l ~ d a y . ~}^{\text {a }}$
${ }^{C_{\text {Time }}}$ is prior to/post (after) exposures.

Table 4. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Feed Consumption (page 1 of 6)


Maternal Feed Consumption
(gd 5 to 6) (g/day) ${ }^{a}$

| $6.1 \dagger$ | 6.7 | $7.7 \mathbf{P}$ | 6.2 | 5.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.3 \ddot{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=20 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=16 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=32 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}$ |

Maternal Feed Consumption
(gd 6 to 7) (g/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $6.1 \dagger \dagger$ | 7.8 P | 7.7 PPP | 6.2 | 6.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=18 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{N}=17 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=17 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=32 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ |

Maternal Feed Consumption
(gd 7 to 8) (g/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $7.0 \dagger \dagger \dagger$ | 7.4 | 8.2 | 6.2 P | 6.4 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.4 \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=18^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=34 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}$ |

Maternal Feed Consumption
(gd 8 to 9 ) ( $g / d a y)^{a}$

| $\#$ | $7.6 \dagger \dagger \dagger$ | 6.8 | 7.5 | 6.1 Pb | 6.2 PP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\pm 0.5 \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{N}=16 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{N}=20^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=33 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ |  |

Maternal Feed Consumption
(gd 9 to 10) (g/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 6.7 ఫキも | 7.0 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 6.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + 0.2 §§ | + 0.3 | + 0.2 | + 0.2 | + 0.1 |
| $N=23$ | $N=21 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{N}=18 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{N}=20 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ | $N=35{ }^{\text {b }}$ |

Table 4. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Feed Consumption (page 2 of 6)

| Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
| 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |

Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 10 to 11) $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}}$

| \# | $6.9 \dagger \dagger \dagger$ | 7.6 | 7.5 | 6.3 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.2 \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.2$ | 6.2 pp |
| $\mathrm{N}=19 \mathrm{D}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=18 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20^{d}$ | $\pm 0.1$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{~N}=33 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}$ |  |  |

Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 11 to 12) $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}}$

| $7.7 \ddagger$ | 7.1 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 7.8 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=18 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=33 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ |

Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 12 to 13)
$(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}}$

$$
\begin{array}{lrrrr}
7.1 \ddagger \ddagger & 7.3 & 8.0 * & 6.9 & 7.8 \\
\pm 0.2 & \pm 0.3 & \pm 0.3 & \pm 0.2 & \pm 0.2 \\
\mathrm{~N}=23 & \mathrm{~N}=21^{\mathrm{C}} & \mathrm{~N}=19 & \mathrm{~N}=22 & \mathrm{~N}=34^{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~d}}
\end{array}
$$

## Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 13 to 14)

 (g/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$| $7.3 \ddagger \ddagger$ | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 8.0 * |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.1 \S$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{N}=18 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=36$ |

Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 14 to 15) (g/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 7.6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=36$ |

Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 15 to 16) $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}}$

| $7.5 \ddagger$ | 7.8 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 7.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm$ | $\pm .2 \S$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm$ | 0.3 | | $\pm$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}=23$ |

Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 16 to 17) $(g / d a y)^{a}$

| 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 7.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=35 \mathrm{C}$ |

Table 4. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Feed Consumption (page 3 of 6)


Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 0
to 5) $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}}$

| $224.8 \dagger \dagger \dagger$ | 230.4 | 223.1 | 189.4 Pb | 241.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 10.3$ | $\pm 11.3$ | $\pm 13.5$ | $\pm 5.5$ | $\pm 14.3$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=17 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=18^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=11^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=16^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=25^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 5
to 6) $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}}$

| $\#$ | $216.1 \dagger$ | 233.1 | 270.7 P | 217.3 | 207.5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\pm 8.3 \ddot{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\pm 9.7$ | $\pm 24.6$ | $\pm 8.9$ | $\pm 4.6$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=20^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=21 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=16^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=21^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=32 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Feed Consumption (page 4 of 6)

| Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
| 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
6 to 7 ) $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}}$

| $\#$ | $214.6 \dagger \dagger$ | 266.0 P | 264.7 Pb | 213.3 | 218.1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 7.6 \ddot{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\pm 22.5$ | $\pm 14.5$ | $\pm 8.4$ | $\pm 7.2$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=18 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{N}=17^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=17 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=32 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
7 to 8) (g/kg/day) ${ }^{a}$

| $\#$ | $242.4 \dagger \dagger \dagger$ | 247.6 | 275.4 | $208.1 \mathbf{P}$ | 216.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 14.8 \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{Y}$ | $\pm 10.4$ | $\pm 21.8$ | $\pm 5.9$ | $\pm 5.3$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=18 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=34 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
8 to 9$)(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})^{a}$

| $\#$ | $255.3 \dagger \dagger \dagger$ | 224.2 | 249.3 | $202.6 \mathbf{P P}$ | $204.2 \mathbf{P P}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 17.1 \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\pm 10.3$ | $\pm 14.0$ | $\pm 5.9$ | $\pm 4.0$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19^{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=16^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=20^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=33 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
9 to 10 ) $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} / \text { day })^{\mathrm{a}}$

| $222.9 \ddagger \ddagger \ddagger$ | 225.2 | 234.9 | $198.8 *$ | 204.8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 8.4 \S \S$ | $\pm 8.7$ | $\pm 7.6$ | $\pm 4.7$ | $\pm 3.4$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=18^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=20^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=35 \mathrm{~b}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
10 to 11) (g/kg/day) ${ }^{a}$

| $218.8 \ddagger \ddagger \ddagger$ | 229.9 | 230.3 | 197.4 | $193.4 *$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 10.0$ §§§ | $\pm 8.3$ | $\pm 6.9$ | $\pm 6.4$ | $\pm 2.8$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=19 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=18 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=33^{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
11 to 12) (g/kg/day) ${ }^{a}$

| $228.1 \ddagger$ | 203.7 | 222.9 | $197.0 *$ | 230.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 11.6$ | $\pm 5.1$ | $\pm 9.0$ | $\pm 4.6$ | $\pm 8.0$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=18^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=20^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=33 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
12 to 13 ) ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}}$

| $198.3 \ddagger \ddagger$ | 198.8 | 221.2 | 192.0 | 213.4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 5.9$ | $\pm 6.0$ | $\pm 7.5$ | $\pm 5.9$ | $\pm 5.6$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=34 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}$ |

Table 4. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Feed Consumption (page 5 of 6)

| Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
| 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 13 to 14 ) ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $)^{a}$

| $191.7 \ddagger$ | 195.8 | 205.9 | 189.8 | 209.4 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 4.2 \S$ | $\pm 4.9$ | $\pm 4.9$ | $\pm 6.6$ | $\pm 4.1$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=18 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
14 to 15 ) ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $)^{a}$

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
182.2 & 182.8 \\
\pm 4.1 & \pm 4.9 \\
\mathrm{~N}=23 & \mathrm{~N}=21 \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}
$$

$$
190.7
$$

$$
177.8
$$

$$
187.3
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm 5.0 \\
& \mathrm{~N}=36
\end{aligned}
$$

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
15 to 16 ) ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})^{a}$

| $171.5 \ddagger \ddagger$ | 179.0 | 181.5 | 162.0 | 163.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 3.4$ §§ | $\pm 4.5$ | $\pm 6.1$ | $\pm 3.5$ | $\pm 2.6$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=35^{\mathrm{C}}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
16 to 17 ) ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $)^{\mathrm{a}}$

| 162.9 | 160.3 | 166.1 | 161.2 | 159.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\pm 4.7$ | $\pm 3.4$ | $\pm 3.4$ | $\pm 4.6$ | $\pm 2.4$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=35^{\mathrm{C}}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 5 to 10) (g/kg/day) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}}$

| $\#$ | $235.6 \dagger$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\pm 12.1 \ddot{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $209.5 \mathbf{P}$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=20^{f}$ | $\mathbf{~}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd 5
to 16) (g/kg/day) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{g}}$

| 202.6 | 206.9 | 222.0 | 199.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 5.7$ | $\pm 5.3$ | $\pm 6.7$ | $\pm 7.0$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=17^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=14^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=12^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=17^{\mathrm{f}}$ |

Relative Maternal Feed Consumption (gd
10 to 17) (g/kg/day) ${ }^{\text {a,e }}$

| 189.6 | 194.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 4.8$ | $\pm 3.4$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=19^{f}$ | $\mathrm{~N}=31^{f}$ |

Table 4. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Feed Consumption (page 6 of 6)

a Includes all pregnant dams until terminal sacrifice on gestational day 17. Reported as the mean $\pm$ S.E.M.; gd = gestational day.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Decrease in N is due to one or more feeders spilling, and therefore the feed weight was excluded.
${ }^{C}$ Decrease in $N$ is due to the feed being contaminated for one or more animals, and therefore the feed weight was excluded.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {Decrease }} \mathrm{in}$ is due to the feed consumption value for one or more animals being a statistical outlier, and therefore they were excluded.

${ }^{f}$ Decrease in $N$ is due to interim feed consumption value(s) for one or more dams being missing, and therefore the overall feed consumption value could not be calculated.
GThis endpoint was only calculated for the $0,2000,10,000$, and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ dose groups.
\#Levene's Test for homogeneity of variances was significant ( $p<0.05$ ); therefore, robust regression methods were used to test all treatment effects.
$\dagger_{p<0.05 ; ~ W a l d ~ C h i-s q u a r e ~ T e s t ~ f o r ~ o v e r a l l ~ t r e a t m e n t ~ e f f e c t ~ i n ~ r o b u s t ~ r e g r e s s i o n ~ m o d e l . ~}^{\text {. }}$
$\dagger^{\dagger}$ $<0.01$; Wald Chi-square Test for overall treatment effect in robust regression model.
$\dagger \dagger \dagger p<0.001$; Wald Chi-square Test for overall treatment effect in robust regression model.
$\ddot{Y}_{p<0.05 ;}$ Linear trend test in robust regression model.
$\ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}} \ddot{\mathrm{Y}}_{\mathrm{p}}<0.001$; Linear trend test in robust regression model.
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{p}<0.05 \text {; Individual t-test for pairwise comparisons to control in robust regression model. }}$
$\mathbf{P P}_{p<0.01}$; Individual t-test for pairwise comparisons to control in robust regression model.
$\mathbf{P P P}_{\mathrm{p}<0.001 \text {; Individual t-test for pairwise comparisons to control in robust regression model. }}^{\text {I }}$
$\ddagger_{\mathrm{p}<0.05 ; ~ A N O V A ~ T e s t . ~}^{\text {. }}$
$\ddagger \ddagger p<0.01 ;$ ANOVA Test.
$\ddagger \ddagger \ddagger p<0.001$; ANOVA Test.
$\varsigma_{p<0.05 ; ~ T e s t ~ f o r ~ L i n e a r ~ T r e n d . ~}^{\text {; }}$
$\S \varsigma_{p<0.01 ; ~ T e s t ~ f o r ~ L i n e a r ~ T r e n d . ~}^{\text {P }}$
$\S \S_{p<0.001 ; ~ T e s t ~ f o r ~ L i n e a r ~ T r e n d . ~}^{\text {p }}$
*p<0.05; Dunnett's Test.

Table 5. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Absolute and Relative Organ Weights (page 1 of 2)

| Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
| 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |

Absolute Gravid Uterine
Weight (g) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
17.2900 & 15.5703 & 16.5732 & 15.7215 & 16.7807 \\
\pm 0.4737 & \pm 1.1217 & \pm 0.3737 & \pm 1.2039 & \pm 0.9127 \\
\mathrm{~N}=23 & \mathrm{~N}=22 & \mathrm{~N}=19 & \mathrm{~N}=22 & \mathrm{~N}=36
\end{array}
$$

Absolute Maternal Liver
Weight (g) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 2.4511 ఫ | 2.5766 | 2.7247 * | 2.6308 | 2.4253 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0.0498 | +0.0889 | +0.0538 | +0.0715 | +0.0604 |
| $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |

Absolute Maternal Paired
Adrenal Gland Weight (g) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 0.0136 | 0.0144 | 0.0132 | 0.0137 | 0.0135 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 0.0006$ | +0.0007 | +0.0004 | +0.0005 | +0.0003 |
| $\mathrm{N}=22 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=18^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $N=36$ |

Absolute Maternal Paired
Kidney Weight (g) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 0.4277 | 0.4454 | 0.4394 | 0.4376 | 0.4311 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0.0089 | $\pm 0.0107$ | +0.0054 | +0.0089 | +0.0073 |
| $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |

Relative Maternal Liver Weight (\% sacrifice weight) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

$$
\begin{array}{lllll} 
& 5.1961 \dagger \dagger \dagger & 5.5269 \text { PP } & 5.7418 \text { PPP } & 5.7610 \text { PPP }
\end{array} \quad 5.1550
$$

Relative Maternal Paired Adrenal Gland Weight (\% sacrifice weight) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 0.0290 | 0.0314 | 0.0279 | 0.0306 | 0.0297 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.0014$ | $\pm 0.0016$ | $\pm 0.0009$ | $\pm 0.0017$ | $\pm 0.0015$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=22^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=18^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=36$ |

Relative Maternal Paired Kidney Weight
(\% sacrifice weight) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 0.9067 | 0.9783 | 0.9281 | 0.9817 | 0.9345 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.0109$ | $\pm 0.0451$ | $\pm 0.0156$ | $\pm 0.0496$ | $\pm 0.0337$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=22$ | $\mathrm{~N}=36$ |

Table 5. Summary and Statistical Analysis of the Maternal Absolute and Relative Organ Weights (page 2 of 2 )
a Includes all pregnant dams until terminal sacrifice on gestational day 17. Reported as the mean $\pm$ S.E.M.; gd=gestational day.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Decrease in N is due to the paired adrenal weight for one animal being a statistical outlier and therefore it was excluded.
\#Levene's Test for homogeneity of variances was significant ( $p<0.05$ ); therefore, robust regression methods were used to test all treatment effects.
$\ddagger_{\mathrm{p}<0.05 ;}$ ANOVA Test.
*p<0.05; Dunnett's Test.
$\dagger^{\dagger} \dagger_{p<0.001 ; ~ W a l d ~ C h i-s q u a r e ~ T e s t ~ f o r ~ o v e r a l l ~ t r e a t m e n t ~ e f f e c t ~ i n ~ r o b u s t ~ r e g r e s s i o n ~ m o d e l . ~}^{\text {I }}$
$\mathbf{P P}_{\mathrm{p}}<0.01$; Individual t-test for pairwise comparisons to control in robust regression model.
$\mathbf{P P P}_{p<0.001 ; ~ I n d i v i d u a l ~ t-t e s t ~ f o r ~ p a i r w i s e ~ c o m p a r i s o n s ~ t o ~ c o n t r o l ~ i n ~ r o b u s t ~ r e g r e s s i o n ~ m o d e l . ~}^{\text {p }}$

Table 6. Summary and Statistical Analysis of Ovarian Corpora Lutea, Uterine Contents, Live Fetal Sex and Live Fetal Body Weight (page 1 of 4)

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| ALL LITTERS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 23 | 22 | 19 | 22 | 36 |
| No. Corpora Lutea per Damb | $\begin{aligned} & 12.96 \\ & \pm 0.38 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.18 \\ \pm 0.57 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.78 \\ \pm 0.36 \\ \mathrm{~N}=18 \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.18 \\ \pm 0.56 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.19 \\ \pm 0.47 \\ \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{array}$ |
| No. Implantation Sites per Litterb | $\begin{aligned} & 12.74 \\ & \pm 0.35 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.00 \\ & \pm 0.61 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.68 \\ & \pm 0.33 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.23 \\ & \pm 0.46 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.89 \\ & \pm 0.37 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{aligned}$ |
| \%Preimplantation Loss per Litter ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.06 \\ & \pm 0.93 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.99 \\ \pm 3.74 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.93 \\ \pm 1.52 \\ \mathrm{~N}=18^{\mathrm{C}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.61 \\ \pm 0.99 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.81 \\ & \pm 1.24 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{aligned}$ |
| No. Resorptions per Litter ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.61 \\ \pm \\ \pm=23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.27 \\ & \pm 0.52 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 0.74 \\ & \pm 0.18 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.68 \\ \pm 0.86 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.56 \\ & \pm 0.48 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% Resorptions per Litter ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.88 \\ \pm 1.31 \\ \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.03 \\ & \pm 4.90 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.57 \\ \pm 1.40 \\ \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.91 \\ \pm 6.21 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.75 \\ \pm 4.52 \\ \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{array}$ |
| No. Litters with Resorptions | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| \% Litters with Resorptions | 47.83 | 40.91 | 52.63 | 45.45 | 55.56 |

Table 6. Summary and Statistical Analysis of Ovarian Corpora Lutea, Uterine Contents, Live Fetal Sex and Live Fetal Body Weight (page 2 of 4)

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| No. Late Fetal Deaths per Litterb |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & \pm \\ & +0.07 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ \pm 0.00 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.11 \\ \pm 0.07 \\ \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.09 \\ \pm 0.06 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \\ & \pm 0.03 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{aligned}$ |
| \%Late Fetal Deaths per Litter ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \\ & \pm 0.56 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ \pm 0.00 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \\ & \pm 0.49 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65 \\ & \pm 0.45 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 0.20 \\ & \pm 0.20 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{aligned}$ |
| No. Litters with Late Fetal Deaths |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| \% Litters with Late Fetal Deaths |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.04 | 0.00 | 10.53 | 9.09 | 2.78 |
| No. Nonlive Implants per Litterb,d |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.74 \\ \pm 0.19 \\ \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.27 \\ \pm 0.52 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.84 \\ \pm 0.22 \\ \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.77 \\ \pm 0.86 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.58 \\ & \pm 0.48 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% Nonlive Implants per Litterb,d |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 5.90 \\ \pm 1.52 \\ \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.03 \\ \pm 4.90 \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.28 \\ \pm 1.59 \\ \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.56 \\ & \pm 6.16 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.95 \\ \pm 4.52 \\ \mathrm{~N}=36 \end{array}$ |

No. Litters with Nonlive Implants ${ }^{\text {d }}$

| 11 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

\% Litters with Nonlive
Implants ${ }^{\text {d }}$

| 47.83 | 40.91 | 52.63 | 54.55 | 55.56 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No. Litters with 100\% Nonlive Implants ${ }^{\text {d }}$

| 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

\% Litters with 100\% Nonlive Implants ${ }^{\text {d }}$

| 0.00 | 4.55 | 0.00 | 9.09 | 8.33 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 6. Summary and Statistical Analysis of Ovarian Corpora Lutea, Uterine Contents, Live Fetal Sex and Live Fetal Body Weight (page 3 of 4)


Table 6. Summary and Statistical Analysis of Ovarian Corpora Lutea, Uterine Contents, Live Fetal Sex and Live Fetal Body Weight (page 4 of 4)

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right.$, inhaled $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 |  |  |

No. Female Fetuses
per Litterb

| 5.91 | 5.86 | 5.63 | 6.95 | 6.73 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.37$ | $\pm 0.52$ | $\pm 0.48$ | $\pm 0.47$ | $\pm 0.39$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=33$ |

Average Fetal Body
Weight ( g ) per Litter ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| 0.9994 | 1.0114 | 0.9471 | 0.9492 | 1.0248 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0.0265 | $\pm 0.0212$ | $\pm 0.0241$ | +0.0288 | +0.0249 |
| $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | $\mathrm{N}=33$ |

## Average Male Fetal Body Weight

(g) per Litterb

| 1.0114 | 1.0088 | 0.9605 | 0.9722 | 1.0367 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0.0309 | $\pm 0.0166$ | $\pm 0.0254$ | $\pm 0.0311$ | +0.0261 |
| $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=20 \mathrm{~g}$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | $\mathrm{N}=33$ |

## Average Female Fetal Body Weight

(g) per Litterb

| 0.9961 | 0.9937 | 0.9344 | 0.9343 | 1.0141 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 0.0246$ | $\pm 0.0227$ | $\pm 0.0231$ | $\pm 0.0281$ | +0.0239 |
| $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | $\mathrm{N}=33$ |

alncludes all dams pregnant at terminal sacrifice on gestational day 17 ; litter size $=$ no. implantation sites per dam.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Reported }}$ as the mean $\pm$ S.E.M.
${ }^{C}$ Decrease in N is due to the right ovary for one female inadvertently being lost prior to the corpora lutea being counted.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {Nonlive }}=$ late fetal deaths plus resorptions.
e Adversely affected $=$ nonlive plus malformed.
fincludes only dams with live fetuses; litter size = no. live fetuses per dam.
GDecrease in N is due to one litter having female fetuses only.

Table 7. Summary and Statistical Analysis of Fetal External Malformations and Variations (page 1 of 3)

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| No. Fetuses Examined ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 276 | 236 | 225 | 252 | 407 |
| No. Litters Examined ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 33 |
| No. Fetuses with External Malformations per Littere, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.21 |
| $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.11$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=23$ | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | $\mathrm{N}=33$ |

No. Male Fetuses with External
Malformations per Litter ${ }^{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}}$

| 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.00$ | $\pm 0.05$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=33$ |

No. Female Fetuses with External
Malformations per Litter ${ }^{\text {C, }}$ d

| 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.00$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.07$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=33$ |

\% Fetuses with External Malformations per
Litterc,d

| 0.85 | 0.66 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 1.64 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.61$ | $\pm 0.46$ | $\pm 0.38$ | $\pm 0.36$ | $\pm 0.85$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=33$ |

\% Male Fetuses with External
Malformations per Litter ${ }^{\text {C, }} \mathrm{d}$

| 0.62 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 1.89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.62$ | $\pm 0.83$ | $\pm 0.88$ | $\pm 0.00$ | $\pm 1.16$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=33$ |

\% Female Fetuses with External Malformations per Litterc, ${ }^{\text {d }}$

| 0.72 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 1.29 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.72$ | $\pm 0.95$ | $\pm 0.00$ | $\pm 1.25$ | $\pm 0.74$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=33$ |

Table 7. Summary and Statistical Analysis of Fetal External Malformations and Variations (page 2 of 3)

| Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
| 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |

No. Fetuses with External Malformations ${ }^{d}$
2
2
1
1
7
0.72
0.85
0.44
0.40
1.72
\% Fetuses with External
Malformations ${ }^{\text {d }}$

No. Litters with External
Malformations ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$
22
1
1
4
\% Litters with External
Malformations ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$
8.70
9.52
5.26
5.00
12.12

No. Fetuses with External Variations per Litterc, ${ }^{\text {d }}$

| 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.10$ | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.08$ | $\pm 0.00$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=21$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=33$ |

No. Male Fetuses with External
Variations per Litterc, d

| 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.00$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=33$ |

No. Female Fetuses with External
Variations per Litterc, d

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
0.04 & 0.10 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.00 \\
\pm 0.04 & \pm 0.10 & \pm 0.05 & \pm 0.07 & \pm 0.00 \\
\mathrm{~N}=23 & \mathrm{~N}=21 & \mathrm{~N}=19 & \mathrm{~N}=20 & \mathrm{~N}=33
\end{array}
$$

\% Fetuses with External Variations per Litter ${ }^{\text {c }, ~ d ~}$

$$
\begin{array}{cccrr}
1.34 & 1.27 & 0.81 & 1.26 & 0.00 \\
\pm 0.76 & \pm 0.99 & \pm 0.56 & \pm 0.69 & \pm 0.00 \\
\mathrm{~N}=23 & \mathrm{~N}=21 & \mathrm{~N}=19 & \mathrm{~N}=20 & \mathrm{~N}=33
\end{array}
$$

\% Male Fetuses with External
Variations per Litter ${ }^{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$

| 1.45 0.56 0.66 1.00 <br> $\pm$ 1.00 $\pm$ 0.56 | $\pm 0.66$ | $\pm 1.00$ | 0.00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=23$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\pm 0.00$ |

Table 7. Summary and Statistical Analysis of Fetal External Malformations and Variations (page 3 of 3)

aOnly live fetuses were examined for malformations and variations.
bIncludes only litters with live fetuses.
${ }^{C}$ Reported as the mean $\pm$ S.E.M.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {Fetuses with one or more malformations or variations. }}$
$\mathrm{e}_{\text {Litters }}$ with one or more fetuses with malformations or variations.

Table 8. Summary of Morphological Abnormalities in CD-1 Mouse Fetuses: Listing by Defect Type ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (page 1 of 1)

|  | Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, inhaled) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dosed gd 5-16 |  |  |  | Dosed gd 5-10 |
|  | 0 | 2000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| EXTERNAL MALFORMATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total No. of Fetuses Examined for | 276 | 236 | 225 | 252 | 407 |
| External Malformations ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Fetuses with External | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Malformations ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Fetuses with External | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% |
| Malformations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total No. of Litters Examined for | 23 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 33 |
| External Malformations ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Litters with External | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Malformations ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Litters with External | 8.7\% | 9.5\% | 5.3\% | 5.0\% | 12.1\% |
| Malformations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Encephalocele | 2(2) | 1(1) | 1(1) | 1(1) |  |
| Cleft Palate |  | 1(1) |  |  | 7(4) |
| Gastroschisis |  |  |  |  | 1(1) |

## EXTERNAL VARIATIONS

| Total No. of Fetuses Examined for | 276 | 236 | 225 | 252 | 407 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| External Variations ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Fetuses with External | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Variations ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Fetuses with External Variations | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Total No. of Litters Examined for | 23 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 33 |
| External Variations ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Litters with External | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Variations ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Litters with External Variations | 13.0\% | 9.5\% | 10.5\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Abnormal Rugae in Midline of Palate |  |  | 1(1) | 1(1) |  |
| Hematoma: Face |  | 2(1) |  |  |  |
| Hematoma: Head | 2(2) | 1(1) |  |  |  |
| Hematoma: Neck | 1(1) | 1(1) | 1(1) | 2(2) |  |
| Hematoma: Shoulder | 1(1) |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{A}}$ single fetus may be represented more than once in listing individual defects. Data are presented as the number of fetuses (number of litters).
bOnly live fetuses were included.
${ }^{{ }^{\text {F }}}$ Fetuses with one or more malformations/variations.
$d_{\text {Includes only liters with live fetuses. }}$
$\mathrm{e}_{\text {Litters }}$ with one or more malformed/variant fetuses.

Table 9. External Malformations in Control CD-1® Mouse Litters From Studies Performed for Governmental Clients at RTI From 1997 to 2002 (page 1 of 1)

| Study Code | Year | Study Type ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Control Group |  | With Gastroschisis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Incidence of External Malformations No. Fetuses (No. Litters) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. Dams | No. Fetuses | No. Fetuses | No. Litters |  |
| A | 2002 | RF | 10 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 1 (1) cleft palate |
| B | 2002 | RF | 9 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 1 (1) exencephaly |
| C | 1999 | RF | 15 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 1999 | RF | 15 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 2000 | RF | 14 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F | 1999 | RF | 15 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 2000 | RF | 12 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| H | 1999 | D | 24 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2000 | D | 24 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 2 (1) cleft palate |
| J | 1999 | D | 23 | 291 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| K | 1997 | D | 22 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 1 (1) cleft palate |
| L | 2000 | D | 23 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 1 (1) exencephaly |
| M | 2001 | D | 24 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 1 (1) cleft palate |
| N | 2002 | D | 20 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 6 (4) cleft palate |
| 0 | 2002 | D | 22 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 7 (3) cleft palate |
| P | 2000 | D | 16 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 288 | 3641 | 0 | 0 | 18 (11) cleft palate <br> 2 (2) exencephaly |

${ }^{a}$ RF $=$ range-finding study $\quad D=$ definitive study
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ There were also no fetuses with ectopia cordis
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## STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The portion of the study conducted at Huntingdon Life Sciences was performed in accordance with the protocol, Huntingdon Life Sciences' Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Good Laboratory Practice Standards for the 211 (b) program (40 C.F.R. 79.60).


Gary M. Hoffman, B.A., D.A.B.T.


Date
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## QUALITY ASSURANCE STATEMENT

Listed below are the dates that this study was inspected by the Quality Assurance Unit of Huntingdon Life Sciences, East Millstone, New Jersey, and the dates that findings were reported to the Principal Investigator, Study Director and their Management.

| Type of Inspection | Date(s) of Inspection | Reported to Principal Investigator and Management | Reported to Study Director and Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GLP Protocol Review | 4 Oct 04 | 4 Oct 04 | 11 Apr 05 |
| Exposure, Monitoring \& Equipment Records | 12 Jan 05 | 12 Jan 05 | 11 Apr 05 |
| GD 14 Body Weights \& Equipment Records | 21 Jan 05 | 21 Jan 05 | 11 Apr 05 |
| Final Inhalation Report \& Inhalation Study Data | 17-21 Mar 05 | 22 Mar 05 | 9 May 05 |
| Analytical Sample Analysis Data \& Report | 24 \& 25 Mar 05 | 25 Mar 05 | 22 Apr 05 |
| Non-Exposure Related Data (Study File, Body/Feeder Weight, Physical Observations Data, Pharmacy Data) | 1-7 Apr 05 | 8 Apr 05 | 9 May 05 |
| Protocol Amendments | 15 Apr 05 | 18 Apr 05 | 22 Apr 05 |

Nos. 1 \& 2


| Huntingdon Life Sciences $04-4263$ | Page 5 <br> Final Report |
| :--- | :---: |
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This appendix presents the methodology for exposure, atmosphere generation, monitoring and results of a 6 hours/day exposure regimen of inhaled Gasoline Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE) Vapor Condensate during the period of early (Gestation Days 5-10) or major (Gestation Days 5-16) organogenesis in gravid CD-1 mice.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. HUSBANDRY DURING EXPOSURE PERIODS

### 2.1.1. HOUSING

Animals were individually housed in a 1000 Liter glass and stainless steel whole-body exposure chamber. The placement of the animals in the whole-body exposure chamber was rotated daily to ensure uniform exposure of the animals. A description of the animal rotation is included in the raw data.

### 2.1.2. FEED

None was provided during exposure.

### 2.1.3. WATER

None was provided during exposure.

### 2.1.4. ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Chamber temperature and relative humidity were monitored continuously and recorded every half-hour during exposure and maintained, to the maximum extent possible, within the ranges presented on the next page. Excursions outside the specified range were not considered to have affected the integrity of the study.
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## Temperature

Desired: $\quad 20$ to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Actual: $\quad 18$ to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## Relative Humidity

Desired: $\quad 40$ to $60 \%$
Actual: $\quad 20$ to $58 \%$

### 2.2. TEST SUBSTANCE ADMINISTRATION AND CHAMBER OPERATIONS

### 2.2.1. ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION

Inhalation as a vapor, via whole-body exposures

### 2.2.2. TEST SUBSTANCE ADMINISTRATION

The test substance was administered as a vapor in the breathing air of the animals. The test atmosphere was generated by an appropriate procedure determined during pre-study trials. The trials were performed (at least two 6-hour periods) to evaluate the optimal set of conditions and equipment to generate a stable atmosphere at the target exposure levels and maintain uniform conditions throughout the exposure chambers. During this time, samples were taken to determine the distribution of the test substance in the exposure chamber.

### 2.2.3. TARGET EXPOSURE LEVELS

Group $1-0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Group $2-2,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Group 3-10,000 mg/m ${ }^{3}$
Group $4-20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Group $5-30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
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### 2.2.4. DURATION AND FREQUENCY OF ADMINISTRATION

Plug-positive female mice were exposed to Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate once daily, at either 6 hours/day for 12 days ( 25 animals) from Gestation Days 5 through 16 (Groups 1-4) or 6 hours/day for 6 days ( 40 animals) from Gestation Days 5 through 10 (Group 5).

### 2.2.5. CHAMBER OPERATIONS

The whole-body exposure chambers each had a volume of 1000 Liters. The chambers were operated at a minimum flow rate of 200 Liters per minute. The final airflow was set to provide at least one air change (calculated by dividing the chamber volume by the airflow rate) in 5.0 minutes ( 12 air changes/hour) and a $\mathrm{T}_{9 g}$ equilibrium time (calculated by multiplying the air change by the exponential factor 4.6) of at most 23 minutes. Initial settings for each group were as follows:

| Group | Airflow Rate <br> (Lpm) | Air Change <br> $(\mathbf{m i n})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {99 }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m i n})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 207 | 4.8 | 22 |
| 2 | 202 | 4.9 | 23 |
| 3 | 210 | 4.7 | 22 |
| 4 | 211 | 4.7 | 22 |
| 5 | 207 | 4.8 | 22 |

The chamber size and airflow rates were considered adequate to maintain the animal loading factor below $5 \%$ and the oxygen level at $19 \%$ or higher. At the end of the exposure, all animals remained in chamber for a minimum of 22 minutes. During this time, the chamber was operated at the same flow rate as used during the exposure using clean air only. Recordings of airflow rate and static pressure were monitored continuously and recorded every 30 minutes during exposure. Chamber oxygen levels were measured pretest and at the beginning, middle and end of the study.
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The chamber atmospheres were exhausted through the in-house filtering system, which consisted of a coarse filter, a HEPA filter and an activated charcoal bed.

See Figures 1 and 2 and Table III (Inhalation Report) for equipment details.

### 2.2.6. EXPOSURE PROCEDURE

## Group 1

Houseline nitrogen was delivered from a regulator with a backpressure gauge via $1 / 4$ " tubing to a flowmeter regulated by a metering valve. This nitrogen flow was directed to the turret of the $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ glass and stainless steel exposure chamber where it was mixed with room air as it was drawn into the chamber.

## Groups 2-5

Houseline nitrogen was delivered from a regulator with a backpressure gauge through a stainless steel fitting to create three flow systems: the test substance pressurization flow, the purge flow and the volatilization flow.

The nitrogen for the test substance pressurization flow was directed through a metering valve, attached to a back pressure gauge, into the vapor inlet valve of the test substance cylinder. The metering valve was used to adjust and maintain the pressure within the cylinder. From the pressurized cylinder, the test substance flowed from the liquid outlet valve through a disconnect fitting (equipped with a toggle valve) and through a filter to prevent equipment contamination. From the filter, the test substance flowed to a liquid flowmeter via $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ tubing. The outlet of the flowmeter was regulated by a metering valve. From this metering valve, the test substance flowed via $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ tubing onto the glass helix of a counter current volatilization chamber. This glass helix was heated by a nichrome wire which was controlled by a variable autotransformer
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and inserted in the center of the glass tube that supported the helix external to volatilization chamber.

The nitrogen for the purge flow system was directed, via $1 / 4$ " tubing to a flowmeter regulated by a metering valve. The purge nitrogen was delivered via $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ tubing to the bottom of the tube containing the nichrome wire. This nitrogen flow continuously purged the area surrounding the nichrome wire within the tube, thereby, protecting the wire from oxidation.

The nitrogen for the volatilization system was directed via $1 / 4$ " tubing to a flowmeter regulated by a metering valve. From the flowmeter, the volatilization nitrogen flowed via $1 / 4$ " tubing to a ball and socket joint at the bottom of the volatilization chamber. This nitrogen flowed up through the volatilization chamber passing over the coil and volatilizing the test substance. The pressure within the counter-current volatilization chamber was maintained slightly negative to the room and was monitored with a pressure gauge.

This test substance laden nitrogen exited the top of the volatilization chamber via $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ tubing to the turret of the chamber where it was mixed with room air.

See Figures 1 and 2 and Table III (Inhalation Report) for equipment details.

### 2.3. EXPOSURE CONCENTRATION DETERMLNATION

### 2.3.1. NOMINAL CONCENTRATION

A nominal exposure concentration was calculated daily. The flow of air through the chambers was monitored using appropriate calibrated equipment. The test substance consumed during the exposure was divided by the total volume of air passing through the chamber (flowrate multiplied by total exposure time).

|  | Inhalation Report | Appendix \| |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Calculation

Nominal Concentration $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=$ amount consumed $(\mathrm{g}) \times 1000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g} \times 1000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ exposure duration (min) x airflow ( Lpm )

See Table III (Inhalation Report) for equipment list.

### 2.3.2. CHAMBER SAMPLING

During each 6-hour exposure, measurements of airborne concentrations were performed in the animals' breathing zone at least 4 times using a MIRAN Ambient Air analyzer equipped with a strip chart recorder. The test atmosphere was drawn from the normal sampling portal through the MIRAN and measurements were recorded at least 4 times during each exposure. The exposure levels were determined by comparison of the measured absorbance to a calibrated response curve constructed using the same instrument settings. Airborne test substance concentrations were within $+/-10 \%$ of the target concentration.

One charcoal tube sample drawn ( 15 minutes for Groups 1 and 2, 3 minutes for Group 3, 2 minutes for Group 4 and 1 minute for Group 5, at a rate of 0.200 Lpm ) per chamber during the trials and treatment period was analyzed by gas chromatography to characterize at least 10 major components (comprising at least $80 \%$ by weight of the test substance) to show test substance stability and comparison between the neat liquid test substance and the vaporized test atmospheres.

See Table III for equipment list and Table $V$ for MIRAN calibration (Inhalation Report).

### 2.3.3. PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Particle size samples were drawn (for 5 seconds at a rate of 5.0 Lpm ) from each chamber once during the study using a TSI Aerodynamic Particle Sizer to confirm the absence of particulate test substance condensate in the exposure atmosphere. Particle size

|  | Inhalation Report | Appendix I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

samples were also drawn twice (once from each room) during the study from room air. The mass median aerodynamic diameter, geometric standard deviation and total mass concentration were calculated. A computer was used to program the system to the appropriate settings prior to sampling. The particle size distributions were calculated by the computer and printed out.

See Table III (Inhalation Report) for equipment list.

### 2.3.4. CHAMBER AND EXPOSURE ROOM ENVIRONMENT

Air samples were taken in the vapor generation area pretest and at the beginning, middle and end of the study. Light (maintained approximately 30 foot-candles at 1.0 meter above the floor) and noise levels (maintained below 85 decibels) in the exposure room were measured pretest and at the beginning, middle, and end of the study.

See Table III (Inhalation Report) for equipment list.

## 3. PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

The following protocol deviations occurred during the study, but did not affect the integrity of the study:

1. Due to technician error, food left weights were recorded for the Exposure Day 12-13 interval, but food fed weights for the Exposure Day 13-14 interval were not entered into the computer system for Animal Nos. 1805, 1806, 1807, 1808, 1809, 1810, 1811, 1812, 1814, 1815, 1816, 1818, 1819, 1820, 1823, 2804, 2806, 2808, 2814, 2815, 2817, 2818, 2819, 2821, 2822, and 2823. Since the feeders for these animals were returned to the cages after obtaining the food left weights and did not need to be changed, a series of edits was performed which provided a food fed weight equivalent to the food left weight.

|  | Inhalation Report | Appendix I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

2. Due to the unexpectedly extended mating period, only 130 mice were placed on test rather than 140 . This resulted in the following group sizes: Group 1-4 had 23 mice and Group 5 had 38 mice.
3. The group means for MIRAN sampling were outside of the stated protocol range of $\pm 10 \%$ on Exposure Days 6, 9, 16 (Group 2), Exposure Days 16 and 20 (Group 3), and Exposure Day 2 and 16 (Group 4).
4. Individual MIRAN samples were outside the protocol specified range of $\pm$ 10\% for the following Sample Nos.: 2001, 2002, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2032, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2044, 2052, 2063, 2064, 3061, 3062, 3063, 3064, 3065, 3066, 3077, 3078, 4001, 4004, 4005, 4406, 4016, 4024, 4063, 4064, $4070,5001,5005$ and 5025 . Chamber concentration values were confirmed as needed in accordance with Testing Facility SOP.
5. Due to technician oversight, a Nestlet® was given to Animal No. 5838 during the morning of Exposure Day 16 (removed after a total of 37 minutes) and then again in the afternoon. The protocol specifications were for afternoon only.
6. Due to technician oversight, animals in Groups 1-4 chambers and Group 5 chamber were not rotated on Exposure Days 11 and 13, respectively.
7. At the Sponsor's approval, non-pregnant females were sacrificed and examined macroscopically in order to determine their actual state of pregnancy, although not required by protocol.
8. Due to a communication error with the Sponsor representative, Group 5 dams were removed from their cages with Nestlets® and inserts only from GD 1416 and placed into cages without Nestlets $®$ and inserts to simulate the Groups 1-4 exposures regimen. Per intent of Sponsor, the Group 5 dams should have been removed from their cages with Nestlets® and inserts from GD 10-16 and placed into cages without Nestlets ${ }^{\circledR}$ and inserts to simulate the Groups 1-4 exposures regimen.
9. Due to the Principal Investigator's oversight, Protocol Amendment No. 2 was signed by one IACUC member instead of two, as designated by the protocol.

|  | Inhalation Report | Appendix \| |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Prestudy chamber distribution analyses showed that the test substance was evenly distributed within the chamber. Pre-study and on-study chamber monitoring showed that the chamber oxygen levels were $20 \%$. Pre-study and on-study chamber room monitoring showed that no test substance was present in the room and that the sound and light levels were acceptable.

The analytically measured exposure levels of the airborne test substance were reasonably close to the targeted exposure level. The measured and nominal concentrations varied somewhat (less than $10 \%$ ), but reasonably, from the expected $1: 1$ ratio for this type of vapor exposure. Chamber environmental conditions averaged $20.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature and $29.2 \%$ relative humidity. Mean particle size distribution measurements for the exposures indicated that the atmospheres were essentially vapor only, as expected, since there was no substantial difference between the test substance chamber and the air control chamber.

Analysis of the major components in the neat test substance and the test atmospheres showed a reasonably close comparison between the neat test substance and the vaporized test substance. This data demonstrated that the test animals were exposed, as expected, to all of the major components of the test substance in their proper proportion. The data was consistent between the prestudy and on-study indicating stability of the test substance and the atmosphere generation techniques.

| Group 1 | Inhalation Report | Appendix I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Figure 1
Chamber Generation System and Whole-Body Exposure Chamber


Note: Animals were individually housed on the mid-level of the exposure chamber.

| Groups 2-5 | Inhalation Report | Appendix I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Figure 2
Chamber Generation System and Whole-Body Exposure Chamber


Note: Animals were individualiy housed on the mid-level of the exposure chamber. Sampling Ports $\mathrm{H}-11$ (left-bottom), $\mathrm{H}-12$ (left-top), $\mathrm{H}-13$ (right-bottom) and $\mathrm{H}-$ 14 (right-top) used for pretest distribution sampling, were located on the back wall of the chambers.

| Table I | Inhalation Report Summary of In-Chamber Observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Appendix 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exposure Day | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Group $1-0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Animals in Chamber Within Normal Limits | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | 16 All | 19 All | 20 | 22 | 22 All | 23 All | 23 All | 23 All | 23 All | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ |
| Group 2-2000 mg/m ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Animals in Chamber Within Normal Limits | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | 17 All | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | 21 All | 23 All | 23 All | 23 All | 23 All | 23 All | 23 All | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ |
| Group 3-10,000 mg/m ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Animals in Chamber Within Normal Limits | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | 22 All | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ |
| Group $4-20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Animals in Chamber Within Normal Limits | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | 7 All | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | 18 All | 20 All | 21 All | 22 All | 23 All | 23 All | 23 All | 23 All | 23 All | 19 All | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ |
| Group 5-30,000 mg/m ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Animals in Chamber Within Normal Limits | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | 11 All | 23 All | 30 All | 34 All | 37 All | 32 | 27 All | 15 All | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {All }}$ |  |  |

All $=100 \%$ of the animals exhibiting a given observation.
Note: In-chamber observations are based on all animals present in the exposure chamber at the time.

| Table 1 | Inhalation Report | Summary of In-Chamber Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Exposure Day

| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Group $1-0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Number of Animals in Chamber Within Normal Limits

| 12 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | All | All | All | All | All |

Group $2-2,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Number of Animals in Chamber Within Normal Limits

| 11 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | All | All | All |

Number of Animals in Chamber Group $3-10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$

Number of Animals in Chamber
Within Normal Limits

| 11 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | All | All | All | All | All | All | All |

Group $4-20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Number of Animals in Chamber } & 10 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1\end{array}$
Within Normal Limits
All All All All All

All $=100 \%$ of the animals exhibiting a given observation.
Note: In-chamber observations are based on all animals present in the exposure chamber at the time.

|  | Inhalation Report | Appendix 1 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |

Table II
Chamber Monitoring Results
Preface

Key To Abbreviations:
MMAD $\quad=\quad$ Mass Median Aerodynamic Diameter
GSD $=$ Geometric Standard Deviation
TMC $\quad=\quad$ Total Mass Concentration

| Table II | Inhalation Report | Chamber Monitoring Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | Appendix I


| Cumulative Exposure Record Group 1-0 mg/m ${ }^{3}$ (Air Control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | Date | Exposure Number | Nominal (mg/m ${ }^{3}$ ) | Analytical Chamber Concentration |  |  |  |  | Particle Size Determinations |  |  | Chamber Environment |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Me |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Temperature | Humidity |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Individual ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | MMAD ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | GSD | $\begin{gathered} \text { TMC } \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (\%) |
| 0 | 12-Jan-05 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 42 |
| 1 | 13-Jan-05 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 20 | 44 |
| 2 | 14-Jan-05 | 3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 21 | 34 |
| 3 | 15-Jan-05 | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 20 | 27 |
| 4 | 16-Jan-05 | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 19 | 30 |
| 5 | 17-Jan-05 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 20 | 25 |
| 6 | 18-Jan-05 | 7 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 18 | 29 |
| 7 | 19-Jan-05 | 8 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.179 | 1.676 | 2.56E-02 | 19 | 28 |
| 8 | 20-Jan-05 | 9 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 20 | 33 |
| 9 | 21-Jan-05 | 10 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 20 | 27 |
| 10 | 22-Jan-05 | 11 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 20 | 31 |
| 11 | 23-Jan-05 | 12 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 20 | 34 |
| 12 | 24-Jan-05 | 13 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 21 | 31 |
| 13 | 25-Jan-05 | 14 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 21 | 32 |
| 14 | 26-Jan-05 | 15 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 21 | 34 |
| 15 | 27-Jan-05 | 16 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 21 | 27 |
| 16 | 28-Jan-05 | 17 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 21 | 27 |
| 17 | 29-Jan-05 | 18 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 21 | 27 |
| 18 | 30-Jan-05 | 19 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 21 | 32 |
| 19 | 31-Jan-05 | 20 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 21 | 30 |
|  |  | Mean | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 2.179 | 1.676 | 2.56E-02 | 20.3 | 31.2 |
|  |  | S.D. | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | - | - | - | 0.9 | 4.9 |


| Table II | Inhalation Report | Chamber Monitoring Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$ Appendix I


| Cumulative Exposure Record Group 2-2,000 mg/m ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | Date | Exposure <br> Number | Nominal ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | Analytical Chamber Concentration |  |  |  |  | Particle Size Determinations |  |  | Chamber Environment Mean |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Temperature | Humidity |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Individual ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | MMAD ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | GSD | $\begin{gathered} \text { TMC } \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (\%) |
| 0 | 12-Jan-05 | 1 | 2100 | 1800 | 1600 | 1700 | 2000 | 1900 | 5.699 | 2.117 | 5.05E-03 | 19 | 45 |
| 1 | 13-Jan-05 | 2 | 2300 | 1900 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 2200 |  |  |  | 20 | 52 |
| 2 | 14-Jan-05 | 3 | 2300 | 2100 | 2100 | 2100 | 2000 | 2200 |  |  |  | 21 | 37 |
| 3 | 15-Jan-05 | 4 | 2200 | 2050 | 1800 | 2200 | 2100 | 2100 |  |  |  | 19 | 33 |
| 4 | 16-Jan-05 | 5 | 2300 | 2200 | 2000 | 1900 | 2000 | 2900 |  |  |  | 20 | 33 |
| 5 | 17-Jan-05 | 6 | 2400 | 2230 | 2400 | 2600 | 2100 | 1800 |  |  |  | 20 | 32 |
| 6 | 18-Jan-05 | 7 | 2100 | 1930 | 1800 | 1900 | 1800 | 2200 |  |  |  | 19 | 29 |
| 7 | 19-Jan-05 | 8 | 2100 | 2100 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2400 |  |  |  | 20 | 26 |
| 8 | 20-Jan-05 | 9 | 2400 | 2380 | 2000 | 2400 | 2500 | 2600 |  |  |  | 22 | 28 |
| 9 | 21-Jan-05 | 10 | 2200 | 2180 | 2600 | 2000 | 2100 | 2000 |  |  |  | 21 | 27 |
| 10 | 22-Jan-05 | 11 | 2000 | 2100 | 1900 | 2000 | 2000 | 2500 |  |  |  | 21 | 32 |
| 11 | 23-Jan-05 | 12 | 2000 | 1930 | 2000 | 2000 | 1900 | 1800 |  |  |  | 21 | 34 |
| 12 | 24-Jan-05 | 13 | 1900 | 2050 | 2100 | 2100 | 1900 | 2100 |  |  |  | 21 | 30 |
| 13 | 25-Jan-05 | 14 | 2100 | 1950 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 |  |  |  | 22 | 32 |
| 14 | 26-Jan-05 | 15 | 2100 | 2030 | 2100 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |  |  |  | 23 | 32 |
| 15 | 27-Jan-05 | 16 | 2400 | 2230 | 1800 | 2100 | 2800 | 2200 |  |  |  | 22 | 24 |
| 16 | 28-Jan-05 | 17 | 2200 | 2050 | 2200 | 1900 | 2200 | 1900 |  |  |  | 22 | 26 |
| 17 | 29-Jan-05 | 18 | 2200 | 2150 | 2200 | 2000 | 2200 | 2200 |  |  |  | 22 | 24 |
| Mean S.D. |  |  | 2183 |  | 2074 |  |  |  | 5.699 | 2.117 | 5.05E-03 | 20.8 | 32.0 |
|  |  |  | 147 |  | 248 |  |  |  | - | - | - | 1.2 | 7.1 |


| Table II | Inhalation Report | Chamber Monitoring Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Cumulative Exposure Record Group 3-10,000 mg/m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | Date | Exposure <br> Number | Nominal ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | Analytical Chamber Concentration |  |  |  |  | Particle Size Determinations |  |  | Chamber Environment |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Temperature | Humidity |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Individual ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MMAD } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | GSD | $\begin{gathered} \text { TMC } \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (\%) |
| 0 | 12-Jan-05 | 1 | 9700 | 9800 | 9500 | 9800 | 10000 | 9900 |  |  |  | (1m) | 2.071 | 3.41E-03 | 21 | 34 |
| 1 | 13-Jan-05 | 2 | 9900 | 9680 | 9500 | 9600 | 9600 | 10000 | 22 | 39 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 14-Jan-05 | 3 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 22 | 32 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 15-Jan-05 | 4 | 9800 | 9930 | 9700 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 21 | 27 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 16-Jan-05 | 5 | 9500 | 9900 | 10000 | 9600 | 10000 | 10000 | 20 | 26 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 17-Jan-05 | 6 | 9800 | 9930 | 10000 | 10000 | 9800 | 9900 | 21 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 18-Jan-05 | 7 | 9600 | 9880 | 10000 | 10000 | 9900 | 9600 | 20 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 19-Jan-05 | 8 | 9900 | 10100 | 11000 | 10000 | 9700 | 9700 | 19 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 20-Jan-05 | 9 | 9500 | 9750 | 10000 | 10000 | 9000 | 10000 | 21 | 30 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 21-Jan-05 | 10 | 9600 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 22 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 22-Jan-05 | 11 | 10000 | 10100 | 10000 | 10000 | 9400 | 11000 | 21 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 23-Jan-05 | 12 | 9600 | 10100 | 10000 | 11000 | 10000 | 9400 | 21 | 28 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 24-Jan-05 | 13 | 9800 | 10300 | 10000 | 10000 | 11000 | 10000 | 22 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 25-Jan-05 | 14 | 9300 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 22 | 28 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 26-Jan-05 | 15 | 9900 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 23 | 31 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 27-Jan-05 | 16 | 8400 | 8250 | 8200 | 6800 | 8900 | 9100 | 22 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 28-Jan-05 | 17 | 9700 | 9300 | 8600 | 8700 | 9900 | 10000 | 22 | 23 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 29-Jan-05 | 18 | 9300 | 9880 | 11000 | 9100 | 9500 | 9900 | 22 | 22 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 30-Jan-05 | 19 | 9700 | 9900 | 11000 | 9000 | 9900 | 9700 | 22 | 27 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 31-Jan-05 | 20 | 10000 | 11300 | 12000 | 12000 | 11000 | 10000 | 22 | 26 |  |  |  |
| 20. | 1-Feb-05 | 21 | 9700 | 9930 | 10000 | 9700 | 10000 | 10000 | 22 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 2-Feb-05 | 22 | 9800 | 10500 | 11000 | 11000 | 9800 | 10000 | 22 | 23 |  |  |  |
| Mean S.D. |  |  | 9659 |  | 9925688 |  |  |  | 9.319 | 2.071 | 3.41E-03 | 21.5 | 26.8 |  |
|  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 0.9 | 4.2 |  |


| Table II | Inhalation Report | Chamber Monitoring Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Table II | Inhalation Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Cumulative Exposure Record Group 5 - $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | Date | Exposure <br> Number | Nominal $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Analytical Chamber Concentration |  |  |  |  | Particle Size Determinations |  |  | Chamber Environment Mean |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Temperature | Humidity |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mean ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | Individual $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right.$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | MMAD ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | GSD | $\begin{gathered} \text { TMC } \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (\%) |
| 0 | 12-Jan-05 | 1 | 30000 | 29500 | 31000 | 30000 | 30000 | 27000 | 1 1.144 | 2.910 | 1.54E-02 | 21 | 33 |
| 1 | 13-Jan-05 | 2 | 29000 | 28500 | 26000 | 29000 | 29000 | 30000 |  |  |  | 21 | 38 |
| 2 | 14-Jan-05 | 3 | 30000 | 29300 | 30000 | 28000 | 29000 | 30000 |  |  |  | 22 | 33 |
| 3 | 15-Jan-05 | 4 | 30000 | 28300 | 27000 | 30000 | 29000 | 27000 |  |  |  | 21 | 26 |
| 4 | 16-Jan-05 | 5 | 29000 | 28500 | 27000 | 29000 | 29000 | 29000 |  |  |  | 21 | 25 |
| 5 | 17-Jan-05 | 6 | 31000 | 29500 | 28000 | 32000 | 28000 | 30000 |  |  |  | 21 | 24 |
| 6 | 18-Jan-05 | 7 | 31000 | 29500 | 26000 | 33000 | 29000 | 30000 |  |  |  | 20 | 24 |
| 7 | 19-Jan-05 | 8 | 30000 | 29500 | 29000 | 30000 | 30000 | 29000 |  |  |  | 19 | 24 |
| 8 | 20-Jan-05 | 9 | 31000 | 30000 | 29000 | 30000 | 30000 | 31000 |  |  |  | 21 | 29 |
| 9 | 21-Jan-05 | 10 | 32000 | 29500 | 29000 | 30000 | 30000 | 29000 |  |  |  | 21 | 23 |
| 10 | 22-Jan-05 | 11 | 31000 | 29800 | 30000 | 27000 | 30000 | 32000 |  |  |  | 20 | 25 |
| 11 | 23-Jan-05 | 12 | 31000 | 29300 | 31000 | 28000 | 30000 | 28000 |  |  |  | 20 | 27 |
| Mean S.D. |  |  | 30417 |  | $\begin{gathered} 29250 \\ 1480 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 1.144 | 2.910 | 1.54E-02 | 20.7 | 27.6 |
|  |  |  | 900 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 0.8 | 4.7 |
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Table III
Equipment List

## Exposure Chamber

1000 Liter glass and stainless steel chamber (Wahmann).

## Compound Generator

Counter-Current Volatilization Unit, with coiled glass rod insert and Nichrome wire (Crown Glass Co., Inc.).
$\mathrm{T}^{\circ}$ Sentry Digital Alarm Module, Model 110 (Hampshire Controls Corporation).

## Compound Reservoir

5 and 100 Gallon Cylinders (American Petroleum Institute provided).

## Variable Auto Transformer

Variable Autotransformer, Type 3PN 1010 (Statco Energy Products Company).

## Flowmeters

Flowmeter, size 0-4, 0-5, 0-20, 0-40 Lpm (Dwyer Instruments Inc.).
Liquid Flowmeter with built in metering valve, size $0-65 \mathrm{~mm}$, Model 6G02/6G03/6G04 (Key Instruments).
Top Trak ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Mass Flowmeter, size $0-1$ Lpm, Model 821-4 (Sierra Instruments), calibrated prestudy with Gilibrator ${ }^{\oplus}$ Bubble Generator, S/N 6688-S, flow cell assembly P/N D800286.

## Pressure/Vacuum Gauges

U.S. Gauge backpressure gauge, P/N 126172.

Ashcroft backpressure gauge, P/N 733-47.
Matheson ${ }^{\oplus}$ backpressure gauge, P/N 63-3161.
Norgreen backpressure gauge, P/N 9892K23.
Magnehelic gauge (Dwyer ${ }^{\ominus}$ Instruments Inc.).
Union Carbide backpressure gauge, PN SG-6383
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Table III
Equipment List

## Regulators

Union Carbide, Model P/N SG 380030.
Norgreen, P/N 9892K23.
Stainless Steel Purge/Vent System (MG Industries).

## Valves

Metering Valve, Model SS-4L Series (Nupro ${ }^{\circledR}$ Co.).
Metering Valve, Model SS-1RM4-S4, (Whitey ${ }^{\text {® }}$ ).

## Tubing

Plastic, size 1/4", 1/2", 3/16" (Norton and Baxter).
Teflon ${ }^{\circledR} /$ Tygon $^{\oplus}$, size $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}, 1 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$.
T-Tube, plastic (Crown Glass Co.).
Stainless steel "cross" (Swage).
1/2" stainless steel.

## Filters

Balston ${ }^{\circledR}$ Microfibre ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Disposable Filter Units Grade DQ, No. L9933-05.

## Timers

Gralab Universal Timer, Model 171.

## Vacuum Pumps

Thomas Industries Inc., Model 707CM50.
Neptune Dyna-pump ${ }^{\circledR}$, Model 4K.

## Absorbent Tubes

Charcoal Tubes, Lot No. 2000, Model ORBO-32 (Supelco).

## Balances

Pelouze, No. 4010
Mettler PM30000K (Mettler Instrument Corporation).


Table III
Equipment List

## Air Analyzer

MIRAN 1A Ambient Air Analyzer (Wilks) with a Cole Parmer strip chart recorder, Model 201 and a Micronta ${ }^{\text {® }}$ LCD Benchtop Digital Multimeter No. 22-195.
Syringe, size $0-25$ and $0-250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, Nos. 1702 and 1725 (Hamilton).

## Particle Sizer/Analyzer

TSI Aerodynamic Particle Sizer, Model 331001, with a DELL computer, Model 486P/25, equipped with an Epson LQ-570+ Dot matrix printer, Model P630B.

## Environmental Monitoring

VWR Temperature and Humidity Gauge, tested prestudy with a Big Digit Traceable Hygrometer/Thermometer.
Oxygen/Gas Analyzer, Model 1214S, (Gastech).
Digital Sound Meter 840029 (SPER Scientific)
Photo Meter 1, light meter (Quantum Instruments).

## Chamber Air-flow

Dwyer ${ }^{\circledR}$ Magnehelic ${ }^{\circledR}$ gauge (Dwyer ${ }^{\circledR}$ Instruments Inc.), calibrated prestudy with a Dry Gas Meter , Model 2M (Singer).

## Chamber Static Pressure

Dwyer ${ }^{\circledR}$ Magnehelic® gauge (Dwyer ${ }^{\circledR}$ Instruments Inc.); calibrated with a Dwyer ${ }^{\circledR}$ Mark II Manometer, Model 25 (Dwyer Instruments Inc.).

## Miscelianeous

Quick-disconnect fitting with toggle valve (Rego ${ }^{\circ}$ )
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Table IV
Chamber Distribution Records

| Group (target) | Date | Port | IR Conc (mg/m ${ }^{3}$ ) | Ratio to $\mathrm{H}-1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2\left(2,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 15 November 2004 | H-1 | 2100 | 1.00 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}-2$ | 2200 | 1.05 |
|  |  | H-7 | 2200 | 1.05 |
|  |  | H-1 | 2100 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-8 | 2000 | 0.95 |
|  | 16 November 2004 | H-1 | 1900 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-14 | 1900 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-13 | 1800 | 0.95 |
|  |  | H-1 | 2000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-12 | 2000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-11 | 1900 | 0.95 |
| $3\left(10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 15 November 2004 | H-1 | 9100 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-2 | 8900 | 0.98 |
|  |  | H-1 | 9200 | 1.00 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}-2$ | 9300 | 1.01 |
|  |  | H-7 | 9300 | 1.01 |
|  |  | H-8 | 9300 | 1.01 |
|  | 16 November 2004 | H-1 | 9900 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-14 | 9700 | 0.98 |
|  |  | H-13 | 9500 | 0.96 |
|  |  | H-1 | 9700 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-12 | 9800 | 1.01 |
|  |  | H-11 | 9700 | 1.00 |



Table IV
Chamber Distribution Records

| Group (target) | Date | Port | IR Conc ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | Ratio to $\mathrm{H}-1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4\left(20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 15 November 2004 | H-1 | 20000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-2 | 20000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-7 | 22000 | 1.10 |
|  |  | H-1 | 20000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-8 | 22000 | 1.10 |
|  | 16 November 2004 | H-1 | 20000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-14 | 21000 | 1.05 |
|  |  | H-13 | 22000 | 1.10 |
|  |  | H-1 | 20000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-12 | 19000 | 0.95 |
|  |  | H-11 | 21000 | 1.05 |
| $5\left(30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 15 November 2004 | H-1 | 30000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-2 | 30000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-7 | 30000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-1 | 29000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-8 | 29000 | 1.00 |
|  | 16 November 2004 | H-1 | 29000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-14 | 29000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-13 | 29000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-1 | 30000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-12 | 30000 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H-11 | 30000 | 1.00 |
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Table V
MIRAN Calibration

## Methodology for Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate

Settings: The instrument settings for the MIRAN 07 Unit are summarized below:

| wavelength, microns | 10.3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| pathlength, dial setting | 4.00 |
| slit width, mm | 1 |
| range, absorbance | 1 A |
| response, seconds | 1 |
| gain | High |
| chart speed, $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{min}$ | 1 |
| chart volts | 1 |

Calibrations: The MIRAN was turned on and allowed to warm up for approximately 10 minutes. The cell was flushed with room air for approximately one minute. The loop was closed, the unit was zeroed and the calibration series was performed as shown below. The resultant data were plotted to obtain a calibration curve. Each observer used a separate syringe for calibration.

| Injection Volume | Calculated Concentration | Absorbance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Operator 1 | Operator 2 | Average |
| ( $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) | ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | (volts) | (volts) | (volts) |
| 8.4 | 998 | 0.0179 | 0.0191 | 0.0185 |
| 17 | 2020 | 0.0444 | 0.0467 | 0.0456 |
| 85 | 10098 | 0.2222 | 0.2263 | 0.2243 |
| 170 | 20195 | 0.415 | 0.455 | 0.435 |
| 250 | 29699 | 0.626 | 0.670 | 0.648 |
| 295 | 35044 | 0.770 | 0.789 | 0.780 |

${ }^{1}$ Calculated Concentration $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=\underset{\text { Volume of MIRAN closed-loop (L) X } 1000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{m}^{3}}{\text { Injection volume }(\mu \mathrm{L}) \mathrm{X} \text { density }(\mathrm{mg} / \mu \mathrm{L})}$ where: density $=0.67 \mathrm{mg} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ volume of MIRAN closed-loop $=5.64 \mathrm{~L}$


## Table V <br> MIRAN Calibration

Calibration Check: A four-point calibration check of the MIRAN was performed for each exposure prior to sampling the chambers. The parameters are shown below:

| Injection <br> $\frac{\text { Volume }}{}$ | Calculated <br> Concentration | Expected <br> Absorbance <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Acceptable <br> Absoarbance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $($ volts $)$ | $\frac{\text { Range }}{(\text { volts })}$ |
| 17 | 2020 | 0.0456 | $0.0388-0.0524$ |
| 85 | 10098 | 0.2243 | $0.1907-0.2579$ |
| 170 | 20195 | 0.435 | $0.370-0.500$ |
| 250 | 29699 | 0.648 | $0.551-0.745$ |

The absorbance was recorded after each injection. The absorbance was considered satisfactory if it was within $15 \%$ of the original calibration series. If any of the absorbance values fell outside the $15 \%$ range, the injection was rechecked as follows: The volume for the value that was out of range was reinjected twice. The closer pair of the three injections were averaged and the results were compared to the original curve. If the average of the pair was within the $15 \%$ range, the original was accepted. If the value of the average was outside the $15 \%$ range, the Principal Investigator decided if a new graph was to be prepared.
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Table V
MIRAN Calibration
Calibration Curve for Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate
(Groups 1-5)
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Table VI
Testing Room and Chambers Environmental Monitoring

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pre-test results for $16 \mathrm{Nov04}$ presented above. For on-test results, see CMR (Appendix I, Table II).
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Room air sample; front or back location not specified in raw data.
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Table VI
Testing Room and Chambers Environmental Monitoring

| Interval | Location | Test <br> Substance <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Light <br> (Ft Candles) | Noise (dB) | Oxygen <br> (\%) | Particle <br> Sizing $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exposure Day 16 | Room 813 - Front | 0 | 34.5 | 65.9 | - |  |
|  | Room 813 - Back | 0 | 36.0 | 66.5 | - |  |
|  | Group 1 Chamber | - | - | - | 20 |  |
|  | Group 2 Chamber | - | - | - | 20 |  |
|  | Group 3 Chamber | - | - | - | 20 |  |
|  | Group 4 Chamber | - | - | - | 20 |  |
|  | Group 5 Chamber | - | - | - | 20 |  |

 Table II).
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## 1. Summary

Samples of the test substance (Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate) exposures to CD-1® mice were analyzed to confirm that the relative concentrations of the test substance's major components were appropriate under the study conditions. The analytical method was validated at Huntingdon Life Sciences (HLS). The method involved the extraction of Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate from charcoal tubes with Carbon Disulfide $\left(\mathrm{CS}_{2}\right)$. The test substance's major components were then quantified (relative area percent) utilizing Gas Chromatography with a Flame Ionization Detection (FID).

## 2. Experimental Procedures

The analytical method (HLS-001-01R1) was validated by the Formulation Chemistry Department at HLS. Details of the analytical methods and their validation are maintained in the study files for Study No. 00-6126.

The charcoal tube samples containing the test substance were received from the Inhalation Department at HLS. Samples analyzed to determine the relative concentration of the major components of Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate were extracted from the charcoal tubes with Carbon Disulfide $\left(\mathrm{CS}_{2}\right)$. The extracted solutions were analyzed by Gas Chromatography equipped with a Supelco Petrocol ${ }^{\top M}$ DH 150 ( $150 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) column and Flame lonization Detector (FID). PE Nelson Turbochrom installed on a personal computer was used for data collection and processing.

Date of sample receipt and analysis is listed as follows:

| Interval | Date of Exposures | Date Received | Date Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretest | 13 Dec 04 | 13 Dec 04 | 14-15 Dec 04 |
| Exposure 9 | 20 Jan 05 | 20 Jan 05 | 20-21 Jan 05 |
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## 3. Results and Discussion

During the trials and exposures, Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate was analyzed to determine the area percent of the test substance's major components in the chamber. The results of the trial and animal exposures are presented in Tables I and II. Typical chromatograms of groups 1-5 are presented in Figures I to V .
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Table I. Chamber Components Confirmation Area Percent of Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate

Trials (Groups 1-5)

|  | Spiked Control 1 | TM Standard 1 | Sample 101 (Group 1) | Sample 201 (Group 2) | Sample 301 (Group 3) | Sample 401 <br> (Group 4) | Sample 501 <br> (Group 5) | Spiked Control 2 | TM Standard-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | ${ }^{006} 003$ | 006_002 | 006_004 | 006_005 | 006_006 | 006_007 | 006_008 | 006_009 | 006_010 |
| Isobutane | 1.61 | 1.59 | ND | 1.77 | 1.72 | 1.78 | 1.81 | 1.42 | 1.51 |
| N-Butane | 9.17 | 9.14 | ND | 9.95 | 9.67 | 9.83 | 9.94 | 8.00 | 8.85 |
| 3-Methyl-1-butene | 0.44 | 0.40 | ND | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.32 |
| Isopentane | 31.78 | 31.94 | ND | 31.37 | 31.01 | 31.23 | 31.53 | 29.98 | 30.55 |
| N -Pentane | 8.85 | 9.59 | ND | 9.29 | 9.12 | 9.10 | 9.48 | 8.98 | 9.41 |
| Trans-2-pentene | 2.09 | 2.07 | ND | 2.06 | 2.05 | 2.07 | 2.06 | 2.05 | 2.06 |
| 2,3-Dimethylbutane | 1.44 | 1.39 | ND | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.25 | 1.37 | 1.28 |
| 2-Methylpentane | 4.86 | 4.71 | ND | 4.68 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.61 | 4.68 | 4.51 |
| MTBE | 21.91 | 21.66 | ND | 21.89 | 21.98 | 22.09 | 22.03 | 22.64 | 22.72 |
| 3-Methylpentane | 2.95 | 3.44 | ND | 3.08 | 2.97 | 3.06 | 2.91 | 2.91 | 2.98 |
| N -Hexane | 2.61 | 2.48 | ND | 2.54 | 2.55 | 2.52 | 2.49 | 2.64 | 2.56 |
| Methylcyclopentane | 1.34 | 1.25 | ND | 1.22 | 1.25 | 1.24 | 1.27 | 1.35 | 1.26 |
| 2,4-Dimethylpentane | 1.07 | 1.13 | ND | 1.09 | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 1.12 | 1.06 |
| Benzene | 2.04 | 1.83 | ND | 1.94 | 2.05 | 1.94 | 1.95 | 2.11 | 2.10 |
| 2-Methylhexane | 1.21 | 1.13 | ND | 1.18 | 1.14 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 1.32 | 1.17 |
| 2,3-Dimethylpentane | 1.22 | 1.21 | ND | 1.21 | 1.16 | 1.19 | 1.20 | 1.45 | 1.26 |
| 3-Methylhexane | 1.35 | 1.27 | ND | 1.33 | 1.37 | 1.31 | 1.26 | 1.38 | 1.49 |
| Isooctane | 1.46 | 1.21 | ND | 1.29 | 1.41 | 1.40 | 1.18 | 1.59 | 1.50 |
| Toluene | 2.59 | 2.53 | ND | 2.39 | 2.72 | 2.68 | 2.44 | 2.77 | 2.84 |
| Total | 99.99 | 99.97 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 99.59 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 98.09 | 99.16 |

$N D=$ none detected. ${ }^{\text {a }} 3$-Methylpentane co-eluted with MTBE.


Table II-A. Chamber Components Confirmation Area Percent of Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate Exposure 9 (Groups 1-5)

Area \%

|  | Control | Spiked | TM Standard-1 | Sample 101 (Group 1) | Sample 201 (Group 2) | Sample 301 (Group 3) | Sample 401 (Group 4) | Sample 501 (Group 5) | Spiked Control 2 | TM Standard-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | 007_002 | 007_004 | 007_003 | 007_005 | ${ }^{007} 1006$ | ${ }^{007}$ _007 | 007_008 | 007_009 | 007_010 | 007_011 |
| Isobutane | ND | 1.54 | 1.54 | ND | 1.83 | 1.80 | 1.84 | 1.82 | 1.46 | 1.48 |
| N -Butane | ND | 8.79 | 8.87 | ND | 9.82 | 9.78 | 9.88 | 9.81 | 8.40 | 8.56 |
| 3-Methyl-1-butene | ND | 0.55 | 0.58 | ND | 0.57 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| Isopentane | ND | 30.83 | 30.94 | ND | 31.57 | 31.66 | 31.45 | 31.54 | 30.54 | 30.47 |
| N-Pentane | ND | 9.48 | 9.49 | ND | 9.57 | 9.58 | 9.47 | 9.52 | 9.43 | 9.38 |
| Trans-2-pentene | ND | 2.13 | 2.07 | ND | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.08 | 2.10 | 2.07 | 2.06 |
| 2, 3-Dimethylbutane | ND | 1.32 | 1.44 | ND | 1.29 | 1.38 | 1.22 | 1.39 | 1.45 | 1.42 |
| 2-Methylpentane | ND | 4.84 | 4.80 | ND | 4.72 | 4.69 | 4.59 | 4.68 | 4.93 | 4.82 |
| MTBE + 3-Methylpentane ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ND | 24.61 | 24.33 | ND | 23.34 | 23.34 | 24.34 | 23.33 | 24.69 | 25.28 |
| N -hexane | ND | 2.66 | 2.65 | ND | 2.55 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 2.61 | 2.76 | 2.70 |
| Methylcyclopentane | ND | 1.36 | 1.36 | ND | 1.32 | 1.30 | 1.28 | 1.31 | 1.38 | 1.33 |
| 2,4-Dimethylpentane | ND | 1.15 | 1.15 | ND | 1.09 | 1.07 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.16 | 1.11 |
| Benzene | ND | 2.14 | 2.18 | ND | 2.05 | 2.09 | 1.98 | 2.04 | 2.29 | 2.13 |
| 2-Methylhexane | ND | 1.24 | 1.22 | ND | 1.17 | 1.13 | 1.11 | 1.15 | 1.26 | 1.22 |
| 2,3-Dimethylpentane | ND | 1.30 | 1.28 | ND | 1.23 | 1.21 | 1.15 | 1.19 | 1.30 | 1.24 |
| 3-Methylhexane | ND | 1.48 | 1.43 | ND | 1.41 | 1.31 | 1.31 | 1.38 | 1.50 | 1.44 |
| Isooctane | ND | 1.30 | 1.32 | ND | 1.19 | 1.38 | 1.11 | 1.29 | 1.25 | 1.36 |
| Toluene | ND | 2.82 | 2.89 | ND | 2.75 | 2.56 | 2.53 | 2.68 | 3.11 | 2.99 |
| Total | 0.00 | 99.54 | 99.54 | 0.00 | 99.57 | 99.59 | 99.59 | 99.56 | 99.56 | 99.57 |

[^0]

Figure I. Gas Chromatogram of Sample 1001 (Group 1) Charcoal Tube



Figure II. Gas Chromatogram of Sample 2001 (Group 2) Charcoal Tube



Figure III. Gas Chromatogram of Sample 3001 (Group 3) Charcoal Tube



Figure IV. Gas Chromatogram of Sample 4001 (Group 4) Charcoal Tube



Figure IV. Gas Chromatogram of Sample 5001 (Group 5) Charcoal Tube


## STUDY FILE NOTE



Subject: MIRAN LOQ
The control chamber limit of quantification was estimaled at $433 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ based on the extrapolated value for an absorbance reading of 0.01 which is considered the lowest practical reading above the baseline. Since the nominal to measured ratio for even the low exposure level for the main study was close to $1: 1$, we did not see need to change the calibration curve.

Prepared by and
Principal Investigator Approval:


## Appendix II:

## Individual Animal Data Tables

## Table of Contents

A-1 Individual Maternal Body Weights and Organ Weights (g) ..... 1
A-2 Individual Maternal Clinical Observations ..... 5
A-3 Individual Maternal Feed Consumption (g/day) ..... 6
A-4 Individual Embryo/Fetal Data ..... 11
Table A－1．Individual Maternal Body Weights and Organ Weights（g）（page 1 of 4）

| Dose ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Dam ID |  | Gestational Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gravid Uterine Wt． | Liver Wt． | Paired Adrenal Gland Wt． | Paired Kidney Wt． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | $17^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1801 | 23.7 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 26.9 | 27.8 | 29.5 | 29.4 | 31.0 | 33.4 | 35.7 | 37.4 | 40.2 | 42.7 | 45.7 | 44.04 | 14.4811 | 2.3692 | 0.0136 | 0.4460 |
|  | 1802 | 27.4 | 28.6 | 29.3 | 30.1 | 30.7 | 31.0 | 32.3 | 34.7 | 37.2 | 40.0 | 41.5 | 44.1 | 49.0 | 52.3 | 51.46 | 19.7607 | 2.6311 | 0.0158 | 0.4819 |
|  | 1803 | 27.5 | 27.3 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 29.3 | 29.6 | 29.9 | 31.9 | 33.1 | 34.5 | 36.4 | 39.0 | 41.8 | 44.2 | 41.65 | 13.6798 | 2.1015 | 0.0115 | 0.3775 |
|  | 1804 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 27.4 | 29.6 | 31.8 | 34.3 | 36.3 | 40.2 | 43.3 | 46.1 | 45.15 | 17.7023 | 2.3577 | 0.0165 | 0.4112 |
|  | 1805 | 27.2 | 27.3 | 27.6 | 28.8 | 30.0 | 29.9 | 30.7 | 32.9 | 34.9 | 36.3 | 38.2 | 41.8 | 44.4 | 47.7 | 46.02 | 16.6375 | 2.2176 | 0.0115 | 0.3908 |
|  | 1806 | 27.2 | 27.5 | 28.3 | 29.2 | 29.5 | 30.0 | 31.0 | 32.9 | 35.6 | 37.4 | 39.6 | 43.5 | 46.2 | 49.3 | 48.23 | 18.2375 | 2.4033 | 0.0164 | 0.4395 |
|  | 1807 | 23.9 | 26.2 | 25.7 | 26.9 | 27.3 | 27.9 | 29.9 | 31.7 | 33.1 | 35.9 | 37.2 | 39.9 | 43.8 | 48.4 | 47.32 | 18.3623 | 2.4555 | c | 0.4020 |
|  | 1808 | 26.4 | 26.7 | 26.9 | 27.6 | 27.7 | 27.6 | 28.7 | 30.3 | 32.8 | 35.1 | 38.3 | 40.1 | 43.6 | 47.8 | 46.10 | 17.9075 | 2.3041 | 0.0141 | 0.4291 |
|  | 1809 | 26.5 | 28.4 | 29.2 | 29.0 | 29.6 | 30.2 | 31.1 | 32.4 | 34.6 | 36.2 | 38.0 | 39.4 | 41.1 | 44.4 | 43.49 | 14.7802 | 2.2250 | 0.0127 | 0.3379 |
|  | 1810 | 27.8 | 28.4 | 29.6 | 30.3 | 29.7 | 30.5 | 31.6 | 33.7 | 34.3 | 36.0 | 39.0 | 41.1 | 43.4 | 46.2 | 44.21 | 13.6742 | 2.3782 | 0.0128 | 0.3950 |
|  | 1811 | 27.9 | 27.6 | 28.4 | 28.6 | 29.5 | 29.8 | 31.3 | 34.0 | 37.3 | 40.1 | 41.2 | 43.7 | 48.6 | 53.1 | 52.05 | 20.7503 | 2.9608 | 0.0135 | 0.5055 |
|  | 1812 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.5 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 26.5 | 28.1 | 29.3 | 31.5 | 33.5 | 36.2 | 39.2 | 42.4 | 45.3 | 44.26 | 17.3355 | 2.2345 | 0.0135 | 0.4018 |
|  | 1813 | 27.2 | 28.3 | 29.2 | 30.2 | 30.7 | 30.9 | 32.5 | 33.5 | 35.0 | 36.8 | 39.1 | 42.3 | 44.8 | 48.3 | 46.90 | 15.3242 | 2.6188 | 0.0211 | 0.4432 |
|  | 1814 | 27.2 | 27.7 | 28.5 | 29.3 | 30.1 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 33.3 | 35.8 | 37.9 | 40.9 | 43.6 | 46.8 | 50.3 | 48.78 | 17.9133 | 2.7351 | 0.0106 | 0.4211 |
|  | 1815 | 28.3 | 28.6 | 29.3 | 30.3 | 30.9 | 31.2 | 32.9 | 35.3 | 36.6 | 38.4 | 40.6 | 42.8 | 45.9 | 49.2 | 47.46 | 16.4148 | 2.7521 | 0.0135 | 0.4091 |
|  | 1816 | 28.7 | 30.0 | 31.9 | 32.3 | 32.5 | 33.1 | 34.4 | 36.8 | 38.9 | 40.5 | 43.5 | 46.3 | 48.2 | 52.4 | 51.34 | 19.6942 | 2.6059 | 0.0132 | 0.4968 |
|  | 1817 | 23.9 | 24.6 | 24.5 | 24.6 | 25.1 | 25.4 | 26.3 | 27.8 | 29.6 | 31.5 | 33.6 | 36.0 | 38.9 | 40.5 | 40.07 | 13.4026 | 2.0213 | 0.0130 | 0.3758 |
|  | 1818 | 28.5 | 29.9 | 31.0 | 30.5 | 31.1 | 32.2 | 33.9 | 36.8 | 38.7 | 40.8 | 43.2 | 46.8 | 49.9 | 52.5 | 51.75 | 20.6578 | 2.5824 | 0.0112 | 0.4805 |
|  | 1819 | 28.8 | 30.0 | 31.2 | 30.9 | 31.2 | 32.5 | 34.0 | 36.1 | 38.1 | 40.4 | 43.0 | 46.1 | 49.4 | 51.8 | 51.28 | 20.1298 | 2.5947 | 0.0192 | 0.4735 |
|  | 1820 | 25.2 | 26.8 | 27.5 | 28.1 | 28.8 | 29.7 | 30.5 | 33.2 | 35.0 | 36.6 | 40.0 | 43.0 | 46.2 | 49.7 | 48.71 | 18.7321 | 2.3504 | 0.0088 | 0.4119 |
|  | 1821 | 24.3 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 27.2 | 28.0 | 29.3 | 31.6 | 33.6 | 35.5 | 38.0 | 41.5 | 43.9 | 43.40 | 15.6224 | 2.1432 | 0.0149 | 0.4096 |
|  | 1822 | 28.9 | 30.7 | 30.9 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 32.1 | 33.1 | 34.3 | 36.9 | 39.2 | 41.1 | 44.5 | 48.9 | 52.4 | 51.15 | 18.5153 | 2.6422 | 0.0099 | 0.4761 |
|  | 1823 | 28.8 | 29.0 | 28.9 | 29.3 | 29.6 | 29.7 | 31.5 | 34.0 | 36.3 | 38.7 | 41.6 | 44.9 | 48.9 | 51.8 | 49.47 | 17.9535 | 2.6913 | 0.0119 | 0.4204 |


| ャ\＆とt＇0 | LZZO＇0 | 8tts＇ | TT92＇カT | 8T＇St | S＇9t | ع＇とا | T＇0t | L＇LE | 9＇98 | 9＇ャ | L＇z¢ | て＇0¢ | 0 ＇62 | 9＇82 | 0.82 | 9＇LZ | L＇92 | 0＇LZ | †T82 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99ヶt＇0 | เ600＇0 | カ8St＇t | てTOZ＇0 | $86^{\prime} \dagger$ ¢ | s．92 | ぐ巾て | T＇SZ | ガもて | 6.72 | 6.52 | T．92 | $\varepsilon \cdot 92$ | 9．92 | L．92 | $0 \cdot 92$ | $0 \cdot 92$ | $\varepsilon$ ¢ | て＇sて | عโ8乙 |  |
| 06St 0 | LLTO＇O | 0TS6＇Z | 9859＇02 | $60 \cdot \mathrm{sc}$ | 6.95 | L＇zs | L．8t | ¢＇St | s＇で | －0t | $\downarrow$－$\llcorner$ | 8 ＇ャを | 9 ＇ย์ | と＇z¢ | 0＇z¢ | 6．1¢ | LoE | 8.62 | てT82 |  |
| ZL8E＇0 | Tヶto 0 | ع8¢ع＇乙 | 98tL＇LT | 99．9b | 8.2 | ع＇st | て＇で | $8 \cdot 68$ | $9 \cdot \angle \varepsilon$ | $\downarrow$ ¢¢ | L＇$\varepsilon$ ¢ | 8＇tを | く0¢ | $0 \cdot 0 \varepsilon$ | 9.62 | $0 \cdot 62$ | $9 \cdot 82$ | $0 \cdot 82$ | It82 |  |
| 290t＇0 | でTOO | 926s＇z | 0＜t＜＇t | 0＜＇9t | T．8t | T＇St | 0 で | 6.88 | $9 \cdot \angle \varepsilon$ | $\downarrow$－98 | โ＇s¢ | 9 ＇て¢ | $0 \cdot$ ¢ | L＇T $¢$ | ع＇0¢ | T＇0¢ | ¢08 | L＇L | 0 028 |  |
| $8966^{\circ}$ | t910＇0 | 80L6 ${ }^{\prime}$ | OG06＇st | ヶc＇8t | c＇6r |  | T＇tヶ | 6.07 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 8．8¢ | ¢ 98 | く＇も¢ | 6 ＇ 2 | 9＇z\＆ | ¢＇t $\varepsilon$ | 0＇1 $\varepsilon$ | ャ0¢ | 8.92 | 6082 |  |
| カt6t＇0 | 9 tO 0 | 92Ls＇Z | 6TLZ＇ZT | $\varepsilon \tau$＇tナ | 0＇st | ¢＇Z | 8.07 | $\varepsilon \cdot 6 \varepsilon$ | L＇LE | 6 ¢ $¢$ | ャワを | ¢＇z\＆ | て＇โદ | LoE | ＜－0¢ | $\varepsilon{ }^{\prime} 0 \varepsilon$ | 8.82 | カ92 | 8082 |  |
| 9ZOt＇0 | tSTO＇0 | とてT9＇乙 | Tع0s＇ャ | IS＇$¢$ | 9 9ヵt | 9＇t | 7 ＇8¢ | $0 \cdot 9 \varepsilon$ | 8＇もを | 6 ＇zع | て＇しદ | $\varepsilon \cdot 62$ | $0 \cdot 82$ | S＇LZ | L＇LZ | †＇92 | 8＇ちて | 9 －$\downarrow$ | L082 |  |
| てStto | 2910＇0 | St9L＇z | \＆とャでくて | ع8＇67 | て＇0G | て＇9t | $6 \cdot \square$ | $\varepsilon{ }^{\circ}$ | S＇LE | โ＇98 | โ＇ャ | ャ＇$\tau$ | て＇0¢ | 9.62 | T．62 | 8.82 |  | ガレz | 9082 |  |
| L898＇0 | 6ST0＇0 | SL8て＇て | Z8ST＇9T | 9と＇t巾 | s＇st | L＇と | で0t | 8．98 | 8＇も¢ | โ＇દ | L＇0¢ | T＇62 | $\varepsilon{ }^{\prime} 82$ | － 82 | $0 \cdot \angle Z$ | で92 | $0 \cdot 92$ | L．92 | G082 |  |
| TーOt＇0 | †tto 0 | 029t＇z | カナ $\angle t$ ST | 86＇\＆$\dagger$ | 8＇tt | 9＇で | S＇68 | ¢ 98 | $6 . \varepsilon \varepsilon$ | ع＇乙ย | L＇62 | $6 . \angle Z$ | 8.92 | $0 \cdot \angle Z$ | ¢ 98 | カ＇¢ | $\varepsilon$ ¢ | $\varepsilon \downarrow$＇ | †082 |  |
| S988＇0 | Otto 0 | 8985＇Z | カLOL＇tて | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{OG}$ | 6＇zs | 967 | 8 ＇st | $90 \%$ | 8.18 | ع＇9¢ | ガワย | T＇0¢ | ¢ ${ }^{\text {82 }}$ | て＇82 | 9.82 |  | T＇LZ | 9 ${ }^{\circ}$ | ع082 |  |
| ع̇st 0 | 2910＇0 | 8S6t＇z | とャ90＇\＆โ | $8 t \cdot \mathrm{St}$ | L＇9t | 9 －$\dagger$ | 0 功 | $9 \cdot 8 \varepsilon$ | 6.98 | 8＇ร์ | S＇t | て＇દ\＆ | ع＇z¢ | L＇İ | T＇โ | 6.62 | T＇62 | $0 \cdot \angle 2$ | 2082 |  |
| $699{ }^{\circ} 0$ | 68100 | とてヤ8＇โ | 29LE＇乙 | $89^{\circ}$ โ | ¢＇$\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | カ＇て¢ | $0 \cdot$ ¢ | s．0¢ | T0¢ | て＇0¢ | L＇62 | 6.82 | 6.82 | s．82 | $\downarrow$＊ 8 | $6 . \angle 2$ | $9 \cdot \angle Z$ | $0 \cdot \mathrm{sz}$ | 1082 | 0002 |

Table A-1. Individual Maternal Body Weights and Organ Weights (g) (page 2 of 4)

| Dose ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dam ID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gravid Uterine Wt. | Liver Wt. | Paired Adrenal Gland Wt. | Paired Kidney Wt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | $17^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 20000 | 2815 | 27.5 | 31.4 | 32.4 | 32.7 | 33.4 | 33.9 | 36.0 | 38.0 | 40.3 | 41.5 | 44.1 | 47.4 | 51.5 | 54.2 | 53.35 | 18.9077 | 3.1841 | 0.0163 | 0.4782 |
|  | 2816 | 28.3 | 27.8 | 29.1 | 30.4 | 30.6 | 30.5 | 32.1 | 34.6 | 37.8 | 39.0 | 41.8 | 43.9 | 48.0 | 51.4 | 49.86 | 18.2901 | 2.6670 | 0.0133 | 0.4295 |
|  | 2817 | 28.8 | 29.8 | 29.7 | 30.0 | 30.6 | 31.0 | 32.2 | 34.0 | 35.4 | 36.7 | 38.7 | 41.1 | 43.8 | 46.8 | 46.53 | 17.2023 | 2.2908 | 0.0141 | 0.4120 |
|  | 2818 | 25.6 | 27.7 | 29.1 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 30.9 | 32.9 | 34.9 | 37.6 | 39.7 | 42.4 | 45.6 | 49.4 | 52.8 | 52.35 | 21.5024 | 2.8345 | 0.0151 | 0.5062 |
|  | 2819 | 28.2 | 30.7 | 31.5 | 31.9 | 32.5 | 33.3 | 34.7 | 37.2 | 39.5 | 42.0 | 44.1 | 47.2 | 50.7 | 55.0 | 53.58 | 18.9426 | 3.0684 | 0.0129 | 0.5620 |
|  | 2820 | $29.1$ | 30.3 | 31.4 | 31.6 | 32.7 | 33.3 | 35.3 | 38.4 | 39.9 | 41.2 | 44.5 | 47.5 | 50.9 | 54.7 | 53.60 | 18.8395 | 2.9602 | 0.0172 | 0.5350 |
|  | 2822 | 27.3 | 27.0 | 27.7 | 28.6 | 28.7 | 28.8 | 30.1 | 31.9 | 33.9 | 35.1 | 38.5 | 41.2 | 44.7 | 47.7 | 46.32 | 15.2662 | 2.5073 | 0.0069 | 0.4186 |
|  | 2823 | 29.3 | 30.8 | 31.5 | 32.4 | 32.7 | 33.3 | 34.3 | 36.1 | 38.3 | 39.9 | 42.3 | 46.2 | 50.4 | 54.0 | 51.95 | 16.8460 | 3.1252 | 0.0127 | 0.4374 |
| 10000 | $\begin{aligned} & 3801 \\ & 3802 \end{aligned}$ | $25.4$ | 26.5 | 26.8 | 27.3 | 27.5 | 28.4 | 29.6 | 31.8 | 33.7 | 35.5 | 37.1 | 39.8 | 42.3 | 44.4 | 44.62 | 14.7301 | 2.7557 | 0.0115 | 0.4576 |
|  | 3803 | 27.5 | 28.0 | 28.7 | 29.2 | 30.3 | 30.4 | 31.3 | 33.4 | 35.5 | 37.2 | 39.3 | 41.7 | 44.1 | 47.1 | 47.34 | 16.9253 | 2.4204 | 0.0121 | 0.4191 |
|  | 3804 | 25.4 | 27.5 | 28.4 | 29.0 | 30.2 | 30.7 | 33.5 | 35.1 | 36.7 | 38.3 | 40.7 | 43.8 | 46.9 | 49.7 | 48.87 | 16.1002 | 2.6020 | 0.0127 | 0.4318 |
|  | 3805 | 26.5 | 28.2 | 28.7 | 29.0 | 30.1 | 31.1 | 32.5 | 35.6 | 37.3 | 38.9 | 41.5 | 44.7 | 46.9 | 49.3 | 48.51 | 17.6251 | 2.5656 | 0.0140 | 0.4162 |
|  | 3806 | 27.6 | 29.4 | 30.1 | 31.0 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 34.9 | 37.6 | 39.7 | 42.2 | 45.0 | 48.0 | 51.9 | 55.2 | 53.77 | 19.7811 | 2.9632 | 0.0135 | 0.4945 |
|  | 3807 | 25.0 | 24.8 | 25.3 | 25.7 | 26.7 | 27.3 | 28.4 | 30.5 | 32.1 | 33.7 | 35.2 | 37.4 | 41.0 | 44.3 | 43.27 | 14.5264 | 2.8788 | 0.0106 | 0.4709 |
|  | 3808 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 27.0 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 28.1 | 30.1 | 32.7 | 34.3 | 35.8 | 38.1 | 40.0 | 42.5 | 41.96 | 13.4726 | 2.4245 | 0.0157 | 0.4236 |
|  | 3809 | 26.9 | 27.4 | 27.6 | 28.5 | 28.8 | 29.2 | 30.4 | 32.1 | 34.0 | 35.1 | 37.0 | 39.6 | 42.7 | 45.2 | 44.35 | 16.7280 | 2.4484 | 0.0138 | 0.4345 |
|  | 3810 | 27.5 | 28.9 | 29.8 | 30.1 | 30.5 | 30.9 | 31.5 | 34.2 | 36.0 | 38.3 | 41.1 | 42.6 | 45.7 | 48.9 | 47.29 | 16.8350 | 2.5713 | 0.0152 | 0.4403 |
|  | 3811 | 28.1 | 26.1 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.9 | 27.7 | 28.8 | 30.3 | 32.2 | 34.4 | 36.5 | 39.3 | 41.7 | 44.3 | 43.58 | 14.9477 | 2.5122 | 0.0122 | 0.4060 |
|  | 3812 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 29.5 | 30.3 | 30.7 | 31.1 | 32.4 | 34.3 | 36.3 | 38.1 | 40.7 | 43.9 | 47.2 | 50.3 | 48.94 | 18.1387 | 2.7584 | 0.0129 | 0.4366 |
|  | 3813 3814 | 25.5 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 29.3 | 30.5 | 32.0 | 34.4 | 35.7 | 39.4 | 41.8 | 44.8 | 43.89 | 15.3821 | 2.6385 | 0.0116 | 0.4457 |
|  | 3814 3815 | 27.7 | 29.0 | 30.5 | 31.2 | 31.8 | 32.7 | 35.1 | 37.0 | 38.4 | 40.5 | 42.1 | 45.7 | 48.9 | 52.8 | 52.65 | 17.5735 | $2.904^{f}$ | 0.0141 | 0.4550 |
|  | 3816 3817 | $28 d^{2}$ | 28.0 | 29.0 | 29.6 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 36.3 | 38.7 | 41.3 | 43.9 | 48.0 | 51.5 | 50.10 | 18.6991 | 2.9257 | 0.0135 | 0.4536 |
|  | 3818 | 25.8 | 27.3 | 28.8 | 28.7 | 28.5 | 30.5 | 30.3 | 32.4 | 34.1 | 35.4 | 37.2 | 40.5 | 43.4 | 46.1 | 45.33 | 14.8345 | 2.5348 | 0.0141 | 0.4111 |
|  | 3819 | 28.2 | 28.8 | 29.4 | 29.6 | 29.9 | 30.4 | 32.0 | 32.9 | 35.3 | 37.2 | 38.6 | 41.1 | 44.2 | 47.6 | 46.66 | 16.0488 | 2.9220 | 0.0151 | 0.4295 |
|  | 3820 | 26.9 | 30.3 | 32.1 | 32.4 | 33.6 | 34.7 | 35.4 | 37.9 | 39.0 | 41.0 | 43.2 | 45.6 | 48.7 | 53.2 | 52.04 | 16.8824 | 3.1119 | 0.0104 | 0.4274 |
|  | 3821 | 27.3 | 29.7 | 30.1 | 30.8 | 30.6 | 31.4 | 33.5 | 34.6 | 37.2 | 38.3 | 41.2 | 45.0 | 48.1 | 51.3 | 50.73 | 18.0837 | 3.2004 | 0.0141 | 0.4744 |
|  | 3822 3823 | 28.1 | 29.1 | 29.4 | 29.9 | 30.8 | 31.3 | 31.4 | 33.7 | 35.1 | 37.7 | 39.8 | 42.5 | 46.7 | 50.1 | 48.90 | 17.5758 | 2.6313 | C | 0.4213 |

[^1]Table A-1. Individual Maternal Body Weights and Organ Weights (g) (page 3 of 4)

| Dose ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dam ID | 0 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | $17^{\text {b }}$ | Gravid Uterine Wt. | Liver Wt. | Paired Adrenal Gland Wt. | Paired Kidney Wt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20000 | 4804 | 29.7 | 29.8 | 30.5 | 31.0 | 31.3 | 31.7 | 32.6 | 34.6 | 37.6 | 39.0 | 41.3 | 43.5 | 47.0 | 49.3 | 49.19 | 17.6044 | 2.6289 | 0.0172 | 0.4266 |
|  | 4805 | 25.7 | 26.8 | 27.6 | 28.3 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 29.3 | 30.9 | 33.5 | 35.4 | 37.1 | 40.3 | 42.7 | 46.6 | 45.87 | 16.9954 | 2.6732 | 0.0150 | 0.4320 |
|  | 4806 | 26.4 | 28.8 | 29.2 | 29.8 | 30.9 | 31.0 | 32.4 | 33.5 | 35.6 | 38.2 | 39.2 | 42.5 | 44.9 | 47.4 | 47.48 | 17.0330 | 2.8556 | 0.0132 | 0.4188 |
|  | 4807 | 27.7 | 29.0 | 28.9 | 30.1 | 30.8 | 30.7 | 31.6 | 34.3 | 36.2 | 38.3 | 41.0 | 44.2 | 46.9 | 50.4 | 49.18 | 17.5032 | 2.8624 | 0.0165 | 0.4116 |
|  | 4808 | 25.1 | 26.7 | 27.6 | 28.3 | 28.5 | 28.9 | 30.2 | 32.1 | 34.3 | 36.2 | 38.8 | 41.8 | 45.9 | 49.4 | 48.53 | 18.8523 | 2.8895 | 0.0168 | 0.4153 |
|  | 4809 | 26.0 | 29.3 | 29.6 | 30.8 | 31.6 | 32.3 | 34.0 | 36.7 | 39.6 | 41.8 | 44.9 | 47.5 | 52.5 | 54.8 | 55.12 | 22.6107 | 2.9528 | 0.0137 | 0.4431 |
|  | 4810 | 27.0 | 26.6 | 27.5 | 28.2 | 28.3 | 28.8 | 30.0 | 31.6 | 33.5 | 34.2 | 37.0 | 39.7 | 43.2 | 46.2 | 45.12 | 16.4734 | 2.7402 | 0.0161 | 0.3932 |
|  | 4811 | 27.5 | 28.2 | 29.9 | 30.9 | 31.3 | 32.5 | 34.3 | 36.0 | 38.2 | 39.1 | 42.4 | 43.6 | 46.4 | 51.0 | 49.15 | 19.6011 | 2.8417 | 0.0131 | 0.3939 |
|  | 4812 | 28.2 | 27.7 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 28.5 | 29.1 | 29.8 | 30.9 | 33.2 | 34.3 | 36.5 | 38.7 | 41.0 | 43.5 | 42.80 | 15.8511 | 2.2713 | 0.0131 | 0.3702 |
|  | 4813 | 29.0 | 29.1 | 29.5 | 30.5 | 30.4 | 31.8 | 32.9 | 36.5 | 39.2 | 41.1 | 44.2 | 47.4 | 51.8 | 55.3 | 53.28 | 21.9836 | 2.9297 | 0.0106 | 0.4795 |
|  | 4814 | 25.6 | 27.3 | 27.7 | 27.8 | 28.1 | 28.4 | 29.7 | 31.1 | 32.1 | 33.6 | 34.7 | 37.5 | 38.2 | 40.0 | 38.65 | 10.0827 | 2.2418 | 0.0152 | 0.4031 |
|  | 4815 | ${ }_{27.7}$ | 29.2 | 30.3 | 30.2 | 31.2 | 31.3 | 32.0 | 34.0 | 35.3 | 37.4 | 39.6 | 43.2 | 45.7 | 49.0 | 47.99 | 17.4621 | 2.6378 | 0.0105 | 0.4726 |
|  | 4817 | 28.2 | 28.0 | 29.0 | 29.5 | 30.3 | 30.9 | 32.0 | 33.6 | 35.7 | 37.5 | 39.6 | 42.5 | 45.9 | 49.2 | 48.70 | 17.1257 | 2.8046 | 0.0149 | 0.4653 |
|  | 4818 | 30.1 | 30.6 | 30.9 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 32.3 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 31.3 | 31.9 | 30.6 | 30.5 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 29.96 | 0.1257 | 1.8489 | 0.0149 | 0.4931 |
|  | 4819 | 26.0 | 26.5 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 27.2 | 27.4 | 27.3 | 27.6 | 27.7 | 27.0 | 27.7 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 27.0 | 26.27 | 0.1142 | 1.7097 | 0.0124 | 0.4325 |
|  | 4820 | 27.9 | 28.6 | 29.1 | 29.0 | 29.4 | 30.5 | 31.7 | 33.2 | 35.7 | 36.7 | 39.3 | 41.6 | 44.4 | 47.7 | 46.95 | 15.0383 | 2.7616 | 0.0165 | 0.4797 |
|  | 4821 | 27.3 | 29.3 | 29.8 | 30.3 | 31.2 | 31.8 | 32.4 | 34.6 | 36.6 | 38.9 | 40.8 | 44.3 | 47.7 | 50.5 | 50.09 | 18.7231 | 2.8499 | 0.0104 | 0.3662 |
|  | 4822 | 27.4 | 28.7 | 29.2 | 29.7 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 31.0 | 31.5 | 34.1 | 35.9 | 37.4 | 40.8 | 44.4 | 47.4 | 45.92 | 15.4136 | 2.7219 | 0.0139 | 0.4855 |
|  | 4823 | 28.1 | 31.5 | 31.4 | 32.2 | 32.1 | 33.5 | 34.0 | 35.6 | 37.3 | 39.3 | 40.7 | 43.3 | 44.7 | 46.7 | 46.09 | 14.5411 | 2.7599 | 0.0112 | 0.4560 |


Table A-1. Individual Maternal Body Weights and Organ Weights (g) (page 4 of 4)

| Dose ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dam ID | 0 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | $17^{\text {b }}$ | Gravid Uterine Wt. | Liver Wt. | Paired Adrenal Gland Wt. | Paired Kidney Wt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30000 | 5818 | 27.3 | 27.0 | 28.1 | 27.6 | 27.7 | 28.7 | 30.5 | 32.0 | 34.6 | 37.5 | 39.7 | 41.4 | 44.7 | 48.9 | 47.49 | 19.4554 | 2.1213 | 0.0136 | 0.4744 |
|  | 5819 | 27.4 | 29.0 | 29.6 | 29.6 | 30.1 | 31.2 | 32.5 | 34.3 | 36.4 | 39.2 | 42.1 | 44.9 | 48.2 | 51.8 | 49.80 | 18.6413 | 2.5928 | 0.0122 | 0.4614 |
|  | 5820 | 28.3 | 28.6 | 28.8 | 29.5 | 29.8 | 29.9 | 31.3 | 32.3 | 35.3 | 37.1 | 39.3 | 42.6 | 45.4 | 48.9 | 47.34 | 16.7165 | 2.3715 | 0.0106 | 0.4088 |
|  | 5821 | 28.3 | 29.5 | 30.5 | 32.0 | 32.1 | 33.3 | 34.2 | 35.8 | 38.2 | 40.5 | 41.6 | 44.5 | 48.7 | 51.5 | 50.76 | 17.7549 | 2.8188 | 0.0123 | 0.4731 |
|  | 5822 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.3 | 29.0 | 28.2 | 28.5 | 29.3 | 30.5 | 32.8 | 34.2 | 36.8 | 39.3 | 41.4 | 44.9 | 43.85 | 16.2522 | 2.0635 | 0.0116 | 0.3750 |
|  | 5823 | 28.7 | 29.1 | 30.0 | 30.7 | 31.6 | 33.1 | 34.1 | 36.2 | 39.2 | 41.3 | 44.6 | 49.0 | 51.5 | 55.1 | 55.01 | 22.3565 | 2.3108 | 0.0144 | 0.3464 |
|  | 5824 | 25.8 | 24.9 | 25.5 | 24.2 | 23.4 | 24.0 | 23.8 | 24.0 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 25.5 | 25.2 | 25.0 | 25.7 | 25.39 | 0.1097 | 1.4946 | 0.0172 | 0.3639 |
|  | 5825 | 26.2 | 26.8 | 28.5 | 28.6 | 29.0 | 29.8 | 30.1 | 31.8 | 34.4 | 35.3 | 36.9 | 40.3 | 43.0 | 46.1 | 45.25 | 14.9805 | 2.3879 | 0.0143 | 0.4199 |
|  | 5826 | 26.6 | 27.1 | 28.3 | 28.5 | 28.7 | 29.2 | 30.3 | 32.3 | 35.8 | 38.1 | 41.0 | 44.1 | 47.2 | 50.7 | 50.20 | 19.2251 | 2.7888 | 0.0131 | 0.4647 |
|  | 5827 | 27.7 | 26.4 | 27.2 | 27.1 | 27.8 | 28.1 | 29.0 | 31.1 | 33.7 | 35.4 | 37.0 | 39.9 | 42.9 | 46.6 | 45.81 | 15.3833 | 2.3213 | 0.0143 | 0.3784 |
|  | 5828 | 27.8 | 28.5 | 29.3 | 28.9 | 29.1 | 29.9 | 30.9 | 32.9 | 36.5 | 37.6 | 39.1 | 42.7 | 44.2 | 47.7 | 46.68 | 15.6981 | 2.2852 | 0.0151 | 0.4336 |
|  | 5829 | 28.1 | 28.7 | 29.1 | 29.0 | 29.5 | 30.1 | 31.1 | 33.0 | 35.4 | 36.2 | 38.2 | 41.1 | 44.1 | 47.0 | 45.62 | 14.9195 | 2.4199 | 0.0111 | 0.4803 |
|  | 5830 | 30.3 | 33.4 | 33.1 | 33.0 | 33.5 | 34.3 | 35.0 | 37.2 | 40.1 | 42.8 | 45.4 | 50.5 | 53.5 | 58.0 | 56.48 | 20.2425 | 3.0487 | 0.0150 | 0.4365 |
|  | 5831 | 26.3 | 28.0 | 28.2 | 28.7 | 29.0 | 29.5 | 30.1 | 33.4 | 35.4 | 37.1 | 39.8 | 42.9 | 46.4 | 49.5 | 48.76 | 18.3770 | 2.6777 | 0.0132 | 0.4656 |
|  | 5832 | 26.9 | 30.0 | 30.2 | 31.4 | 32.2 | 33.2 | 35.0 | 37.4 | 39.3 | 41.4 | 44.0 | 47.3 | 51.1 | 54.7 | 54.13 | 20.3232 | 3.2612 | 0.0151 | 0.4721 |
|  | 5833 | 27.0 | 27.5 | 27.6 | 28.4 | 28.7 | 29.2 | 30.4 | 32.1 | 34.5 | 36.0 | 38.5 | 41.3 | 44.6 | 47.8 | 47.02 | 16.3016 | 2.7776 | 0.0161 | 0.4644 |
|  | 5834 | 27.9 | 27.3 | 28.0 | 28.2 | 29.5 | 29.1 | 31.2 | 32.5 | 33.6 | 36.2 | 38.0 | 39.7 | 42.6 | 45.9 | 44.84 | 14.7283 | 2.3049 | 0.0131 | 0.4299 |
|  | 5835 | 27.7 | 30.2 | 30.4 | 31.3 | 31.9 | 33.4 | 35.7 | 37.9 | 39.7 | 42.8 | 45.4 | 49.3 | 51.9 | 56.8 | 56.05 | 22.1501 | 2.7876 | 0.0131 | 0.4039 |
|  | 5836 | 28.1 | 28.4 | 29.3 | 30.1 | 30.5 | 31.6 | 33.1 | 34.4 | 36.6 | 38.5 | 41.1 | 44.9 | 48.9 | 52.4 | 51.13 | 19.7858 | 2.3708 | 0.0158 | 0.4420 |
|  | 5837 | 28.3 | 29.1 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.2 | 29.9 | 30.1 | 29.7 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 30.2 | 29.7 | 30.1 | 31.2 | 29.40 | 0.1544 | 1.8195 | 0.0131 | 0.4512 |
|  | 5838 | 28.0 | 28.1 | 28.7 | 28.9 | 30.0 | 31.3 | 31.5 | 32.8 | 35.1 | 37.7 | 39.7 | 43.1 | 46.7 | 49.2 | 48.33 | 17.4607 | 2.5002 | 0.0145 | 0.3965 |

$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}}$ of gasoline MTBE vapor condensate. Body weight at sacrifice.
${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ Paired adrenal gland weight was a statistical outlier and therefore it was excluded.
${ }^{d}$ Female was not pregnant.
${ }^{\text {Female was removed due to a preexisting condition. At necropsy she was found to have an undescended testis on the right and seminal vesicles and prostate to }}$ the right of the vagina and cervix.
${ }^{f}$ Liver weight was inadvertently recorded to only 3 decimal places.

Table A-2. Individual Maternal Clinical Observations (page 1 of 1)

| Dose ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dam ID | Dayb | Time ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | Observation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10000 | 3808 | 12 | Prior | Red exudate from anogenital area |
| 20000 | 4803 | 6 | Post | Lacrimation, unilateral, moderate |
|  | 4807 | 5 | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  | 6 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  |  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  | 7 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  |  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  | 8 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  |  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  | 9 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  |  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  | 10 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  |  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  | 11 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  |  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  | 12 | Prior | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  |  |  | Post | Alopecia: extremities/snout, moderate |
|  | 4814 | 11 | Post | Red exudate from anogenital area |
|  | 4823 | 9 | Post | Labored breathing |
| 30000 | 5802 | 6 | Post | Lacrimation, unilateral, moderate |
|  | 5805 | 6 | Post | Lacrimation, bilateral, moderate |
|  | 5824 | 5 | Post | Lacrimation, unilateral, moderate |
|  | 5829 | 2 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 3 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 4 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 5 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  |  | Post | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 6 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  |  | Post | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 7 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  |  | Post | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 8 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  |  | Post | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 9 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  |  | Post | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 10 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  |  | Post | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 11 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 12 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 13 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 14 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 15 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 16 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  |  | 17 | Prior | Eye: enophthalmos, unilateral, left |
|  | 5838 | 10 | Post | Labored breathing |

[^2]Table A-3. Individual Maternal Feed Consumption (g/day) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ (page 1 of 5)

| Dose ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Dam ID | Gestational Days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 12-13 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | $5-10^{C}$ | $5-16^{\text {d }}$ | $10-17^{C}$ | 0-17 |
| 0 | 1801 | . ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 5.6 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 8.2 | $f$ |
|  | 1802 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 6.7 |
|  | 1803 | e | e | e | . 9 | 10.4 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 9.4 | f | f | 7.6 | f |
|  | 1804 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 6.6 |
|  | 1805 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | . | 9.8 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 6.4 | f | f | f |
|  | 1806 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 5.8 |
|  | 1807 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 9.8 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.8 |
|  | 1808 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.7 |
|  | 1809 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
|  | 1810 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
|  | 1811 | e | . 9 | . g | 6.9 | 14.5 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 11.3 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 10.4 | 9.1 | 8.2 | f |
|  | 1812 | e | 4.1 | 5.1 | . 9 | e | 6.7 | e | 5.6 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 7.1 |  | f |  | . |
|  | 1813 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 6.6 |
|  | 1814 | e | 8.4 | . 9 | 9.0 | 9.7 | 9.7 | . | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 10.6 | f | f | f |
|  | 1815 | e | 6.0 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 10.2 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.7 | $f$ |
|  | 1816 | 7.4 | 8.1 | . g | 9.7 | 10.1 | 8.6 | . | 10.2 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 10.8 | f | f | $f$ |
|  | 1817 | 7.5 | e | e | 12.2 | e | 8.3 | 8.1 | 11.0 | 9.2 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 5.6 | f | f | 8.0 | f |
|  | 1818 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 7.3 |
|  | 1819 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.3 |
|  | 1820 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 6.2 |
|  | 1821 | 7.7 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
|  | 1822 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 6.1 |
|  | 1823 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 6.5 |


Table A-3. Individual Maternal Feed Consumption (g/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (page 2 of 5)

| Gestational Days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dose ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Dam ID | 0-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 12-13 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | $5-10^{\text {c }}$ | $5-16^{\text {d }}$ | 10-17 ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | 0-17 |
| 2000 | 2811 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 9.8 | 6.0 |  | 6.5 |  | 6.1 |
|  | 2812 | 8.2 | e | 12.8 | 9.8 | 11.4 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 9.3 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 2813 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.3 |  | 4.8 |  | 4.6 |
|  | 2814 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 8.6 |  | 7.2 |  | 7.1 |
|  | 2815 | 9.5 | 9.0 | e | 8.2 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 7.3 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 2816 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 8.8 |  | 7.8 |  | 7.8 |
|  | 2817 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.3 |  | 5.7 |  | 5.4 |
|  | 2818 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 6.3 | e | 8.6 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.3 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 2819 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.4 |  | 7.9 |  | 7.2 |
|  | 2820 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.7 |  | 8.2 |  | 8.3 |
|  | 2821 | i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2822 | .h | 5.0 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 5.9 | . | 7.9 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 6.8 |  | $f$ |  | $f$ |
|  | 2823 | 7.8 | 6.7 | . h | . 9 | . 9 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 9.0 |  | $f$ |  | f |
| 10000 | 3801 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 8.8 |  | 6.5 |  | 6.4 |
|  | 3802 | i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3803 | e | e | 8.4 | 10.8 | . h | 6.1 | 6.7 | . ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 12.0 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 8.1 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 3804 | e | 5.9 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 10.3 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.4 |  | 7.9 |  | f |
|  | 3805 | e | . | 10.0 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 7.0 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 3806 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 11.4 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 10.2 | 8.3 |  | 8.4 |  | 7.9 |
|  | 3807 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 7.1 |  | 6.5 |  | 6.0 |
|  | 3808 | e | 6.0 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 10.7 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.9 |  | 7.6 |  | f |
|  | 3809 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 8.4 | e | 6.6 | 7.3 | 6.7 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 3810 | 8.9 | 13.0 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 8.7 |  | 8.2 |  | 8.5 |
|  | 3811 | 7.9 | 10.9 | e | 9.7 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.4 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 3812 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 7.2 |  | 6.6 |  | 6.3 |
|  | 3813 | - | 9.0 | 9.2 | 6.9 | . | 7.4 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.0 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 3814 | j |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3815 | e | 14.5 | 8.7 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 8.7 |  | 9.9 |  | f |
|  | 3816 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.3 |  | 7.4 |  | 6.9 |
|  | 3817 | i | 6.6 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 6.9 |
|  | 3818 | e | e | e | 11.9 | . | e | 9.5 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 10.5 | 11.7 | 7.9 |  | $f$ |  | $f$ |
|  | 3819 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 6.7 | e | 6.2 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.1 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 3820 | . | 9.3 | 8.1 | 17.8 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 8.9 | 9.2 |  | 9.4 |  | f |

Table A-3. Individual Maternal Feed Consumption (g/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (page 3 of 5)

| Gestational Days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dose ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Dam ID |  | 0-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 12-13 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 5-10 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5-16 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 10-17 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0-17 |
| 10000 | 3821 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 8.3 |  | 7.9 |  | 7.5 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3822 \\ & 3823 \end{aligned}$ | $5.1$ | 5.3 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 9.6 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 7.4 |  | 6.8 |  | 6.3 |
| 20000 | 4801 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 5.6 |
|  | 4802 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 8.3 |  | 7.0 |  | 6.7 |
|  | 4803 | e | . 9 | . 9 | . 9 | 8.4 | 6.7 | . 9 | . 9 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 9.9 |  | 10.2 |  |  |
|  | 4804 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 8.9 |  | 6.0 |  | 5.9 |
|  | 4805 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 6.8 |  | 6.2 |  | 6.0 |
|  | 4806 | . | 6.0 | . 9 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 | . | 7.1 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 6.2 |  | f |  |  |
|  | 4807 | e | 7.8 | 9.3 | . 9 | . 9 | 5.7 | . 9 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 7.0 |  | 8.6 |  | f |
|  | 4808 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.2 |  | 5.8 |
|  | 4809 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 6.4 |  | 6.9 |  | 6.7 |
|  | 4810 | e | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 6.9 |  | 6.7 |  |  |
|  | 4811 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 7.7 |  | 6.1 |  | 5.7 |
|  | 4812 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 7.7 | 5.9 | 6.5 |  | 5.4 |  | 5.0 |
|  | 4813 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 9.7 | 8.4 | 8.1 |  | 7.3 |  | 6.8 |
|  | 4814 | 5.3 | 9.8 | e | 5.8 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.8 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 4815 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4816 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 8.0 |  | 7.1 |  | 6.7 |
|  | 4817 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 7.1 |  | 6.5 |  | 6.2 |
|  | 4818 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 6.4 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |
|  | 4819 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.1 |  | 5.4 |  | 5.2 |
|  | 4820 | . h | 6.2 | . | e | e | e | 8.3 | 8.6 | 8.3 | e | 8.1 | 7.4 | 7.1 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 4821 | e | 5.5 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.3 | h | 5.2 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 7.1 |  | f |  | f |
|  | 4822 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 8.7 |  | 7.2 |  | 7.0 |
|  | 4823 | 6.0 | 6.6 | . 9 | e | 6.2 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 6.9 |  | f |  |  |
| 30000 | 5801 | i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5802 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 11.9 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 5.6 |  | 7.9 | 6.5 |
|  | 5803 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 8.2 | 5.8 |  | 6.9 | 6.1 |
|  | 5804 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 6.0 |  | 7.3 | 6.3 |
|  | 5805 | . | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 5.7 |  | 6.4 | + |

Table A-3. Individual Maternal Feed Consumption (g/day) ${ }^{\text {a (page } 4 \text { of 5) }}$

| Dose ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Dam ID |  | Gestational Days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 12-13 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | $5-10^{C}$ | $5-16^{\text {d }}$ | 10-17 ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | 0-17 |
| 30000 | 5806 | . | 5.9 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.6 | h | . ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 8.3 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 6.4 |  | $f$ | $f$ |
|  | 5807 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 6.4 |  | 7.3 | 7.1 |
|  | 5808 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 6.4 | . h | 5.0 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 6.5 | . | $f$ |  | f | f |
|  | 5809 | . | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.1 | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.1 | 5.5 | 8.4 | . h | 10.3 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 8.1 | f |  | f | f |
|  | 5810 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 5.9 |  | 7.2 | 6.3 |
|  | 5811 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 6.8 |  | 8.1 | 7.0 |
|  | 5812 | 9.4 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 9.7 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 6.2 |  | 8.6 | 8.1 |
|  | 5813 | . | . 9 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 7.6 |  | 5.6 | f |
|  | 5814 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 5.9 |  | 7.8 | 6.5 |
|  | 5815 | 10.4 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 |  | 7.1 | 7.7 |
|  | 5816 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 8.9 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 |  | 7.3 | 6.9 |
|  | 5817 | i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5818 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 5.6 | . | 6.8 | 5.7 |  | f | $f$ |
|  | 5819 | 6.4 | . | e | 7.4 | 6.4 | 6.2 | . 9 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 7.6 | f |  | 8.4 | f |
|  | 5820 | 9.0 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 11.4 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 5.7 |  | 7.6 | 7.4 |
|  | 5821 | 11.1 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 7.2 | . 9 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 7.2 |  | 8.9 | 9.0 |
|  | 5822 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 5.8 |
|  | 5823 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 6.1 |  | 7.7 | 6.7 |
|  | 5824 | . | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.7 |  | 5.5 | . ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
|  | 5825 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 6.0 |  | 7.2 | 6.2 |
|  | 5826 | . | 6.2 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 6.4 |  | 8.2 | f |
|  | 5827 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 5.1 |  | 6.8 | 5.6 |
|  | 5828 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 6.5 |  | 7.7 | 6.6 |
|  | 5829 | 10.0 | 5.7 | e | . 9 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.6 | f |  | 8.8 | f |
|  | 5830 | 7.2 | 6.6 | e | 9.3 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.3 | f |  | 9.2 | f |
|  | 5831 | . | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 6.2 |  | 7.9 | f |
|  | 5832 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 7.5 |  | 8.4 | 7.9 |
|  | 5833 | e | . 9 | 10.3 | 8.3 | e | . | . 9 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 6.8 | f |  | 9.5 | f |
|  | 5834 | . h | 4.5 | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.7 | h | 6.0 | 6.0 | . h | . 9 | 10.1 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 8.5 | f |  | f | . |
|  | 5835 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 6.6 |  | 8.2 | 7.2 |
|  | 5836 | . | 8.1 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 6.6 |  | 7.7 | . |
|  | 5837 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.8 |  | 5.8 | 6.0 |
|  | 5838 | e | . ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 6.1 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.9 | f |  | 7.4 | f |
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Table A-4. Individual Embryo/Fetal Data (page 6 of 29)

| Dose ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dam ID\# | Implant |  |  |  | Fetus |  |  | Defect ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NCL ${ }^{\text {b }}$ \# |  | Type ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | Positiond |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \# |  | Sex | Wt. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Exam | Type | Description |
| 0 | 1822 | 12 | 1 |  | A | L | 1 | F | 0.9341 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | F | 0.9481 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | M | 0.9897 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | L | 4 | M | 1.0243 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | L | 5 | M | 1.0367 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | A | R | 6 | F | 0.9537 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 7 | F | 0.8929 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | R | 8 | M | 0.8955 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 9 | F | 0.8876 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | R | 10 | F | 0.9153 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 11 | M | 0.9270 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 12 | F | 0.9892 |  |  |  |
|  | 1823 | $14 \begin{gathered}1 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9\end{gathered}$ | 1 | A | L | 1 | F | 0.7610 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | M | 0.9371 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | F | 1.0011 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | E | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | L | 4 | F | 0.9726 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | A | R | 5 | F | 0.8709 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 6 | F | 0.9728 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | R | 7 | F | 0.9841 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 8 | M | 0.9371 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | R | 9 | F | 0.9246 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 10 | F | 0.7944 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 11 | M | 0.9476 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 12 | M | 0.8361 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14 | A | R | 13 | M | 0.9707 |  |  |  |
| 2000 | $\begin{aligned} & 2801 \\ & 2802 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 1 | A | R | 1 | F | 1.3441 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | 1 | A | L | 1 | M | 1.2708 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | F | 1.0697 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | E | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | E | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | E | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | A | R | 3 | M | 1.2216 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 4 | M | 1.2408 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | E | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 5 | F | 1.0764 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | R | 6 | M | 1.1545 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 7 | F | 1.0262 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | E | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 8 | F | 1.0773 |  |  |  |
|  | 2803 | $15 \begin{array}{r}1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7\end{array}$ | 1 | A | L | 1 | M | 0.9750 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | M | 1.0125 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | F | 1.0308 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | L | 4 | M | 1.0001 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | L | 5 | M | 1.0323 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | A | L | 6 | F | 0.9611 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 7 | M | 1.0954 | External External | Variation Variation | Hematoma: Head Hematoma: Neck |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | R | 8 | M | 1.1073 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 9 | F | 0.9910 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | R | 10 | M | 0.9514 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 11 | M | 0.9946 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 12 | M | 1.0013 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 13 | F | 1.0459 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14 | A | R | 14 | F | 0.9746 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 | A | R | 15 | F | 1.0812 |  |  |  |
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| Dose ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dam } \\ \text { ID\# } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Implant |  |  |  | Fetus |  |  | Defect ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NCL ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Type ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Posi- } \\ & \text { tiond } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \# | Sex | Wt. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Exam | Type | Description |
| 2000 | 2818 | 17 | 1 | A | L | 1 | F | 0.9018 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | M | 0.9189 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | M | 1.0189 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | L | 4 | F | 0.9339 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | L | 5 | F | 0.7564 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | A | L | 6 | F | 0.9032 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | L | 7 | M | 0.8642 | External | Malformation | Cleft Palate |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | L | 8 | F | 0.9189 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | L | 9 | M | 1.0005 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | L | 10 | M | 0.9558 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 11 | F | 0.9258 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 12 | M | 0.9915 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 13 | F | 0.9614 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14 | A | R | 14 | F | 0.8635 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 | A | R | 15 | F | 0.8936 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 16 | A | R | 16 | F | 0.8928 |  |  |  |
|  | 2819 | 13 | 1 | A | L | 1 | F | 1.0275 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | F | 1.1046 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | M | 1.0580 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | R | 4 | M | 1.0291 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | R | 5 | F | 0.7359 | External | Malformation | Encephalocele |
|  |  |  | 6 | A | R | 6 | M | 0.8896 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 7 | M | 0.9365 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | R | 8 | M | 0.9639 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 9 | F | 0.9304 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | R | 10 | M | 1.0039 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 11 | M | 1.0009 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 12 | F | 0.9925 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 13 | M | 1.0325 |  |  |  |
|  | 2820 | 14 | 1 | A | L | 1 | F | 1.1862 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | M | 1.0684 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | M | 0.9071 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | L | 4 | F | 1.2382 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | R | 5 | F | 1.0283 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | A | R | 6 | F | 1.0491 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 7 | F | 1.0592 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | E | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 8 | F | 0.9977 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | R | 9 | F | 1.0505 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 10 | F | 0.8839 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 11 | M | 0.9944 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 12 | M | 0.7045 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14 | A | R | 13 | F | 0.9805 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2821 \\ & 2822 \end{aligned}$ | . 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11 | 1 | A | L | 1 | F | 0.9312 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | F | 0.9493 | External | Variation | Hematoma: Face |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | F | 1.0554 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | L | 4 | F | 1.0212 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | R | 5 | M | 1.0618 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | E | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 6 | F | 1.0410 | External | Variation | Hematoma: Face |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | R | 7 | M | 1.0158 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 8 | F | 1.0331 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | R | 9 | M | 1.0376 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 10 | M | 0.9412 |  |  |  |
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| Implant |  |  |  |  |  | Fetus |  |  | Defect ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dose $^{\text {a }}$ Dam $\mathrm{ID} \mathrm{\#}$ |  | NCL ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Type ${ }^{\text {C }}$ |  | Positiond |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \# |  |  | Sex | Wt. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Exam | Type | Description |
| 30000 | 5806 |  | 18 | 1 |  | A | L | 1 | M | 0.9533 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | F | 0.9073 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | M | 0.9066 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | L | 4 | F | 0.9136 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | L | 5 | F | 1.0194 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | L | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | L | 6 | F | 0.9655 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | L | 7 | M | 1.0357 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | L | 8 | F | 0.9715 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | L | 9 | M | 0.9788 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | L | 10 | M | 1.0303 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 11 | F | 1.0017 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 12 | F | 0.9086 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14 | A | R | 13 | M | 1.0746 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 | A | R | 14 | M | 1.1079 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 16 | E | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 17 | A | R | 15 | F | 0.9868 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18 | A | R | 16 | F | 0.9189 |  |  |  |
|  | 5807 | 13 | 1 | A | L | 1 | F | 0.9649 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | F | 0.9225 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | F | 1.0054 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | L | 4 | F | 1.0138 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | L | 5 | M | 1.0280 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | A | L | 6 | F | 1.0273 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 7 | F | 0.9359 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | R | 8 | F | 0.9338 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 9 | F | 0.9303 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | R | 10 | M | 1.0376 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 11 | F | 0.9072 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 12 | F | 0.8520 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 13 | M | 0.9406 |  |  |  |
|  | 5808 | 13 | 1 | A | L | 1 | M | 0.9483 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | F | 1.0104 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | M | 1.0077 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | R | 4 | M | 0.9191 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | R | 5 | M | 0.8189 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | A | R | 6 | M | 0.9226 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 7 | M | 0.7506 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | R | 8 | M | 0.8968 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 9 | M | 0.9360 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | A | R | 10 | F | 0.9469 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 11 | F | 0.9655 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 12 | F | 0.9790 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 13 | M | 0.9588 |  |  |  |
|  | 5809 | 16 | 1 | A | L | 1 | M | 0.8884 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | A | L | 2 | M | 0.8127 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | A | L | 3 | M | 0.8462 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | A | L | 4 | M | 0.9215 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | A | L | 5 | F | 0.9343 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | E | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | A | R | 6 | F | 0.8106 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | A | R | 7 | F | 0.8068 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | A | R | 8 | M | 0.8612 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | L | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | A | R | 9 | F | 0.9078 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | A | R | 10 | F | 0.8592 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | A | R | 11 | M | 0.9218 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14 | L | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 | A | R | 12 | F | 0.8428 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 16 | A | R | 13 | M | 0.8899 |  |  |  |
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$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{Mg}} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of gasoline MTBE vapor condensate.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number of corpora lutea.
CImplant type codes are as follows: A - Live Fetus; D - Dead Fetus; F - Full Resorption; L - Late Resorption; M -
Middle Resorption; E - Early Resorption and I - Implantation Site.
${ }^{d}$ Position refers to uterine horn ( R - right, L - left).
eweight is in grams.
fabsence of entries under "Exam", "Type" and "Description" for "Defect" indicates no external malformation or variation observed for that fetus.
${ }^{9}$ Female was not pregnant.
$\mathrm{h}_{\text {Female was removed due to a preexisting condition. At necropsy she was found to have an undescended testis on }}$ the right and seminal vesicles and prostate to the right of the vagina and cervix.
${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ Right ovary was inadvertently lost prior to the corpora lutea being counted.

## Appendix III:

## Protocol and 2 Amendments
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### 1.0 Introduction and Objective

The purpose of this study is to provide maternal and developmental toxicity data relative to a 6or 12-day exposure regimen of inhaled gasoline methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) vapor condensate during early or major organogenesis in gravid mice. A developmental toxicity evaluation of Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate by inhalation to mice was one of series tests required in accordance with the Alternative Tier 2 provisions of fuels and fuels additives health effects testing regulations (40 C.F.R. § 79; Oge 1998). The study involved whole-body inhalation exposure of timed-pregnant CD-1 mice for at least 6 hours/day, on gestational day (gd) 5 through 17, to baseline gasoline vapor condensate with 21.5\% MTBE at target concentrations of $0,2000,10,000$ and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (the last is $50 \%$ of the lower explosive limit; ExxonMobil Biological Sciences Institute [EMBSI], 2002). The present study is being conducted with the same exposure concentrations for gd 5 through 16 , plus $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for 6 hours/day on gd 5 through 10 in order to confirm and extend the findings observed in the EMBSI study (2002). See Section 3.1 for justification of exposure concentrations and durations.

Alterations to this study protocol may be made as the study progresses. No changes in the protocol will be made without the Sponsor's consent. In the event the Sponsor verbally authorizes a protocol change, such change will be honored by the Testing Facilities and will be followed by written verification and a protocol amendment. All protocol amendments will be signed and reviewed by the HLS and RTI QA Managers. Any modifications potentially affecting animal welfare will also be signed by 2 members of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the HLS Testing Facility and of the RTI IACUC prior to the modification's implementation.
RTI is responsible for study design, protocol generation, necropsy of the maternal and fetal animals on gestational day (gd) 17, any postmortem evaluations, generation of summary and individual data tables, and study draft and final report generation (with RTI QA oversight). HLS is responsible for receipt of the test substance, prestudy and study generation and analyses of the test vapors, receipt, quarantine, and housing of the test females and breeder males, mating and assignment of the study animals, in-life observations, loading and unloading study females into and out of chambers, and submission of interim and final inhalation reports.

### 2.0 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Test Substance

Chemical Name: Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate (MRD-00-713; "API 211BG with MTBE Vapor Condensate")
CAS Registry Number: None
Specific Gravity:
Molecular Formula:
0.66 to $0.68 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ @ $15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Not applicable
Average Molecular Weight: Not applicable
Supplier:
Chevron Global Technology Services Company, Richmond, CA
Lot/Batch Number:
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identity, Strength, Purity and Composition: |  | Information on identity, strength, purity, and composition of Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate will be provided by the Sponsor and documented in the raw data and final report (see Attachment for MSDS and Test Substance Characterization and below for stability assessments at HLS). |  |
| Methods of Synthesis: |  | Methods of synthesis, fabrication, or derivation will be documented by the Sponsor, and documents will be located at API. |  |
| Appearance: |  | Colorless liquid |  |
| Solubility: |  | Soluble in hydrocarbons, insoluble in water |  |
| Stability and Storage: |  | Stable. The test substance will be stored (under ambient conditions) in an outside solvent shed except when in use in the inhalation laboratory. The test substance will be handled as a flammable liquid (see MSDS in Attachment). The stability of the test substance will be assessed at HLS by comparing results of the pretest liquid and vapor analyses with the results of the liquid and vapor analyses during the study. An archive sample of the test substance will be retained at HLS with appropriate documentation. |  |

### 2.2 Chemical Safety and Handling

Detailed information on chemical handling is provided in the MSDS in the Attachment.

### 2.3 Analysis of Chamber Vapor Atmosphere

See Section 3.4.

### 2.4 Animals

### 2.4.1 Species and Supplier

The proposed test animals will be Caesarean-originated Virus Antibody Free (VAF) Crl:CD-1® (ICR) BR outbred albino mice supplied by Charles River Laboratories, Inc., Raleigh, NC.

### 2.4.2 Live Animals and Species Justification

The use of live animals has been requested by the Sponsor and required by U.S. EPA OPPTS Testing Guidelines (U.S. EPA, 1998). Alternative test systems are not available for the assessment of chemical effects on prenatal mammalian development. The Charles River CD-1® mouse has been the subject of choice on developmental toxicology contracts at RTI since 1976. Large historical databases for reproductive performance and prevalence of spontaneous malformations in control mice are available from studies conducted at RTI (currently based on over 348 control litters).

### 2.4.3 Total Number, Age, and Weight

One hundred seventy (170) nulliparous female mice will be ordered for this study. One hundred (100) male mice, 9-11 weeks old upon arrival at HLS (on August 31, 2004), of the same strain and from the same supplier, were received for the previous range-finding study, and the
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remaining 99 males will be used as a male breeding colony for this study. If more than the ordered number of females is received, any extra animals will be used to replace any animals with clinical signs, injury, and/or reduced feed consumption. If none of the animals has indicators during quarantine, then the animal(s) with the lowest or highest body weight(s) will not be used on study. The 99 males will be used to generate timed-mated animals for this definitive developmental toxicity study which will require the mating of 170 female mice (1:1, with the subsequent addition of naïve females to males who inseminated their original females) to generate 140 plug-positive females. Females will be $7-9$ weeks old at arrival. Female mice will be $9-11$ weeks of age and $\sim 20-35 \mathrm{~g}$ in weight on gd 0 . One hundred seventy (170) females are required to generate 140 plug-positive females in 4 to 5 consecutive days; 140 plug-positive females ( $25 /$ group for 4 groups and $40 /$ group for the fifth group) are required to supply the optimal number (based on EPA's guidance; e.g., OPPTS 870.3600 ; U.S. EPA, 1996; for inhalation developmental toxicity studies) of pregnant animals and litters to assess any maternal and/or embryo/fetal toxicity to the test substance and to confirm and extend the fetal findings from the previous EMBSI study.

### 2.5 Animal Husbandry

### 2.5.1 Acclimation, Housing, Feed, and Water

During an approximately 7 -day quarantine period at the HLS Testing Facility, animals will be checked for viability twice daily. Prior to study assignment, all animals will be examined to ascertain suitability for study. The HLS veterinarian (or designate) will formally release these animals for use by signature and date. Males and females will be individually housed in stainless steel suspended cages with wire mesh floors and fronts, except for the mating period when 1 male and 1 female will be housed together. During cohabitation, male and female mice will be housed in polycarbonate "shoebox" cages with stainless steel lids and Alpha-Dri® bedding (Shepherd Specialty Papers, Watertown, TN). Each cage will be fitted to secure a glass feeder jar with a stainless steel lid. Clean feed jars and fresh feed will be provided at least weekly for periods when feed consumption is not being recorded and at each interval when feed consumption will be recorded. After the gd 14 exposure period (for Groups 1-4) or on the afternoon of gd 14 (Group 5; see Section 3.0), a stainless steel, perforated insert will be placed on the wire-mesh floor of the stainless steel suspended cage of each female and 1 Nestlet ${ }^{(8)}$ (Ancare, Bellmore, NY) added to each cage until scheduled sacrifice on gd 17. For females still undergoing daily exposures after gd 14 (Groups 1-4), the floor insert and Nestlet® will be removed before each daily exposure and replaced after each exposure. Feed (PMI 5002 Certified Meal) will be available ad libitum, except during the daily 6 -hour inhalation periods. Analytical certification of batches of feed provided by the manufacturer will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants in the feed that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. Facility water (supplied by Elizabethtown Water Company, Westfield, NJ) will be available ad libitum via the automatic watering system or water bottles (during mating), except during the daily 6 -hour inhalation periods. Water analyses are conducted by Elizabethtown Water Company to assure that water meets standards specified under the EPA Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Regulations (40 CFR Part 141). Water analysis provided by the supplier will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. At all times, animals will be housed, handled, and used according to the National Research Council Guide (NRC, 1996).
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### 2.5.2 Environmental Conditions

A 12-hour light/dark cycle is provided via automatic timer. Temperature and relative humidity will be monitored in accordance with Testing Facility SOPs to ensure that the desired range of 18 to $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for temperature and 30 to $70 \%$ relative humidity is maintained to the maximum extent possible (NRC, 1996).

### 2.5.3 Animal Identification

Each animal will be assigned a temporary identification number (designated on each cage) upon receipt. During the second week of the quarantine/acclimation period, the 170 ordered females (and any extras supplied) will be tail tattooed with consecutive numbers, 1 through 170. The 99 remaining males have already been tail tattooed with consecutive numbers, 1 through 100 (except 87). After selection for use on the study, mating, indication of copulation, and assignment to 1 of the 5 groups, each female will be ear tagged with a number assigned by the HLS Testing Facility. If an eartag is lost, it will not be replaced, but the number will be placed on the female's tail with a marking pen and documented. This number, plus the study number, will comprise the unique animal number for each animal. Each cage will be provided with a cage card that will be color coded for exposure level identification and will contain the study and animal numbers.

### 2.5.4 Limitation of Discomfort

Some adult toxicity (e.g., narcosis) may be caused by exposure to the higher concentrations. It is anticipated that the concentrations employed will not result in irritation or corrosion to the respiratory tract of the test animals. Discomfort or injury to animals will be limited in that if any animal becomes severely debilitated or moribund, it will be humanely terminated by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ asphyxiation. All necropsies will be performed after terminal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ anesthesia. Animals will not be subjected to undue pain or distress.

### 2.5.5 Breeding

Immediately prior to pairing, each female will be weighed and subjected to a clinical examination. Any females that have clinical signs, sore(s), wound(s), or that have very low or very high body weights, relative to the rest of the females, will not be paired unless absolutely necessary. If used, the animals with extreme body weights (high or low) will be used before any animals with clinical signs, etc. For breeding, a 1 male with 1 female pairing will be employed, since other pairing patterns (e.g., 1 male with 2 females) may result in an unacceptable number of plug-positive, nonpregnant females and/or sire effects. Individual females will be placed in polycarbonate "shoebox" cages with stainless steel lids (and Alpha-Dri® bedding) with singlyhoused males. The males will be placed in the polycarbonate breeding cages at least 24 hours prior to the addition of the females. On the following morning and each morning thereafter, the females will be examined for the presence of a vaginal or dropped copulation plug (Hafez, 1970). The day on which copulation plugs are found will be designated as gd 0 . Plug-positive females (dams) will be individually housed in stainless steel, wire-mesh, hanging cages until scheduled sacrifice on gd 17. Stainless steel, perforated inserts with Nestlets® (Ancare, Bellmore, NY) will be placed on the wire-mesh floor of each study female's cage after the gd 14 exposure period (for Groups 1-4) or in the afternoon of gd 14 (Group 5; see Section 3.0) to scheduled sacrifice. Plug-negative females will be retained in the same male's cage and checked for plugs on successive mornings until insemination occurs or the treatment groups are
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Table 1
Endpoint-Specific Developmental Toxicity Number of Animals Assigned to Study Groups

| Group No. | No. Animals <br> Exposed | No. Days <br> Exposed | Exposure Period <br> $(\mathrm{gd})$ | Target Exposure Concentration <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 25 | 12 | 5 through 16 | 0 |
| 2 | 25 | 12 | 5 through 16 | 2000 |
| 3 | 25 | 12 | 5 through 16 | 10,000 |
| 4 | 25 | 12 | 5 through 16 | 20,000 |
| 5 | 40 | 6 | 5 through 10 | 30,000 |

The size of Group 5 ( 40 females vs. 25 each in the other 4 groups) was increased to optimize the production and detection of the fetal malformations of interest (if they occur) previously observed in the EMBSI study at 2000 and $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ but not at $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The exposure period for dams at 0 through $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in the EMBSI (2002) study was gd 5 through 17, with necropsy on gd 18. The present study specifies an exposure period, at these same exposure concentrations, of gd 5 through 16 (and necropsy on gd 17) for 3 reasons:

1. CD-1® mice begin delivering their litters on gd 18 but do not normally deliver on gd 17.
2. The Study Director and her staff have extensive experience in reproductive and developmental toxicity studies (and historical data) in the CD-1® mouse, with scheduled necropsy on gd 17.
3. The fetal malformations of interest are formed early in the embryonic period of gestation; gd 7-9 in the mouse (e.g., Rugh, 1968), so extending the exposure period to gd 17 is unnecessary.
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The exposure period for Group 5 at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of gd 5 through 10 was selected based on reason \#3 above and to reduce the number of days of generation of test atmosphere at a concentration that is $75 \%$ of the lower explosive limit.

## Tentative Study Schedule:

Females arrive at HLS:
Quarantine (7 days):
Animals paired:
Dates of gd 0 :
TSCA experimental start date:
Exposure dates: (gd 5 through 10):
(gd 5 through 16):
Scheduled termination (gd 17)
TSCA experimental termination date;
Submission of draft data on test atmospheres to Sponsor:

Submission of interim data report:

Submission of audited draft final report:

Submission of final report:

November 18, 2004
November 18-25, 2004
November 25-30, 2004
November 26-30, 2004
December 1, 2004
December 1-10, 2004
December 1-16, 2004
December 13-17, 2004
December 17, 2004

December 23, 2004 (within 1 week after the last exposure date, December 16, 2004)

January 14, 2005 (within 4 weeks of last necropsy)

February 17, 2005 (within 2 months of last necropsy date)

Within 1 month of receipt of Sponsor's comments on the audited draft report

### 3.2 Exposure Selection

The $0,2000,10,000$, and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ exposure concentrations for gd 5 through 16 are the same as those employed in the original developmental toxicity study (EMBSI, 2002), in an attempt to confirm their fetal findings. In addition, $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (at $75 \%$ of the lower explosive limit) will be employed, with exposures for 6 hours/day on gd 5 through 10, to extend the original exposure concentrations and to attempt to confirm and extend the original fetal findings.

### 3.3 Test Substance Administration and Analysis

The test substance will be administered as a vapor in the breathing air of the animals. The test atmosphere will be generated by an appropriate procedure determined during prestudy trials. The trials will be performed (at least two 6 -hour periods) to evaluate the optimal set of conditions and equipment to generate a stable atmosphere at the target exposure levels and maintain uniform
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conditions throughout the exposure chambers. The method will be described in the raw data of the study and in the final report.

The whole-body exposure chambers will each have a volume of approximately 1000 liters. The chambers will be operated at a minimum flow rate of 200 liters per minute. The final airflow will be set to provide at least 1 air change in 5 minutes ( 12 air changes/hour) and a $T_{99}$ equilibrium time of at most 23 minutes. This chamber size and airflow rate is considered adequate to maintain the oxygen level at least $19 \%$ and the animal loading factor below $5 \%$. At the end of each daily 6 -hour exposure, all animals will remain in the chamber for a minimum of the $T_{99}$ equilibrium time. During this time, the chamber will be operated at approximately the same flow rate using clean air only.

### 3.4 Exposure Concentration Determination

A nominal exposure concentration will be calculated. The flow of air through the chamber will be monitored using appropriate calibrated equipment. The test substance consumed during the exposure will be divided by the total volume of air passing through the chamber (volumetric flow rate times total exposure time) to give the nominal concentration.

During each 6-hour exposure, measurements of airborne concentrations will be performed in the animals' breathing zone at least 4 times using an appropriate sampling procedure and IR analytical procedure. Airborne test material concentrations will be within $+/-10 \%$ of the target concentration. One sample per chamber during the trials period and the treatment period will be analyzed by gas chromatography to characterize at least 10 major components (comprising at least $80 \%$ by weight of the test substance) to show test substance stability and comparison between the neat liquid test substance and the vaporized test atmospheres.

If more than the normal amount of trials is required because of test substance generation or monitoring problems ( 80 technician hours), the Sponsor will be consulted prior to additional trials (at additional cost).

### 3.5 Particle Size Distribution Analysis

During the treatment period, particle size determinations will be performed once per chamber using a TSI Aerodynamic Particle Sizer to confirm the absence of particulate test substance condensate in the exposure atmosphere.

### 3.6 Chamber Environment

Chamber temperature, humidity, airflow rate, and static pressure will be monitored continuously and recorded every 30 minutes during exposure. Chamber temperature and relative humidity will be maintained, to the maximum extent possible, between 20 to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 40 to $60 \%$, respectively. Chamber oxygen levels (maintained at least 19\%) will be measured pretest and at the beginning, middle, and end of the study.

Air samples will be taken in the vapor generation area pretest and at the beginning, middle, and end of the study. Light (maintained approximately 30 foot-candles at 1.0 meter above the floor) and noise levels (maintained below 85 decibels) in the exposure room will be measured pretest and at the beginning, middle, and end of the study.


### 3.8 Allocation and Exposure of Maternal Animals

Plug-positive female mice (dams) will be assigned to treatment groups by a stratified randomization method designed to provide uniform mean body weights and equal distribution of females mated to the same male among dose groups on gd 0 . Females will be exposed to gasoline MTBE vapor condensate or air 6 hours per day from gd 5 through 16 for Groups 1-4 and for gd 5 through 10 for Group 5. Inhalation was chosen by the Sponsor as the route of administration.

For each daily exposure, females will be transferred to inhalation cages, and the cages will be moved into the appropriate chambers for exposure. Following each daily exposure, females will be transferred back to home caging for feed consumption measurements overnight.

### 3.9 Observation of Maternal Animals

### 3.9.1 Clinical Observations

Clinical observations of all animals will be made once daily on gd 0 through 4 (prior to exposure period), on gd 11 through 17 or gd 16 through 17 (after the exposure period), and twice daily (prior to and immediately after each daily exposure) throughout the exposure period (gd 5 through 10 or gd 5 through 16). In addition, during each daily exposure period, animals will be observed at least once during each exposure. This will be routinely performed near the middle of each exposure and may be performed more frequently if significant signs of toxicity are noted.
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### 3.9.2 Maternal Body Weights

Dams will be weighed in the mornings (prior to exposures for those days that exposures occur) on gd 0 and 5 through 17. Maternal weight gains will be calculated for gd $0-5$ (pre-exposure period), $5-6,6-7,7-8,8-9,9-10,10-11,11-12,12-13,13-14,14-15,15-16,16-17,5$ through 10 or 5 through 16 (exposure period), 10 through 17 or 16 through 17 (postexposure period), and 0 through 17 (gestational period).
3.9.3 Maternal Feed Consumption

Maternal feed consumption will be evaluated in the mornings from gd 0-5 (pre-exposure period), 5-6, 6-7, 7-8, 8-9, 9-10, 10-11, 11-12, 12-13, 13-14, 14-15, 15-16, 16-17, 5 through 10 or 5 through 16 (exposure period), 10 through 17 or 16 through 17 (postexposure period), and 0 through 17 (gestation period).

### 3.10 Postmortem Evaluation

3.10.1 Maternal

Maternal animals that die during the course of the study will be necropsied in an attempt to determine cause of death. No organs will be weighed or saved. Females that appear moribund will be humanely euthanized by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ asphyxiation and necropsied to determine the cause of the morbidity, if possible, with no organs weighed or retained. Females showing signs of abortion or premature delivery will also be sacrificed, as described above, as soon as the event is detected and subjected to a gross necropsy with no organs weighed or saved. On gd 17, approximately 1 to $11 / 2$ days before expected parturition, all surviving maternal animals will be killed by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ asphyxiation by RTI staff, thoracic and abdominal cavities and organs examined, and pregnancy status confirmed by uterine examination. Uteri which present no visible implantation sites will be stained with ammonium sulfide ( $10 \%$ ) in order to visualize any implantation sites that may have undergone very early resorption (Salewski, 1964). At sacrifice, the body, liver, uterus, paired adrenal glands, and paired kidneys of each plugpositive female will be weighed. Ovarian corpora lutea will be counted and uterine contents (i.e., number of implantation sites, early and late resorptions, dead fetuses, live fetuses) will be recorded.

### 3.10.2 Fetal

Live fetuses will be removed from the uterus, counted, weighed, sexed externally, and examined externally for gross malformations (including cleft palate) and variations by RTI staff. Each fetus will be killed by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital, dissected longitudinally, and the thoracic and abdominal viscera removed intact and retained individually in labeled scintillation vials in buffered neutral 10\% formalin for possible subsequent visceral examination. The fetal carcass will be blanched, skinned, and retained in individually labeled scintillation vials in $70 \%$ ethanol for possible subsequent double staining (alizarin Red S and alcian blue) and skeletal evaluation. All maternal organs and carcasses will be destroyed by incineration.
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### 4.0 Statistics

The unit of comparison will be the pregnant female or litter. Quantitative continuous data (e.g., maternal body weights, feed consumption, fetal body weights, etc.) will be compared among the 4 treatment groups and one vehicle control group using either parametric ANOVA under the standard assumptions or robust regression methods (Zeger and Liang, 1986; Royall, 1986; Huber, 1967), which do not assume homogeneity of variance or normality. The homogeneity of variance assumption will be examined via Levene's Test (Levene, 1960), which is more robust to the underlying distribution of the data than the traditional Bartlett's Test. If Levene's Test indicates lack of homogeneity of variance ( $p<0.05$ ), robust regression methods will be used to test all treatment effects. The robust regression methods use variance estimators that make no assumptions regarding homogeneity of variance or normality of the data. They will be used to test for overall treatment group differences (via Wald Chi-Square Tests), followed by individual $t$-tests for exposed vs. control group comparisons when the overall treatment effect is significant. The presence of linear trends will be analyzed by robust regression methods for nonhomogenous data. Robust regression methods are available in the REGRESS procedure of SUDAAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ Release 8. (RTI, 2001).

If Levene's Test does not reject the hypothesis of homogeneous variances, standard ANOVA techniques will be applied for comparing the treatment groups. The GLM procedure in SAS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Release 8 will be used to evaluate the overall effect of treatment and, when a significant treatment effect is present, to compare each exposed group to control via Dunnett's Test (Dunnett, 1955, 1964). Prior to GLM analysis, an arcsine-square root transformation will be performed on all litter-derived percentage data (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967) to allow use of parametric methods. For the litter-derived percentage data, the ANOVA will be weighted according to litter size. The presence of linear trends will be analyzed by GLM procedures for homogenous data (SAS Institute Inc., 1999a,b,c,d,e; 2000; 2001). A one-tailed test (i.e., Dunnett's Test) will be used for all pairwise comparisons to the vehicle control group, except that a two-tailed test will be used for maternal body and organ weight parameters, maternal feed consumption, fetal body weight, and percent males per litter. Standard ANOVA methods, as well as Levene's Test, are available in the GLM procedure of SAS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Release 8 (SAS Institute Inc., 1999a,b,c,d,e; 2000; 2001).

Nominal scale measures will be analyzed by Chi-Square Test for Independence for differences among treatment groups (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967) and by the Cochran-Armitage Test for Linear Trend on Proportions (Cochran, 1954; Armitage, 1955; Agresti, 1990). When ChiSquare reveals significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) differences among groups, then a Fisher's Exact Probability Test, with appropriate adjustments for multiple comparisons, will be used for pairwise comparisons between each treatment group and the control group.

A test for statistical outliers (SAS Institute, Inc., 1999b) will be performed on female body weights, feed consumption (in g/day), and selected organ weights. If examination of pertinent study data does not provide a plausible, biologically sound reason for inclusion of the data flagged as "outlier," then the data will be excluded from summarization and analysis and will be designated as outliers.
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### 5.0 Storage of Records

All data documenting experimental details and study procedures and observations will be recorded and maintained as raw data. At the completion of the study, all reports, raw data, preserved specimens, and retained samples will be maintained in RTI's secure archives for a period of 1 year after submission of the signed final report. The Sponsor will be contacted in order to determine the final disposition of these materials. The Sponsor is responsible for all costs associated with the storage of these materials beyond 1 year from the issuance of the final report and for any costs associated with the shipment of these materials to the Sponsor or to any other facility designated by the Sponsor.

### 6.0 Good Laboratory Practices, Animal Welfare Act Compliance, IACUC, and Quality Assurance Monitoring

This study will be conducted in accordance with the U.S. EPA's GLP standards for the 211(b) program (40 C.F.R. 79.60). This study will be performed according to the protocol and HLS' and RTl's SOPs. This study will comply with all appropriate parts of the USDA Animal Welfare Act regulations: 9 CFR Parts 1 and 2 Final Rules, Federal Register, Vol. 54, No. 168, August 31, 1989, pp. 36112-36163, effective October 30, 1989, and 9 CFR Part 3 Animal Welfare Standards; and the Final Rule, Federal Register, Vol. 55, No. 32, February 15, 1991, pp. 64266505, effective March 18, 1991. The Sponsor should make particular note of the following:

- The Sponsor's signature on this study protocol documents that there are no generally accepted non-animal alternatives, and the study does not unnecessarily duplicate previous experiments.
- All procedures used in this study have been designed to avoid discomfort, distress, and pain to the animals. All methods are described in this study protocol or in written laboratory standard operating procedures.
- Any procedures outlined in this study protocol that are expected to cause more than momentary or slight pain/distress (there are no procedures in this study protocol that are expected to cause more than momentary or slight pain or distress to the animals other than some adult toxicity; e.g., narcosis) to the animals will be performed with appropriate analgesics or anesthetics unless the withholding of these agents is justified for scientific reasons, in writing, by the Sponsor and the Study Director and approved by the IACUC, in which case the procedure will continue for the minimum time necessary. Documentation of the justification for withholding treatment for pain or distress and IACUC approval of the procedures will be made prior to study initiation on the IACUC Protocol Review form.
- Animals experiencing more than momentary or slight pain/distress due to test substance or emergency situations, such as injury or illness, will be treated by the HLS Testing Facility's veterinarian staff with approved analgesics or agents to relieve pain. If possible, the Study Director will be consulted prior to treatment; however, the veterinary staff is authorized to administer emergency treatment as necessary. Any subsequent treatment or euthanasia will be administered after consultation with the Study Director. The Sponsor will be advised by the Study Director of all emergency situations in as timely a manner as possible.
- Methods of euthanasia used during this study are in conformance with the above-referenced regulations.
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The HLS IACUC Protocol Review Subcommittee and the RTI IACUC have both reviewed this protocol and found it to be in compliance with appropriate animal welfare regulations.

The Quality Assurance Unit of HLS (East Millstone, NJ) will monitor the facilities, equipment, personnel, methods, practices, records, raw data, draft and final inhalation reports, and controls used in their portion of this study to assure that they are in conformance with this protocol, company standard operating procedures, and the referenced Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) regulations. RTI's Quality Assurance Unit will perform a prestudy on-site inspection, review the protocol and any amendments, and monitor all phases of the study in which RTI personnel participate, the data, and the draft final study report. The HLS report on Generation and Analysis of Test Atmospheres (which will be an appendix to the final study report) will contain its own GLP Compliance Statement and QA Statement. The RTI final study report will contain a GLP Compliance Statement and a QA Statement to cover all other aspects of the study.

### 7.0 Reports

7.1 Draft Data Report on Test Atmospheres

A draft data report which tabulates chamber concentration and environmental values will be provided within 1 week of the completion of the exposure phase. The Principal Investigator will provide an explanation and interpretation of the data (where appropriate).

### 7.2 Interim Data Report

An interim, unaudited data report will be submitted within 4 weeks of the last necropsy date. This interim report will include summary and analysis of all in-life maternal data and all necropsy (and any postnecropsy) fetal data. The Study Director will provide an explanation and/or interpretation of the data, as appropriate.

### 7.3 Final Report

An audited draft report will be provided within 2 months of the last sacrifice date. A final report will be issued within 30 days of receiving the Sponsor's comments. The final report for the tolerance range-finding study (performed previously) will be submitted as an appendix to this endpoint-specific, definitive, developmental toxicology study of inhaled Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate in CD-1® mice.
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Analytical chemistry records
Shipment of bulk chemical to supplier after the definitive study
Exposure records, clinical signs, maternal weight, feed consumption
Necropsy records (in the event of maternal mortality)
Teratology sacrifice records- external examination sheets
Transfer of custody of retained fetal viscera and carcasses
Statistical printouts
Correspondence
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## ATTACHMENT

## MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

AND

## Material Safety Data Sheet

```
Page 1 of 13
```


## 1. CHEMICAL PRODUCT AND COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

API 211BG w/MTBE Vapor Condensate

## COMPANY IDENTIFICATION

Chevron Global Technology Services Co.
Rm. 51-2126
100 Chevron Way
Richmond, CA. 94802-9627

## EMERGENCY TELEPHONE NUMBERS

HEALTH ( 24 hr ) : ( 800 )231-0623 or (510)231-0623 (International) TRANSPORTATION ( 24 hr ) : CHEMTREC (800) 424-9300 or (703) 527-3887 Emergency Information Centers are located in U.S.A.
Int'l collect calls accepted

PRODUCT INFORMATION: (510) 242-3062

## 2. COMPOSITION/INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

## $100.0 \%$ API 211BG w/MTBE Vapor Condensate

CONTAINING

| COMPONENTS | AMOUNT | LIMIT/QTY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GASOLINE (GENERIC) AGENCY/TYPE |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $890 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m3}$ | ACGIH TWA |
|  | $1480 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | ACGIH STEL |
|  | $2000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ | OSHA PEL |

WHICH MAY CONTAIN
BENZENE
Chemical Name: BENZENE

| CAS71432 | $<2.00 \%$ | 0.5 ppm | ACGIH TWA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 2.5 ppm | ACGIH STEL |
|  | 1 ppm | OSHA PEL |  |

Revision Number: $0 \quad$ Revision Date: 11/11/00 MSDS Number: 008327


METHYL TERT BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)
Chemical Name: 2-METHOXY-2-METHYL PROPANE

| CAS1634044 $<26.00 \%$ | 40 ppm | ACGIH TWA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 50 ppm | Chevron STEL |
|  | $1,000 \mathrm{LBS}$ | CERCLA 302.4 RQ |

## COMPOSITION COMMENT:

Refer to the OSHA Benzene Standard (29 CFR 1910.1028) and Table Z-2 for detailed training, exposure monitoring, respiratory protection and medical surveillance requirements before using this product.

## 3. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

## ************************* EMERGENCY OVERVIEW

Colorless liquid

- EXTREMELY FLAMMABLE
- HARMFUL OR FATAL IF SWALLOWED - CAN ENTER LUNGS AND CAUSE DAMAGE
- VAPOR HARMFUL
- MAY CAUSE EYE AND SKIN IRRITATION
- LONG-TERM EXPOSURE TO VAPOR HAS CNUSED CANCER IN LABORATORY ANIMALS


## IMMEDIATE HEALTH EFFECTS

EYE:
Contact with the eyes causes irritation. Eye contact with the vapors, fumes, or spray mist from this substance could also cause similar signs and symptoms.
SKIN:
Contact with the skin causes irritation. Not expected to be harmful to internal organs if absorbed through the skin. Prolonged or frequently repeated contact may cause the skin to become cracked or dry from the defatting action of this material.
INGESTION:
Because of the low viscosity of this substance, it can directly enter the lungs if it is swallowed (this is called aspiration). This can occur during the act of swallowing or when vomiting the substance. Once in the lungs, the substance is very difficult to remove and can cause severe injury to the lungs and death.

## INHALATION:

May be harmful if inhaled. Breathing the vapors at concentrations above the recommended exposure standard can cause central nervous system effects. The vapor or fumes from this material may cause respiratory irritation.
SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF EXPOSURE:
Eye damage or irritation: may include pain, tearing, reddening, swelling, and impaired vision. Skin injury: may include pain, discoloration, swelling, and blistering. Respiratory irritation: may include coughing and difficulty breathing. Central nervous system effects may include headache, dizziness, nausea, vomiting, weakness, loss of coordination, blurred vision, drowsiness, confusion, or disorientation. At extreme exposures, central nervous system effects may include respiratory depression, tremors or convulsions, loss of consciousness, coma or death. CARCINOGENICITY:
Risk depends on duration and level of exposure. See section 11 for additional information. Gasoline has been classified as a Group 2B carcinogen (possibly carcinogenic to humans) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Contains chemical(s) known to the state of Californía to cause cancer. Contains benzene, which has been classified
as a carcinogen by the National Toxicology Program (NTP), and a Group 1 carcinogen (carcinogenic to humans) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Contains ethylbenzene which has been classified as a Group 2B carcinogen (possibly carcinogenic to humans) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC).

## 4. FIRST AID MEASURES

EYE:
Flush eyes with water immediately while holding the eyelids open. Remove contact lenses, if worn, after initial flushing, and continue flushing for at least 15 minutes. Get medical attention if irritation persists. SKIN:
Wash skin immediately with soap and water and remove contaminated clothing and shoes. Get medical attention if irritation persists. Discard contaminated clothing and shoes or thoroughly clean before reuse. INGESTION:
If swallowed, give water or milk to drink and telephone for medical advice. DO NOT make person vomit unless directed to do so by medical personnel. If medical advice cannot be obtained, then take the person and product container to the nearest medical emergency treatment center or hospital.
INHALATION:
Move the exposed person to fresh air. If not breathing, give artificial respiration. If breathing is difficult, give oxygen. Get medical attention if breathing difficulties continue.
NOTE TO PHYSICIANS:
Ingestion of this product or subsequent vomiting can result in aspiration of light hydrocarbon liquid which can cause pneumonitis.

## 5. FIRE FIGHTING MEASURES

FIRE CLASSIFICATION:
OSHA Classification (29 CFR 1910.1200): Flammable liquid. See section 7 for appropriate handling and storage conditions.
FLAMMABLE PROPERTIES:
FLASH POINT: (TCC) <-49F ( $<-45 \mathrm{C})$
AUTOIGNITION: NDA
FLAMMABILITY LIMITS (\% by volume in air): Lower: NDA Upper: NDA
EXTINGUISHING MEDIA:
Dry Chemical, CO2, Alcohol Resistant (AR) AFFF.
NFPA RATINGS: Health 1; Flamability 3; Reactivity 0.
FIRE FIGHTING INSTRUCTIONS:
Use water spray to cool fire-exposed containers and to protect personnel. For fires involving this material, do not enter any enclosed or confined fire space without proper protective equipment, including self-contained breathing apparatus.
COMBUSTION PRODUCTS:
Normal combustion forms carbon dioxide and water vapor; incomplete combustion can produce carbon monoxide.

## 6. ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

CHEMTREC EMERGENCY NUMBER ( 24 hr ): (800)424-9300 or (703)527-3887
International Collect Calls Accepted ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES:
Eliminate all sources of ignition in the vicinity of the spill or released vapor.

Stop the source of the leak or release. Clean up releases as soon as possible, observing precautions in Exposure Controls/Personal Protection. Contain liquid to prevent further contamination of soil, surface water or groundwater. Cleañ up small spills using appropriate teciniques such as sorbent materials or pumping. Where feasible and appropriate, remove contaminated soil. Follow prescribed procedures for reporting and responding to larger releases. Place contaminated materials in disposable containers and dispose of in a manner consistent with applicable regulations. Contact local environmental or health authorities for approved disposal of this material.

Release of this product should be prevented from contaminating soil and water and from entering drainage and sewer systems. U.S.A. regulations require reporting spills of this material that could reach any surface waters. The toll free number for the U.S. Coast Guard National Response Center is (800) 424-8802.

## 7. HANDLING AND STORAGE

This product presents an extreme fire hazard. Liquid very quickly evaporates, even at low temperatures, and forms vapor (fumes) which can catch fire and burn with explosive violence. Invisible vapor spreads easily and can be set on fire by many sources such as pilot lights, welding equipment, and electrical motors and switches.

Electrostatic charge may accumulate and create a hazardous condition when handling this material. To minimize this hazard, bonding and grounding may be necessary but may not, by themselves, be sufficient. Review all operations which have the potential of generating an accumulation of electrostatic charge and/or a flammable atmosphere (including tank and container filling, splash filling, tank cleaning, sampling, gauging, switch loading, filtering, mixing, agitation, and vacuum truck operations) and use appropriate mitigating procedures. For more information, refer to OSHA Standard 29 CFR 1910.106, "Flammable and Combustible Liquids", National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 77, "Recommended Practice on Static Electricity", and/or the American Petroleum Institute (API) Recommended Practice 2003, "Protection Against Ignitions Arising Out of Static, Lightning, and Stray Currents".

Never siphon gasoline by mouth. Use only as a motor fuel. Do not use for cleaning, pressure appliance fuel, or any other such use. DO NOT USE OR STORE near heat, sparks or open flames. USE AND STORE ONLY IN WELL

Revision Number: $0 \quad$ Revision Date: $11 / 11 / 00$ MSDS Number: 008327

VENTILATED AREA. Keep container closed when not in use. READ AND OBSERVE ALL PRECAUTIONS ON PRODUCT LABEL.

Empty containers retain product residue (solid, liquid, and/or vapor) and can be dangerous. Do not pressurize, cut, weld, braze, solder, drill, grind, or expose such containers to heat, flame, sparks, static electricity, or other sources of ignition. They may explode and cause injury or death. Empty containers should be completely drained, properly closed, and promptly returned to a drum reconditioner, or properly disposed of.

## 8. EXPOSURE CONTROLS/PERSONAL PROTECTION

## GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS:

Consider the potential hazards of this material (see section 3), applicable exposure limits, job activities, and other substances in the work place when designing engineering controls and selecting personal protective equipment. If engineering controls or work practices are not adequate to prevent exposure to harmful levels of this material, the personal protective equipment listed below is recommended. The user should read and understand all instructions and limitations supplied with the equipment since protection is usually provided for a limited time or under certain circumstances.

## ENGINEERING CONTROLS

Use process enclosures, local exhaust ventilation, or other engineering controls to control airborne levels below the recommended exposure limits.

## PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT <br> EYE/FACE PROTECTION:

No special eye protection is normally required. Where splashing is possible, wear safety glasses with side shields as a good safety practice. SKIN PROTECTION:
No special protective clothing is normally required. Where splashing is possible, select protective clothing depending on operations conducted, physical requirements and other substances. Suggested materials for protective gloves include: <Nitrile> <Polyurethane> <Viton> <Chlorinated Polyethylene (or Chlorosulfonated Polyethylene or CPE) >

## RESPIRATORY PROTECTION:

Determine if airborne concentrations are below the recommended exposure limits. If not, wear a NIOSH approved respirator that provides adequate protection from measured concentrations of this material. Use the following respirators: Organic vapor. Use a positive pressure, air-supplying respirator if there is potential for uncontrolled release, exposure levels are not known, or other circumstances where air-purifying respirators may not provide adequate protection.

## 9. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION:
Colorless liquid

```
pH: NA
VAPOR PRESSURE: <20 PSI @ 100F (REID)
VAPOR DENSITY
    (AIR=1): 3-4
BOILING POINT: 31-300F
FREEZING POINT: NDA
MELTING POINT: NDA
SOLUBILITY: Soluble in hydrocarbons; insoluble in water.
SPECIFIC GRAVITY: 0.66-0.68 @ 15.6/15.6C
```


## 10. STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

```
HAZARDOUS DECOMPOSITION PRODUC:*
None known
CHEMICAL STABILITY:
Stable.
CONDITIONS TO AVOID:
See section 7.
INCOMPATIBILITY WITH OTHER MATERIALS:
May react with strong oxidizing agents, such as chlorates, nitrates,
peroxides, etc.
HAZARDOUS POLYMERIZATION:
polymerization will not occur.
```


## 11. TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

EYE EFFECTS:
No product toxicology data available. SKIN EFFECTS:
No product toxicology data available.
ACUTE ORAL EFFECTS:
No product toxicology data available.
ACUTE INHALATION EFFECTS:
No product toxicology data available.
ADDITIONAL TOXICOLOGY INFORMATION:
When vapor exposures are low, or short duration and infrequent, such as during refuelling and tanker loading/unloading, neither total hydrocarbon nor components such as benzene are likely to result in any adverse health effects. In situations such as accidents or spills where exposure to gasoline vapor and liquid is potentially high, attention should be paid to potential toxic effects of specific components in addition to those of total hydrocarbons. Information about specific components in gasoline are found in Section 1 and section 15 of this MSDS. More detailed information on the health hazard of specific gasoline components can be obtain from the Chevron Emergency Information Center (see Section 1 for telephone numbers).

A study was done in which ten volunteers were exposed for 30 minutes to about 200,500 or 1000 ppm concentrations of the vapor of three different unleaded gasolines. Irritation of the eyes was the only significant effect observed, based on both subjective and objective assessments.

An inhalation study with rats exposed to 0,400 and 1600 ppm of wholly vaporized unleaded gasoline, 6 hours per day on day 6 through 16 of gestation, showed no teratogenic effects nor indication of toxicity to either the mother or the fetus (sex ratio, embryotoxicity, fetal growth and development).

An inhalation study with pregnant rats exposed to $0,1000,3000$, and 9000 ppm of unleaded gasoline vapor, 6 hours per day on days 6 through 20 of gestation, showed no teratogenic effects nor indications of toxicity to either the mother or the fetus.

In an inhalation study, groups of 6 Fischer rats ( 3 male, 3 female) were exposed to 2056 ppm of wholly vaporized unleaded gasoline for 6 hours per day, 5 day per wesk for up to 18 months. Histopathology of the peripheral nervous system and spinal cord revealed no distal axonal neuropathy of the type associated with exposure to n-hexane even though gasoline contained 1.9\% n -hexane. The authors concluded that gasoline treatment may have amplified the incidence and prominence of some naturally occurring age related changes in the nervous system.

Wholly vaporized unleaded gasoline was used in a 3 month inhalation study. Groups of 40 rats ( 20 males, 20 female) and 8 squirrel monkeys ( 4 male, 4 female) were exposed 6 hours per day and 5 days per week for 13 weeks to 384 or 1552 ppm gasoline. One group of each species served as unexposed controls. The initial conclusion of this study was that inhalation of gasoline at airborne concentrations of up to 1522 ppm caused no toxicity in rats or monkeys. However, further histopathological examination of male rat kidneys on the highest dose group revealed an increased incidence and severity of regenerative epithelium and dilated tubules containing proteinaceous deposits.

Rabbits were exposed to unleaded gasoline 24 hour per day, 5 days per week for two weeks; $0,2.5,5$ or 8 ml were applied to the skin under an occlusive dressing. Applied in such a way, this motor gasoline was corrosive to the rabbit skin and animals in all dose groups had decreased bodyweights. The slight and/or isolated systemic effects noted in the study were judged to be not significant.

Unleaded gasoline was assayed for mutagenic and cytogenetic activity, Gasoline was not mutagenic, either with or without activation, in Ames assay (Salmonella typhimurium), Saccharamyces cerevisesae, or mouse Iymphoma assays. In addition, point mutations were not induced in human lymphocytes exposed to gasoline in vivo. The gasoline was not mutagenic when tested in the mouse dominant lethal assay. Administration of gasoline to rats did not cause chromosomal aberrations in their bone marrow cells.

In a lifetime skin painting study, 50 male swiss mice were treated with 0.05 ml of unleaded gasoline three times per week. Positive control groups were treated with benzo(a) pyrene in acetone; an untreated negative control group was also included. The repeated exposure to gasoline caused severe skin irritation, ulceration, hyperkeratosis and abscesses. There was no statistically significant increase in the incidence of skin tumors. Histopathology at the end of the study showed that unleaded gasoline did
not increase the incidence of tumors in other organs.
Lifetime inhalation of wholly vaporized unleaded gasoline at 2056 ppm has caused increased liver tumors in female mice. The mechanism of this response is still being investigated but is thought to be an epigenetic process unique to the female mouse. This exposure also caused kidney damage and eventually kidney cancer in male rats. No other animal model studied has shown these adverse kidney effects and there is no physiological reason to believe that they would occur in man. EPA has concluded that the mechanism by which wholly vaporzied unleaded gasoline causes kidney damage is unique to the male rat. The response in that species (kidney damage and cancer) should not be used in human risk assessment.

In their 1988 review of carcinogenic risk rom gasoline, The Internatioal Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) noted that, because published epidemiology studies did not include any exposure data, only occupations where gasoline exposure may have occurred were reviewed. These included gasoline service station attendants and automobile mechanics. IARC also noted that there was no opportunity to separate effects of combustion products from those of gasoline itself. Although IARC allocated gasoline a final overall classification of Group 2B, i.e. possibly carcinogenic to humans, this was based on limited evidence in experimental animals plus supporting evidence including the presence in gasoline of benzene and 1 , 3-butadiene. The actual evidence for cacinogenicity in humans was considered inadequate.

To explore the health effects of workers potentially exposed to gasoline vapors in the marketing and distribution sectors of the petroleum industry, the American Petroleum Institute sponsored a cohort mortality, a nested case-control, and an exposure assessment study. Histories of exposure to gasoline were reconstructed for a cohort of more than 18,000 employees from four companies for the time period between 1946 and 1985. Data were analyzed based on length of employment, length of exposure, job category, age at first exposure and estimated cumulative and peak exposures. Cumulative exposure was defined as the sum of products of TWA exposure and duration of exposure of each job in an employee's work history. Amoung cohort members, cumulative exposure ranged from 2 to $8,000 \mathrm{ppm}$-years. In general, long-term drivers at small terminals had the highest exposures, and short-term workers with "other terminal jobs" had the lowest. A peak exposure was defined as an episode in excess of 500 ppm lasting 15 to 90 minutes.

The results of the cohort study indicated that there was no increased mortality from either kidney cancer or leukemia among marketing and marine distribution employees who were exposed to gasoline in the petroleum industry, when compared to the general population. More importantly, based on internal comparisons, there was no association between mortality from kidney cancer or leukemia and various indices of gasoline exposure.

For acute myeloid leukemia (AML), a non-significant mortality increase was found in land-based terminal employees, but no trend was detected when the data were analyzed by various gasoline exposure indices. This non-significant excess was limited to land-based terminal employees hired prior to 1948. On the other hand, a deficit of mortality from AML was
observed among marine employees.
In addition to the cohort study, a subsequent nested case-control study was also conducted. Four diseases were selected for analysis in the case-control study: Leukemia (all cell types), AML, kidney cancer and multiple myeloma. For each case, five individually matched controls were randomly selected from the cohort. In the original cohort study, broad generic job categories were used as part of exposure assessment. In the case-control study, a finer and more homogeneous job classification was developed. In addition to job category, several quantitative gasoline exposure indices were used in the case-control analysis: length of exposure, cumulative exposure (ppm-years in terms of total hydrocarbons) and frequency of peak exposure. Time period of first exposure to gasoline (1948 or before and 1949 or after) was also included as an exposure index. Results of the nested case-control study confirmed the findings of the original cohort study. That is, exposure to gasoline at the levels experienced by this cohort of distribution workers is not a significant risk factor for leukemia (all cell types), acute myeloid leukemia, kidney cancer or multiple myeloma.

## 12. ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

## ECOTOXICITY:

Gasoline studies have been conducted in the laboratory under a variety of test conditions with a range of fish and invertebrate species. An even more extensive database is avallable on the aquatic toxicity of individual aromatic constituents. The majority of published studies do not identify the type of gasoline evaluated, or even provide distinguishing characteristics such as aromatic content or presence of lead alkyls. As a result, comparison of results among studies using open and closed vessels, different ages and species of test animals and different gasoline types, is difficult.

## ENVIRONMENTAL FATE:

Following spillage, the more volatile components of gasoline will be rapidly lost, with concurrent dissolution of these and other constituents into the water. Factors such as local environmental conditions (temperature, wind, mixing or wave action, soil type, etc), photo-oxidation, biodegradation and adsorption onto suspended sediments, can contribute to the weathering of spilled gasoline. The aqueous solubility of non-oxygenated unleaded gasoline, based on analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene+xylenes and naphthalene, is reported to be $112 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Solubility data on individual gasoline constituents also available.

## 13. DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS

Use material for its intended purpose or recycle if possible.
This material, if it must be discarded, may meet the criteria of a
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hazardous waste as defined by USEPA under RCRA (40CFR261) or other State and local regulations. Measurement of certain physical properties and analysis for regulated components may be necessary to make a correct determination. If this material is classified as a hazardous waste, federal law requires disposal at a licensed hazardous waste disposal facility.

## 14. TRANSPORT INFORMATION

The description shown may not apply to all shipping situations. Consult 49 CFR , or appropriate Dangerous Goods Regulations, for additional description requirements (e.g., technical rame) and mode-specific of quantity-specific shipping requirements.

DOT SHIPPING NAME: Hydrocarbon gas mixture, liquefied, N.O.S. (isopentane, n-butane)

```
DOT HAZARD CLASS: 2.1 (FLAMMABLE GAS)
```

DOT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: UN1965
DOT PACKING GROUP: $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$

## 15. REGULATORY INFORMATION

SARA 311 CATEGORIES:

| 1. Immediate (Acute) Health Effects: | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Delayed (Chronic) Health Effects: | YES |
| 3. Fire Hazard: | YES |
| 4. Sudden Release of Pressure Hazard: | NO |
| 5. Reactivity Hazard: | NO |

REGULATORY LISTS SEARCHED:

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $01=$ SARA 313 | $11=$ NJ RTK | $22=$ TSCA Sect $5(\mathrm{a})(2)$ |
| $02=$ MASS RTK | $12=$ CERCLA 302,4 | $23=$ TSCA Sect 6 |
| $03=$ NTP Carcinogen | $13=$ MN RTK | $24=$ TSCA Sect $12(\mathrm{~b})$ |
| $04=$ CA Prop 65 -Carcin | $14=$ ACGIH TWA | $25=$ TSCA Sect 8 (a) |
| $05=$ CA Prop 65 -Repro Tox | $15=$ ACGIH STEL | $26=$ TSCA Sect $(\mathrm{d})$ |
| $06=$ IARC Group 1 | $16=$ ACGIH Calc TLV | $27=$ TSCA Sect 4 (a) |
| $07=$ IARC Group 2A | $17=$ OSHA PEL | $28=$ Canadian WHMIS |
| $08=$ IARC Group 2B | $18=$ DOT Marine Pollutant $29=0$ SHA CEILING |  |
| $09=$ SARA $302 / 304$ | $19=$ Chevron TWA | $30=$ Chevron STEL |
| $10=$ PA RTK | $20=$ EPA Carcinogen |  |

The following components of this material are found on the regulatory lists indicated.

BENZENE, ETHYLis found on lists: $01,02,08,10,11,12,13,14,15,17,26,28$, N-BUTANE is found on lists: $02,10,11,13,14,28$,
TOLUENE
is found on lists: $01,02,05,10,11,12,13,14,17,26,28,29$,
N-HEXANE
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is found on lists: $01,02,10,11,12,13,14,17,27,28$,
2-METHOXY-2-METHYL PROPANE
is found on lists: $01,02,10,11,12,14,24,26,27,30$, 2, 2,4-TRIMETHYLPENTANE
is found on lists: $02,10,11,12,26$, BENZENE
is found on lists: $01,02,03,04,05,06,10,11,12,13,14,15,17,20,28,29$,
GASOLINE (GENERIC)
is found on lists: $04,08,14,15,17$, PENTANES
is found on lists: $14,15,17$, HEXANES
is found on lists: 14,15 ,

## 16. OTHER INFORMATION

NFPA RATINGS: Health 1; Flammability 3; Reactivity 0;
(0-Least, 1-Slight, 2-Moderate, 3-High, 4-Extreme, PPE:-Personal
Protection Equipment Index recommendation, *- Chronic Effect
Indicator). These values are obtained using the guidelines or published evaluations prepared by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) or the National Paint and Coating Association (for HMIS ratings).

## REVISION STATEMENT:

This is a new Material Safety Data Sheet.
ABBREVIATIONS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN USED IN THIS DOCUMENT:

| TLV - Threshold Limit Value | TWA - Time Weighted Average |
| :--- | :--- |
| STEL - Short-term Exposure Limit | TPQ - Threshold Planning Quantity |
| RQ - Reportable Quantity | PEL - Permissible Exposure Limit |
| C - Ceiling Limit | CAS - Chemical Abstract Service Number |
| A1-5 - Appendix A Categories | () - Change Has Been Proposed |
| NDA - No Data Available | NA - Not Applicable |

Prepared according to the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard
(29 CFR 1910.1200) and the ANSI MSDS Standard (Z400.1) by the Toxicology
and Health Risk Assessment Unit, CRTC, P.O. Box 1627, Richmond, CA 94804

The above information is based on the data of which we are aware and is believed to be correct as of the date hereof. Since this information may be applied under conditions beyond our control and with which we may be unfamiliar and since data made available subsequent to the date hereof may suggest modification of the information, we do not assume any responsibility for the results of its use. This information is furnished upon condition that the person receiving it shall make his own determination of the suitability of the material for his particular purpose.
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## Memorandum

## DRAFT Not QA audited

To T. M. Gray<br>American Petroleum Institute<br>1220 L Street, Northwest.<br>Washington, DC 20005-4070

From D. J. Letinski

Date February 28, 2001
cc J. J. Freeman
Archives
Re 01TP 20
Study 167490 Interim Report 4 "Results of MRD-00-713 (Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate) Test Substance Characterization"

QA Unit

This memo is the fourth in a series of interim reports in support of ExxonMobil Biomedical Sciences, Inc (EMBSI) study 167490 "Gasoline Vapor Condensate Characterization". This report, along with subsequent memos documenting the results of characterization analysis of a series of vapor condensate test substances, will be summarized in a single final report submitted at the conclusion of this study and coinciding with the completion of the API 211(b) Vapor Condensate test program.

This report has been subjected to a single review by EMBSI's Quality Assurance Unit.

## Approval Signatures

J.J. Freeman, Ph.D., D.A.B.T

Director - Laboratory Operations
D.J. Letinski, M.S.

Study Director

Date

Date
,

MRD-00-713
Gasoline MTBE Vapor Condensate (Lot Number API -00-02)

Test Substance Received by EMBSI 11 Dec 00
12, 13 December 2000
Described in 167490 Interim Report 1 (00TP165) and Interim Report 3 (01TP 19)

See attached Table 1
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| PROTOCOL | RTI INTERNATIONAL POST OFFICE BOX 12194 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 | Amendment 1 RTI-909 Page 1 of 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTI Project No.: 09189.000 RTI Study Code: Mi04-HLS2 RTI Master Protocol No.: RTI-909 HLS Study No.: 04-4263 |  |  |
| AMENDMENT 1 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { TITLE: } & \text { Endpoint-Specific Developmental Toxicity Evaluation of Inhaled Gasoline With Methyl Tertiary } \\ & \text { Butyl Ether (MTBE) Vapor Condensate in CD-1®) Mice }\end{array}$ Butyl Ether (MTBE) Vapor Condensate in CD-1® Mice |  |  |
| SPONSOR: | American Petroleum Institute (API) 1220 L Street, NW <br> Washington, DC 20005 |  |
| TESTING FACILITY: Huntingdon Life Sciences (HLS) <br> Princeton Research Center <br> 100 Mettlers Road <br> East Millstone, NJ 08875-2360 |  |  |
| SITE OF POSTMORT EVALUATIONS AND AN | RTI International (RTI) <br> Center for Life Sciences and Toxicology Health Sciences Unit <br> Post Office Box 12194, 3040 Cornwallis Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-219 |  |
| PROPOSED IN-LIFE S | ATES: December 23, 2004 - January 29, 2005 <br> APPROVED BY: |  |
| -horevels <br> Thomas M. Gray, M.S. American Petroleum 1 n Sponsor's Representat <br> Gary M. Hoffman, B.A. Senior Toxicologist and Principal Investigator Huntingdon Life Scienc | Rochelle W. Tyl, Ph Study Director/Rese Life Sciences and Toxid RTI International <br> Specialist | $\frac{112 / 1610}{\text { Date }}$ |



|  | RTI INTERNATIONAL | Amendment 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROTOCOL | POST OFFICE BOX 12194 | RTI-909 |
|  | RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 |  |

The protocol, signed by the Study Director on October 27, 2004, is amended as follows (changes are in bold italics for clarity).

1. Location of protocol change: title page (page 1)

FROM:
PROPOSED IN-LIFE STUDY DATES; November 18 - December 17, 2004
TO:

PROPOSED IN-LIFE STUDY DATES: December 23, 2004 - January 29, 2005

## RATIONALE:

Due to problems associated with analyses of the test material and receipt of animals from the incorrect Charles River production facility (both now resolved), this study will be run beginning with animal receipt at HLS on December 23, 2004, and ending with the last proposed day of necropsy on January 29, 2005.
2. Location of protocol change: 2.0 Materials and Methods, 2.5 Animal Husbandry, 2.5.1 Acclimation, Housing, Feed, and Water (page 7)

## FROM:

### 2.5 Animal Husbandry

### 2.5.1 Acclimation, Housing, Feed, and Water

During an approximately 7 -day quarantine period at the HLS Testing Facility, animals will be checked for viability twice daily. Prior to study assignment, all animals will be examined to ascertain suitability for study. The HLS veterinarian (or designate) will formally release these animals for use by signature and date. Males and females will be individually housed in stainless steel suspended cages with wire mesh floors and fronts, except for the mating period when 1 male and 1 female will be housed together. During cohabitation, male and female mice will be housed in polycarbonate "shoebox" cages with stainless steel lids and Alpha-Dri® bedding (Shepherd Specialty Papers, Watertown, TN). Each cage will be fitted to secure a glass feeder jar with a stainless steel lid. Clean feed jars and fresh feed will be provided at least weekly for periods when feed consumption is not being recorded and at each interval when feed consumption will be recorded. After the gd 14 exposure period (for Groups 1-4) or on the afternoon of gd 14 (Group 5; see Section 3.0), a stainless steel, perforated insert will be placed on the wire-mesh floor of the stainless steel suspended cage of each female and 1 Nestlet® (Ancare, Bellmore, NY) added to each cage until scheduled sacrifice on gd 17. For females still undergoing daily exposures after gd 14 (Groups 1-4), the floor insert and Nestlet® will be

| PROTOCOL | RTI INTERNATIONAL <br> POST OFICE BOX 12194 <br> RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 | Amendment 1 <br> RTI-909 <br> Page 4 of 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

removed before each daily exposure and replaced after each exposure. Feed (PMI 5002 Certified Meal) will be available ad libitum, except during the daily 6 -hour inhalation periods. Analytical certification of batches of feed provided by the manufacturer will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants in the feed that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. Facility water (supplied by Elizabethtown Water Company, Westfield, NJ) will be available ad libitum via the automatic watering system or water bottles (during mating), except during the daily 6 -hour inhalation periods. Water analyses are conducted by Elizabethtown Water Company to assure that water meets standards specified under the EPA Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Regulations (40 CFR Part 141). Water analysis provided by the supplier will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. At all times, animals will be housed, handled, and used according to the National Research Council Guide (NRC, 1996).

TO:

### 2.5 Animal Husbandry

### 2.5.1 Acclimation, Housing, Feed, and Water

During an approximately 14 -day quarantine/acclimation period at the HLS Testing Facility, animals will be checked for viability twice daily. Prior to study assignment, all animals will be examined to ascertain suitability for study. The HLS veterinarian (or designate) will formally release these animals for use by signature and date. Males and females will be individually housed in stainless steel suspended cages with wire mesh floors and fronts, except for the mating period when 1 male and 1 female will be housed together. During cohabitation, male and female mice will be housed in polycarbonate "shoebox" cages with stainless steel lids and Alpha-Dri® bedding (Shepherd Specialty Papers, Watertown, TN). Each cage will be fitted to secure a glass feeder jar with a stainless steel lid. Clean feed jars and fresh feed will be provided at least weekly for periods when feed consumption is not being recorded and at each interval when feed consumption will be recorded. After the gd 14 exposure period (for Groups 1-4) or on the afternoon of gd 14 (Group 5; see Section 3.0), a stainless steel, perforated insert will be placed on the wire-mesh floor of the stainless steel suspended cage of each female and 1 Nestlet® (Ancare, Bellmore, NY) added to each cage until scheduled sacrifice on gd 17. For females still undergoing daily exposures after gd 14 (Groups 1-4), the floor insert and Nestlet® will be removed before each daily exposure and replaced after each exposure. Feed (PMI 5002 Certified Meal) will be available ad libitum, except during the daily 6 -hour inhalation periods. Analytical certification of batches of feed provided by the manufacturer will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants in the feed that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. Facility water (supplied by Elizabethtown Water Company, Westfield, NJ) will be available ad libitum via the automatic watering system or water bottles (during mating), except during the daily 6 -hour inhalation periods. Water analyses are conducted by Elizabethtown Water Company to assure that water meets standards specified under the EPA Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Regulations ( 40 CFR Part 141). Water analysis provided by the supplier will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. At all times, animals will be housed, handled, and used according to the National Research Council Guide (NRC, 1996).

|  | RTI INTERNATIONAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROTOCOL | POST OFFICE BOX 12194 <br> RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 | Amendment 1 <br> RTI-909 <br> Page 5 of 6 |

## RATIONALE:

See Rationale for No. 1. Since the study will now start on December 23, 2004, there is adequate time for a 14-day quarantine/acclimation period prior to mating (standard for HLS).
3. Location of protocol change: 3.0 Experimental Design, 3.1 Study Design (page 10)

FROM:

The exposure period for Group 5 at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of gd 5 through 10 was selected based on reason \#3 above and to reduce the number of days of generation of test atmosphere at a concentration that is $75 \%$ of the lower explosive limit.

## Tentative Study Schedule:

Females arrive at HLS:
Quarantine (7 days):
Animals paired:
Dates of gd 0 :
TSCA experimental start date:
Exposure dates: (gd 5 through 10): (gd 5 through 16):

Scheduled termination (gd 17):
TSCA experimental termination date:
Submission of draft data on test atmospheres to Sponsor:

Submission of interim data report:

Submission of audited draft final report:

Submission of final report:

November 18, 2004
November 18-25, 2004
November 25-30, 2004
November 26-30, 2004
December 1, 2004
December 1-10, 2004
December 1-16, 2004
December 13-17, 2004
December 17, 2004

December 23, 2004 (within 1 week after the last exposure date, December 16, 2004)

January 14, 2005 (within 4 weeks of last necropsy)

February 17, 2005 (within 2 months of last necropsy date)

Within 1 month of receipt of Sponsor's comments on the audited draft report

|  | RTI INTERNATIONAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROTOCOL | POST OFFICE BOX 12194 |
| RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 |  |$\quad$| Amendment 1 |
| :---: |
| RTI-909 |
| Page 6 of 6 |

TO:

The exposure period for Group 5 at $30,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of gd 5 through 10 was selected based on reason \#3 above and to reduce the number of days of generation of test atmosphere at a concentration that is $75 \%$ of the lower explosive limit.

Tentative Study Schedule:

Females arrive at HLS:
Quarantine ( 14 days):
Animals paired:
Dates of gd 0 :
TSCA experimental start date:
Exposure dates: (gd 5 through 10): (gd 5 through 16):

Scheduled termination (gd 17):
TSCA experimental termination date:
Submission of draft data on test atmospheres to Sponsor:

Submission of interim data report:

Submission of audited draft final report:

Submission of final report:

December 23, 2004
December 23, 2004 - January 5, 2005
January 6-11, 2005
January 7-12, 2005
January 12, 2005
January 12-22, 2005
January 12-28, 2005
January 24-29, 2005
January 29, 2005

February 4, 2005 (within 1 week after the last exposure date, January 28, 2005)

February 28, 2005 (within 4 weeks of last necropsy date)

March 28, 2005 (within 2 months of last necropsy date)

Within 1 month of receipt of Sponsor's comments on the audited draft report

## RATIONALE:

See Rationale for Nos. 1 and 2. The tentative schedule is predicated on the assumption that it will take 6 breeding days to generate 140 plug-positive females. The final schedule will reflect the actual number of breeding days required.



|  | RTI INTERNATIONAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROTOCOL | POST OFFICE BOX 12194 | Amendment 2 |
|  | RTI-909 |  |
|  | RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 | Page 3 of 8 |

The protocol as signed by the Study Director on October 27, 2004, and amended on December 16, 2004 (Amendment 1), is further amended as follows (changes are in bold italics for clarity).

1. Location of protocol change: 2.0 Materials and Methods, 2.5 Animal Husbandry, 2.5.1 Acclimation, Housing, Feed, and Water (Amendment 1, Page 4)

FROM:

### 2.5 Animal Husbandry

### 2.5.1 Acclimation, Housing, Feed, and Water

During an approximately 14 -day quarantine/acclimation period at the HLS Testing Facility, animals will be checked for viability twice daily. Prior to study assignment, all animals will be examined to ascertain suitability for study. The HLS veterinarian (or designate) will formally release these animals for use by signature and date. Males and females will be individually housed in stainless steel suspended cages with wire mesh floors and fronts, except for the mating period when 1 male and 1 female will be housed together. During cohabitation, male and female mice will be housed in polycarbonate "shoebox" cages with stainless steel lids and Alpha-Dri® bedding (Shepherd Specialty Papers, Watertown, TN). Each cage will be fitted to secure a glass feeder jar with a stainless steel lid. Clean feed jars and fresh feed will be provided at least weekly for periods when feed consumption is not being recorded and at each interval when feed consumption will be recorded. After the gd 14 exposure period (for Groups 1-4) or on the afternoon of gd 14 (Group 5; see Section 3.0), a stainless steel, perforated insert will be placed on the wire-mesh floor of the stainless steel suspended cage of each female and 1 Nestlet®8 (Ancare, Bellmore, NY) added to each cage until scheduled sacrifice on gd 17. For females still undergoing daily exposures after gd 14 (Groups 1-4), the floor insert and Nestlet® will be removed before each daily exposure and replaced after each exposure. Feed (PMI 5002 Certified Meal) will be available ad libitum, except during the daily 6 hour inhalation periods. Analytical certification of batches of feed provided by the manufacturer will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants in the feed that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. Facility water (supplied by Elizabethtown Water Company, Westfield, NJ) will be available ad libitum via the automatic watering system or water bottles (during mating), except during the daily 6-hour inhalation periods. Water analyses are conducted by Elizabethtown Water Company to assure that water meets standards specified under the EPA Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Regulations (40 CFR Part 141). Water analysis provided by the supplier will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. At all times, animals will be housed, handled, and used according to the National Research Council Guide (NRC, 1996).

TO:

### 2.5 Animal Husbandry

### 2.5.1 Acclimation, Housing. Feed, and Water

During an approximately 14 -day quarantine/acclimation period at the HLS Testing Facility, animals will be checked for viability twice daily. Prior to study assignment, all animals will be examined to ascertain suitability for study. The HLS veterinarian (or designate) will formally
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release these animals for use by signature and date. Males and females will be individually housed in stainless steel suspended cages with wire mesh floors and fronts, except for the mating period when 1 male and 1 female will be housed together. During cohabitation, male and female mice will be housed in polycarbonate "shoebox" cages with stainless steel lids and Alpha-Dri® bedding (Shepherd Specialty Papers, Watertown, TN). Each cage will be fitted to secure a glass feeder jar with a stainless steel lid. Clean feed jars and fresh feed will be provided at least weekly. for periods when feed consumption is not being recorded and at each interval when feed consumption will be recorded. After the gd 14 exposure period (for Groups 1-4) or on the afternoon of gd 14 (Group 5; see Section 3.0), a stainless steel, perforated insert will be placed on the wire-mesh floor of the stainless steel suspended cage of each female and 1 Nestlet® (Ancare, Bellmore, NY) added to each cage until scheduled sacrifice on gd 17. For Females stilt not undergoing daily exposures after gd 10 (Group 5) will be removed from their home cage and placed in another suspended cage without feed to match as closely as possible the conditions of Group 1-4 females for the 6-hour exposure period. They will then be returned to their home cage at the same time as the exposed females for feed measurement overnight. Feed (PMI 5002 Certified Meal) will be available ad libitum, except during the daily 6 -hour inhalation periods. Analytical certification of batches of feed provided by the manufacturer will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants in the feed that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. Facility water (supplied by Elizabethtown Water Company, Westfield, NJ ) will be available ad libitum via the automatic watering system or water bottles (during mating), except during the daily 6 -hour inhalation periods. Water analyses are conducted by Elizabethtown Water Company to assure that water meets standards specified under the EPA Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Regulations (40 CFR Part 141). Water analysis provided by the supplier will be maintained on file at the HLS Testing Facility. There are no known contaminants that are expected to interfere with the objectives of this study. At all times, animals will be housed, handled, and used according to the National Research Council Guide (NRC, 1996).

## RATIONALE:

Fresh feed in clean jars was not required for each daily weighing interval but will be provided for at least weekly intervals. In order to provide the same housing conditions for all females, the Group 5 females were housed in suspended cages without access to feed, water, insert or Nestlet(8) for the 6 -hour exposure period in order to match as closely as possible the conditions of the Groups $1-4$ females being exposed through gd 16. This was effective January 11, 2005.

| PROTOCOL | > RTI INTERNATIONAL POST OFFICE BOX 12194 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 |  |  |  | Amendme RTI-90 Page 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location of protocol change: 3.0 Experimental Design, 3.1 Study Design, Table 1 (Page 9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| FROM: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 1 <br> Endpoint-Specific Developmental Toxicity Number of Animals Assigned to Study Groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group No. | No. Animals Exposed | No. Days Exposed | Exposure Period (gd) | Target Ex | Concentratio $\mathrm{n}^{3} \text { ) }$ |
| 1 | 25 | 12 | 5 through 16 |  |  |
| 2 | 25 | 12 | 5 through 16 |  |  |
| 3 | 25 | 12 | 5 through 16 |  |  |
| 4 | 25 | 12 | 5 through 16 |  |  |
| 5 | 40 | 6 | 5 through 10 |  |  |

TO:
Table 1
Endpoint-Specific Developmental Toxicity
Number of Animals Assigned to Study Groups

| Group No. | No. Animals <br> Exposed | No. Days <br> Exposed | Exposure Period <br> $(\mathrm{gd})$ | Target Exposure Concentration <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 12 | 5 through 16 | 0 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 12 | 5 through 16 | 2000 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 12 | 5 through 16 | 10,000 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 12 | 5 through 16 | 20,000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 6 | 5 through 10 | 30,000 |

## RATIONALE:

After 11 days of mating, most of the remaining mated females appeared to be pregnant. As only 2 plug-positive females had been found on 2 out of 3 days on January 15-17, 2005, the Principal Investigator at Huntingdon suggested that mating be terminated; the Study Director concurred. The reduction of 2 females assigned to each of the 5 groups would not affect the statistical power. This was effective January 18, 2005.
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3. Location of protocol change: 3.8 Allocation and Exposure of Maternal Animals (Page 12)

FROM:

### 3.8 Allocation and Exposure of Maternal Animals

Plug-positive female mice (dams) will be assigned to treatment groups by a stratified randomization method designed to provide uniform mean body weights and equal distribution of females mated to the same male among dose groups on gd 0 . Females will be exposed to gasoline MTBE vapor condensate or air 6 hours per day from gd 5 through 16 for Groups 1-4 and for gd 5 through 10 for Group 5. Inhalation was chosen by the Sponsor as the route of administration.

TO:

### 3.8 Allocation and Exposure of Maternal Animals

Plug-positive female mice (dams) will be assigned to treatment groups by a stratified randomization method designed to provide uniform mean body weights and equal distribution of females mated to the same male among dose groups using data from gd 0 . Females will be exposed to gasoline MTBE vapor condensate or air 6 hours per day from gd 5 through 16 for Groups 1-4 and for gd 5 through 10 for Group 5. Inhalation was chosen by the Sponsor as the route of administration.

## RATIONALE:

Determination of representation of females mated to the same male could not be made until mating was completed.
4. Location of protocol change: 3.0 Experimental Design, 3.9 Observation of Maternal Animals (Page 12)

FROM:

### 3.9 Observation of Maternal Animals

### 3.9.1 Clinical Observations

Clinical observations of all animals will be made once daily on gd 0 through 4 (prior to exposure period), on gd 11 through 17 or gd 16 through 17 (after the exposure period), and twice daily (prior to and immediately after each daily exposure) throughout the exposure period (gd 5 through 10 or gd 5 through 16). In addition, during each daily exposure period, animals will be observed at least once during each exposure. This will be routinely performed near the middle of each exposure and may be performed more frequently if significant signs of toxicity are noted.
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TO:

### 3.9 Observation of Maternal Animals

### 3.9.1 Clinical Observations

Clinical observations of all animals will be made once daily on gd 0 through 4 (prior to exposure period), on gd 11 through 17 or gd 16 through 17 (after the exposure period), and twice daily (prior to and immediately after each daily exposure) throughout the exposure period (gd 5 through 10 or gd 5 through 16). In addition, during each daily exposure period, animals will be observed at least once during each exposure. This will be routinely performed near the middle of each exposure and may be performed more frequently if significant signs of toxicity are noted.

## RATIONALE:

Groups $1-4$ females will be observed 2 times per day during the exposure period (gd 5 through 16). Therefore, a once daily clinical observation was necessary only on gd 17 for these females. This was effective January 11, 2005.
5. Location of protocol change: 3.0 Experimental Design, 3.10 Postmortem Evaluation, 3.10.2 Fetal (Page 13)

FROM:
Live fetuses will be removed from the uterus, counted, weighed, sexed externally, and examined externally for gross malformations (including cleft palate) and variations by RTI staff. Each fetus will be killed by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital, dissected longitudinally, and the thoracic and abdominal viscera removed intact and retained individually in labeled scintillation vials in buffered neutral $10 \%$ formalin for possible subsequent visceral examination. The fetal carcass will be blanched, skinned, and retained in individually labeled scintillation vials in $70 \%$ ethanol for possible subsequent double staining (alizarin Red S and alcian blue) and skeletal evaluation. All maternal organs and carcasses will be destroyed by incineration.

TO:
Live and dead fetuses will be removed from the uterus, counted, weighed, sexed externally, and examined externally for gross malformations (including cleft palate) and variations by RTI staff. Each live fetus will be killed by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital, live and dead dissected longitudinally, and the thoracic and abdominal viscera removed intact and retained individually in labeled scintillation vials in buffered neutral 10\% formalin for possible subsequent visceral examination. The fetal carcass will be blanched, skinned, and retained in individually labeled scintillation vials in 70\% ethanol for possible subsequent double staining (alizarin Red S and alcian blue) and skeletal evaluation. All maternal organs and carcasses will be destroyed by incineration,
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## RATIONALE:

To assure that any malformations were recorded for any late term fetus, live or dead, the dead fetuses were included in the evaluation at necropsy. This was effective January 24, 2005.


[^0]:    ND $=$ none detected. ${ }^{\text {a }} 3$-Methylpentane co-eluted with MTBE.

[^1]:    

[^2]:    $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}}$ of gasoline MTBE vapor condensate.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Gestational day.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ Time is prior to dosing (Prior) or after dosing (Post).

